Within-field spatial variability of greenhouse gas fluxes from an extensive and intensive sheep-grazed pasture

4	Alice F. Charteris ^a , Paul Harris ^a , Karina A. Marsden ^{b,1} , Ian M. Harris ^b , Ziwei Guo ^{a,2} , Deborah
5	A. Beaumont ^a , Helena Taylor ^a , Gianmarco Sanfratello ^{b,3} , Davey L. Jones ^{b,c} , Sarah C. M.
6	Johnson ^d , Mick J. Whelan ^d , Nicholas Howden ^e , Hadewij Sint ^a , David R. Chadwick ^b and
7	Laura M. Cárdenas ^a *
8	
9	^a Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, Rothamsted Research, North Wyke, Okehampton, Devon, EX20 2SB, UK; ^b
10	School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK; ^c UWA School of
11	Agriculture and Environment, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6009, Australia; ^d School of
12	Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK; ^e School of Civil,
13	Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TR, UK.
14	
15	¹ Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010,
16	Australia; ² School of Civil, Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TR,
17	UK; ³ Institute of Biological and Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University,
18	Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, SY23 3DD, UK.
19	
20	alice.charteris@rothamsted.ac.uk, paul.harris@rothamsted.ac.uk, k.marsden@bangor.ac.uk,
21	i.m.harris@bangor.ac.uk, zg15273.2014@my.bristol.ac.uk, deborah.beaumont@rothamsted.ac.uk,
22	helena.taylor@rothamsted.ac.uk, gis7@aber.ac.uk, davey.jones@uwa.edu.au, sj239@leicester.ac.uk,
23	mjw72@leicester.ac.uk, nicholas.howden@bristol.ac.uk, hadewij.sint@rothamsted.ac.uk,
24	d.chadwick@bangor.ac.uk, laura.cardenas@rothamsted.ac.uk.
25	
26	* Corresponding author: laura.cardenas@rothamsted.ac.uk

28 **Declaration of competing interests**

29 Declaration of competing interests: The authors have no competing interest to declare.

30

31 Abstract

Greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes from livestock grazed pasture soils are highly variable in both 32 space and time but the quantitative importance of the factors regulating this variation remain 33 poorly understood. Our aim was to explore this variability on contrasting extensively (low 34 input) and intensively managed sheep-grazed 'case-study' pastures. We quantified (through 35 standard and spatially-informed regressions) the statistical relationships between GHG fluxes 36 (nitrous oxide (N₂O), carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄)) and a range of soil, field and 37 management characteristics. Fluxes of these three GHGs at two study sites were highly 38 variable, but spatial structure (i.e. autocorrelation) was only observed in the variability of 39 N₂O fluxes across the intensive site and CO₂ fluxes across the extensive site. The regression 40 analyses identified significant GHG predictor variables for the extensive site as: NO_3^- (p < 41 0.001) and vegetation-type (p < 0.01) for N₂O ($R^2 = 0.57$; p = 0.000); NH₄⁺ (p < 0.05), slope 42 (p < 0.05) and elevation (p < 0.01) for CO₂ $(R^2 = 0.34; p = 0.000)$; and NO₃⁻ (p < 0.01), NH₄⁺ 43 (p < 0.05) and soil moisture (p < 0.05) for CH₄ $(R^2 = 0.25; p = 0.005)$. Significant GHG 44 predictor variables for the intensive site were soil moisture (p < 0.01) and bulk density (p < 0.01) 45 0.01) for N₂O ($R^2 = 0.27$; p = 0.005); soil moisture (p < 0.001) for CO₂ ($R^2 = 0.31$; p = 0.005); 46 0.001); while none were found for CH₄ ($R^2 = 0.10$; p = 0.655). Key factors driving GHG 47 variation were both site- and GHG-specific, with fluxes controlled by local conditions 48 49 leading to differences in limiting factors (possibly even at the within-site scale). Our statistical analyses suggest a larger range of driving variables (e.g. air and soil temperature or 50 51 other soil chemical properties such as total extractable N) may be required to more fully 52 capture the observed variability in the GHG processes considered here, and that it may also

be fruitful for future analyses to consider non-linear, non-stationary and interacting
relationships across space- and time-scales. Adequacies of each site's sample design also
played a key interpretive role in the GHG processes, requiring further evaluation through
additional sampling campaigns.

57

Keywords: upland; lowland; grassland; nitrous oxide; carbon dioxide; methane

60 1. Introduction

61 Grazing land is estimated to occupy almost 25% of the Earth's land area (Klein Goldewijk et al., 2017) and plays a substantial and important role in global biogeochemical 62 cycling. Grazing systems are found across the temperate and tropical latitudes and in a wide 63 variety of ecosystems, ranging from managed, irrigated pastures to unmanaged open 64 savannahs and drylands (Asner et al., 2004). In the UK, grazing land occupies over 12 65 million ha (Office for National Statistics, 2016), and spans extensively managed, unimproved 66 upland grazing areas and intensively managed, improved lowland pastures. This wide range 67 of grazing systems, under differing management intensities and environmental and climatic 68 conditions, provides grazing livestock with differing forages and results in diverse types and 69 degrees of environmental impact. 70

Within a field, plant and soil characteristics vary in both space and time. Shaw et al.
(2016) found that soil ammonium (NH₄⁺), nitrate (NO₃⁻) and amino acid concentrations
varied most over short ranges (< 2 m), but also at larger scales (> 2 m) and was even
important at the very small scale (< 1 cm). While soil moisture and temperature, for example,</p>
are of course continuously influenced by diurnal and seasonal cycles and weather conditions.
Within-field variability is exacerbated by the movement of grazing animals, which results in
localised soil compaction and nutrient deposition, and especially so on hill-grazed pastures

78 where the livestock behaviour is influenced by the heterogeneity of the field, camping for 79 example on small areas of flatter and more sheltered land (Betteridge et al., 2010a,b). The 80 environmental impacts of grazing systems are therefore highly variable within-field, as well 81 as at farm (field-to-field) and landscape (farm-to-farm) scales, making it difficult to generate 82 aggregated estimates of the effects of individual factors.

83 Agricultural activities are estimated to have contributed 9% of total UK greenhouse 84 gas (GHG) emissions in 2018 (Brown et al., 2020). Methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) accounted for 62%, 35% and 3% of these emissions, respectively 85 86 (Brown et al., 2020). By sector, agriculture currently represents the largest source of total UK CH₄ and N₂O emissions (Brown et al., 2020). The magnitudes of soil-derived N₂O, CH₄ and 87 CO₂ fluxes depend on interactions between a range of fixed and dynamic factors (Giles et al., 88 2012; Imer et al., 2013; Giltrap et al., 2014; Kaiser et al., 2018). Localised fixed factors 89 include soil texture, structure and bulk density and soil composition, as well as organic matter 90 content, nitrogen (N), carbon (C) and phosphorous (P) availability, cation exchange capacity 91 (CEC) and pH. Broader within field-scale fixed features include a field's aspect, slope and 92 elevation. Dynamic factors encompass previous and prevailing management (including 93 grazing activities and animal behaviour, and perhaps most importantly, urine deposition) and 94 environmental conditions (which feedback to soil characteristics, moisture and temperature). 95 Interactions between factors occur across a range of scales and different processes can occur 96 concurrently in adjacent microsites or predominate over larger areas (Parkin, 1993; Giltrap et 97 al., 2014; Oertel et al., 2016). 98

99 Quantifying the spatial and temporal variability of these interactions in grazing 100 systems is critical to improve our understanding of the drivers of N_2O , CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes, 101 enabling better estimates of aggregated GHG emissions and associated uncertainties at the 102 landscape scale (Imer et al., 2013; Giltrap et al., 2014; Cowan et al., 2015). Farm or field-

scale estimates, particularly of N₂O and CH₄, can be skewed (over-estimated) by high fluxes 103 from small areas (hot spots) and/or high fluxes for short periods (hot moments) which happen 104 105 to coincide with the sampling area(s) or period(s) (McClain et al., 2003; Duncan et al., 2013). Equally, the reverse can be true, and under-estimation can occur if hot spots or hot moments 106 are under-represented during sampling. Furthermore, improved understanding of the spatial 107 and temporal variability of GHG fluxes from different pasture systems will assist with the 108 109 development of more targeted and efficient mitigation strategies and better aggregated GHG emission estimates and uncertainties for different sites, farms and land use types and with 110 111 ultimate up-scaling to the national scale.

In this study, we focussed on spatial variability at one time-point. We aimed to assess 112 how a common set of variables drive within-field spatial GHG variability at two different 113 study sites by quantifying the statistically significant relationships between GHG fluxes and 114 soil characteristics and key contextual factors (such as topography, vegetation-type and other 115 nutrient inputs to pastures, e.g. farmyard manure (FYM)). Data were collected using a similar 116 (but appropriately scaled) snapshot sampling approach at the two contrasting (extensively and 117 intensively managed) sheep-grazed field sites. The contribution of sheep-grazed pastures to 118 GHG emissions is less well studied than for cattle (Saggar et al., 2007), despite sheep being 119 globally-important small ruminants which are able to graze a wide range of pastures, 120 including less favourable areas with few alternative agricultural uses (Zervas and Tsiplakou, 121 122 2012). For each site, we assess the nature of the spatial autocorrelation (or dependence) in both: (i) the GHG fluxes directly, in respect of a univariate kriging analysis and (ii) the error 123 term of the regression analyses for potentially improved inference in the GHG relationships 124 described. 125

Practical constraints dictated that the adopted sampling strategies for the different
sites could not be identical, and so our study hypotheses were independent and site-specific -

as follows for the two case studies, designed to assess the influence of soil and siteparameters on GHG emissions:

130	A. At the extensively-managed site (Case Study 1), spatial variability of N ₂ O, CO ₂ and CH ₄ ,
131	will each be in part driven by one or more of the following factors: (a) variation in
132	vegetation-type, (b) variation in extractable soil NO_3^- , extractable soil NH_4^+ , gravimetric
133	soil moisture content, soil pH, soil percentage water filled pore space (% WFPS), soil
134	bulk density, soil total carbon (% TC), soil total nitrogen (% TN), the TC:TN ratio, soil
135	organic matter and site topography; and (c) spatial autocorrelation effects.
136	B. At the intensively-managed site (Case Study 2), spatial variability of N_2O , CO_2 and CH_4 ,
137	will each be in part driven by one or more of the following factors: (a) the boundaries of
138	where FYM was spread; and (b) that listed in (A) parts (b) and (c).
139	We included two case studies as they represent intensive and extensive grazing management.
140	The wide range of soil and site parameters selected include those typically measured in GHG
141	sampling experiments and known drivers of GHG fluxes. Given the large number of
142	sampling points, it was not possible to conduct some of the more complex or time-consuming
143	analyses, such as soil microbial biomass extractions. Furthermore, some soil and site
144	parameters available to this study will have a certain dependence on each other (i.e. strong
145	correlation) where each will equally explain GHG flux variation. Such parameters were
146	identified in the analyses.
147	Hypotheses (A) and (B) were tested through a series of regression analyses conducted

through a linear mixed model (LMM) framework, preceded by an extensive exploration of
the soil, site and GHG flux data and their relationships. For each study site, the drivers of
GHG within-field spatial variability were expected to differ due the contrasting
characteristics of the two different grazing systems. At each site, the observed GHG
variability was partly due to: (1) the known and measured drivers of N₂O, CO₂ or CH₄

available; and (2) the unknown drivers or known drivers that were not measured or available.
These were site-specific and, keeping in mind the site-specific sampling strategies, a
secondary study aim was to assess likely reasons for observed differences between the
different grazing systems. Finally, we also critically assessed the benefits and limitations of
the approach taken to explore spatial variability in GHG emissions and suggest avenues for
future work.

- 159
- 160 2. Materials and Methods

161 2.1. Site descriptions

162 2.1.1. Extensive management site - Case Study 1

The extensively managed site ('Extensive'; 240-340 m above sea level; a.s.l.) consisted of an 163 11.5 ha semi-improved, sheep-grazed pasture (Fig. 1; Supplementary Figs. 1, 2) at Bangor 164 University's Henfaes Research Station, Abergwyngregyn, North Wales (53°13'13''N, 165 4°0'34''W). The field (named Middle Ffridd) has an easterly aspect and a slope of ca. 15%. It 166 had not received inorganic fertiliser or lime, nor had it been re-seeded, in over 30 years. The 167 field is normally stocked with up to 1 Livestock Unit (LU) ha⁻¹, with Welsh Mountain ewes 168 (Ovis aries; where each sheep contributes 0.15 LU; Glastir Entry Booklet 2: Technical 169 Guidance 2015, 2013). At the time of the experiment, the stocking rate was 0.39 LU ha⁻¹. The 170 vegetation across the site was composed of a mosaic of 60% bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*) 171 and 38% semi-improved grassland, with minor areas of marsh/wet flush and gorse (Ulex 172 europaeus). The grassland areas were comprised of British NVC classifications U4 (Festuca 173 ovina – Agrostris capillaris – Galium saxatile grassland) and MG6 (Lolium perenne – 174 Cynosurus cristatus grassland) (Rodwell, 2000). According to FAO (1981), the soil is 175 classified as an Orthic Podzol, with greater amounts of plant litter building up beneath the 176 bracken stands. The bracken was controlled via mechanical treatments twice per year, 177

ensuring stands do not become too dense or tall. In addition, the above-ground bracken fronds die back over winter months, so grazing animals have the potential to access all areas of the field. The site and its management are typical of an extensively managed hill-grazing site in this area of Wales. The contribution of such sites to GHG emissions has been less wellstudied than lowland/intensive pasture sites.

The mean minimum and maximum annual temperatures and mean annual rainfall 183 184 (1981 to 2010) recorded at the nearest Met Office station, Llanfairfechan (40 m a. s. l.), were 7.6 and 13.7 °C and 1099.7 mm (Met Office). A meteorological station (Skye Instruments 185 186 Ltd., Llandrindod Wells, UK) was also situated within the field, and in the week preceding sampling (November 2016), air temperatures averaged 5.5 °C (ranging from 2.4 to 10.4 °C) 187 and the mean 10 cm soil temperature was 4.7 °C (3.2 - 6.8 °C). There was only 0.8 mm 188 rainfall (23rd - 29th November 2016) and soil moisture contents were high but declining (ca. 189 0.62 falling to 0.58 cm³ cm⁻³ at 5 cm). This corresponded to high pre-sampling % WFPS of 190 ca. 80% at 5 cm and ca. 73% at 10 cm. At the Extensive site soil and gas sampling was 191 conducted on 30th November 2016. On this day the air temperature was slightly cooler at 4 °C 192 than the long-term value for November at 7 °C. No rain was recorded on the sampling day, 193 with the long-term value for November at 5.4 mm. 194

195

196 2.1.2. Intensive management site - Case Study 2

197 The intensively managed site ('Intensive'; on average 160 m a.s.l.) was a 1.78 ha sheep-

198 grazed pasture (Fig. 1; Supplementary Figs. 3, 4) located in south-west England, at the North

199 Wyke Farm Platform (NWFP), Rothamsted Research, Okehampton, Devon (50°46'10''N,

200 30°54'05''W). The NWFP is a 63 ha systems-based experimental facility divided into 15

201 hydrologically isolated sub-catchments across three small farms, used for grazing livestock

research (Orr et al., 2016; Takahashi et al., 2018). For this study, we focused on one sub-

catchment which consists of a single field named Dairy North. The field has a northerly 203 aspect, a slope of 10.9% and had been under permanent grassland since the 1990s with 204 Lolium perenne as the dominant grass species and minor contributions from Agrostis 205 stolonifera and Holcus lanatus. It received inorganic fertiliser in the form of ammonium 206 nitrate (NH₄NO₃) at a rate of 160 kg N ha⁻¹ as four applications of 40 kg N ha⁻¹ per year. The 207 field was grazed by a March-lambing flock of Suffolk x Mule ewes (crossed mainly with 208 209 Texel or Charollais rams), with 34 animals present up until three days before the sampling was carried out (stocking rate of ca. 2.9 LU ha⁻¹, where one sheep is 0.15 LU). The soil class 210 211 (Harrod and Hogan, 2008) is Halstow (Glevic Cambisol; Avery, 1980), which comprises a slightly stony clay loam topsoil (approximately 36% clay) that overlies a mottled stony clay 212 (approximately 60% clay), derived from underlying Carboniferous Culm rocks. The NWFP is 213 managed as a typical farm for the area, evaluating different strategies on the three farm-lets. 214 The mean annual temperature in North Wyke is approximately 10 °C, the mean 215 annual rainfall (1960-2000) is 1055.7 mm (Harrod and Hogan, 2008) and the climate is 216 classed as cool temperate. In the week preceding sampling (July 2016), air temperatures 217 averaged 15.3 °C (ranging from 10.2 to 21.1 °C) and the mean 10 cm soil temperature was 218 18.2 °C (14.4 – 22.4 °C). There was only 4.2 mm rainfall in this week (25^{th} - 31^{st} July 2016), 219 spread across approximately ten small events. At the Intensive site soil and gas sampling was 220 conducted on 1st August 2016. On this day the mean air temperature was 14 °C, similar to the 221 long-term value of 15 °C. Rainfall was 12 mm on the sampling day, much higher than the 222 long-term daily value for August of 2 mm. 223

224

225 2.2. Spatial sampling methodologies

226 2.2.1. Sample locations

At the Extensive site, 112 sampling points were established on a regular grid of $30 \text{ m} \times 30 \text{ m}$

across the 11.5 ha, together with three randomly allocated sampling points (giving a total sample size of n = 115). Locations were marked in the field using a GeoXT handheld GPS unit (Trimble Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). The chosen grid resolution was considered to provide reasonable site coverage within the available resources (operators, time and budget) and was not informed by a pilot study (for N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes).

At the Intensive site, a 15 m \times 15 m grid (78 sampling points) combined with an 233 234 offset 25 m \times 25 m grid (21 sampling points) was used to give a total of n = 99 sampling points across the 1.78 ha field. The overlay of two regular sampling grids loosely mimics the 235 236 effect of a random stratified sampling approach with a 15 m grid and a single point allocated at random within each 15 m grid cell (via the 25 m grid). The strategy was chosen to better 237 capture small-scale spatial variation (i.e. that below 15 m) and benefited from information 238 provided by a pilot study, on a 25 m grid only, for soil inorganic N the previous year (July 239 2015). Again ultimately, the grid resolutions were dictated by available resources. Locations 240 were marked in the field by Real Time Kinematic (RTK) surveying using a Trimble[®] R6 241 GNSS Receiver and Trimble[®] R8 base station (Trimble Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). Figure 1 242 depicts the sampling locations at each study site. 243

244

245 2.2.2. Soil and greenhouse gas sampling

Air-tight static chambers were employed at both sites for soil headspace gas sampling (De Klein and Harvey, 2012). Smaller cylindrical chambers were used at the Extensive site than at the Intensive site, where cuboid chambers were employed (see Supplementary Information [SI] for chamber design and insertion details and gas sampling strategies). Headspace gas samples were collected immediately after chamber closure (0 min) and again after 60 min at the Extensive site, whilst at the Intensive site initial chamber headspace gas concentrations were approximated by ambient air sampling (Chadwick et al., 2014) and chambers were

sampled 40 min after closure. Two-point headspace sampling was necessary due to the large 253 numbers of chambers to be sampled in a short window, and has been shown to be acceptable 254 under similar site conditions (Chadwick et al., 2014; on average >90% of 1970 chamber 255 measurements fitted a linear function) and linear (or approximately linear) increases in 256 headspace concentrations were anticipated based on substantial work at the sites (SI). By 257 using a team of trained researchers, gas sampling was conducted within a few hours at both 258 259 sites (Extensive site: 10:40-12:40 h; Intensive site: 10:00-14:00 h), minimising sampling time of day effects. Note that the CO₂ fluxes represent soil and plant respiration without 260 261 photosynthesis due to the opaque nature of the chambers.

After gas sampling, chamber lids were removed and hand-held temperature probes 262 used (inserted ca. 0-5 cm depth at the Extensive site, and ca. 0-10 cm depth at the Intensive 263 site) to record soil temperature. Chamber heights (four measurements within each chamber) 264 were then recorded in order to calculate chamber headspace volumes. Bulk density cores 265 (100 cm³, 0-5 cm at the Extensive site; 0-10 cm at the Intensive site) and soil samples (four 0-266 5 cm cores (bulked) at the Extensive site; six 2.5 cm-diameter, 0-10 cm cores (bulked) at the 267 Intensive site) were taken from within the chamber areas. Bulk density cores and soil samples 268 were stored in polythene bags in refrigerators (at 4 °C) prior to analysis. 269

270

271 **2.3.** Determination of soil and greenhouse gas parameters

Extensive site soil extractions and pH measurements were conducted within 24 h of sample
collection, while analyses for Intensive site samples were completed within 5 days. For each
site, the determination of bulk density (termed BD in the statistical summaries, graphics etc.),
soil % WFPS (WFPS), extractable soil NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N (NO₃⁻N and NH₄⁺N), soil pH
(pH), gravimetric soil moisture content (SM), soil organic matter (SOM), soil % TC and %
TN contents (TC and TN) are given in detail in SI. Soil headspace GHG concentrations (N₂O,

CO₂ and CH₄, termed N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄, respectively) were determined using the same
Perkin Elmer Clarus 580 Gas Chromatograph fitted with an electron capture detector for N₂O
measurement and a flame ionisation detector for CO₂ and CH₄ determination for both sites
(see SI for further details).

282

283 2.4. Topography, vegetation and manure spread data

284 For each site, elevation (ELEV), aspect (not used) and slope (SLOP) data were calculated utilising 1 m LiDAR grids (see SI for details). The compound topographic index (CTI; Moore 285 286 et al., 1991; Sørensen et al., 2006; Evans et al., 2014) was also derived from the LiDAR data. For the Extensive site only, a vegetation-type variable (VT) was created consisting of the four 287 vegetation classes: bracken, gorse (but not sampled on), grassland and marsh (only one 288 sample location). Gorse was not sampled as it represented a very small proportion (< 1%) of 289 the site and VT was reduced to three classes. For the Intensive site only, organic FYM was 290 not spread within 2 m of the field boundary (i.e. the hedge or fence), or within 10 m of a 291 watercourse (including French drains); a binary variable (termed OS for "organic spread") 292 was therefore created indicating whether a sample site fell within or outside of the FYM 293 boundary (OS = 1 inside; OS = 0 outside). 294

295

296 2.5. Statistical methods

In this study, the following regressions in both non-spatial and spatial forms were fitted, for
the Extensive and Intensive sites, respectively:

300
$$\begin{cases} N_2 O \\ CO_2 \\ CH_4 \end{cases} = f(NO_3^-N + NH_4^+N + pH + SM + WFPS + BD + TC + TN + CNR + SOM$$

$$301 + SLOP + ELEV + CTI + VT)$$

Equation 1

Equation 2

303
$$\begin{cases} N_2 O \\ CO_2 \\ CH_4 \end{cases} = f(NO_3^-N + NH_4^+N + pH + SM + WFPS + BD + TC + TN + CNR + SOM$$

+ SLOP + ELEV + CTI + OS)

304

302

305

306

where CNR is the TC:TN ratio. Thus, in total, twelve regressions (the non-spatial and spatial 307 forms, for the three GHGs, at the two sites) were considered where the GHG response 308 309 variables of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were related to predictor variables measuring soil properties (NO₃⁻N, NH₄⁺N, pH, SM, WFPS, BD, TC, TN, CNR and SOM), topography 310 (SLOP, ELEV and CTI) and site-specific pasture characteristics (VT or OS). The predictors 311 were treated as fixed effects. Although available, soil temperature data was not included as a 312 predictor variable as data were very similar across each site due to the 'snapshot' nature of 313 314 the study. Aspect was not included because a preliminary analysis indicated very weak correlations with the GHGs. Interaction terms (predictors) were not considered (e.g. such as 315 NO₃-N x SM for the N₂O regression). The regressions were fitted through an LMM 316 317 framework, preceded by a series of exploratory analyses for a richer understanding of the GHG processes. 318

319

320 2.5.1. Exploratory analyses

In the first instance, basic descriptive statistics (mean, standard error of the mean and standard deviation), robust (outlier-resistant) descriptive statistics (median, Qn-scale estimator) and histograms, were used to explore the Extensive and Intensive site data, for each soil, topographic and gas parameter in turn. Secondly, a spatial autocorrelation analysis was undertaken through the calculation of variograms to determine the strength of spatial

dependence in the N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. The valid observation of spatial autocorrelation 326 effects is dependent on: (a) whether or not they actually exist in the data and (b) whether or 327 328 not the two sample designs are sufficient for these effects to be reliably captured. Important scales of spatial autocorrelation can go un-noticed through poor sample design (e.g. Webster 329 and Lark, 2012). Thirdly, the N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were each investigated over the 330 Extensive and Intensive sites, through six independent (univariate only) geostatistical 331 332 prediction analyses to a grid. Specifically, the Empirical Maximum Likelihood Kriging (EMLK) algorithm of Pardo-Igúzquiza and Dowd (2005a; 2005b) was used, whose 333 334 variogram parameters were estimated by Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML; Ribeiro and Diggle, 2001), to normal scores transformed data. Details of EMLK are given in SI. 335 Fourthly, and to complete the exploratory analyses, an assessment of data relationships was 336 undertaken through scatterplots and linear correlation coefficients (for relating the GHGs to 337 the continuous data -i.e. soils and topography) and conditional boxplots (for relating the 338 GHGs to the categorical/indicator data – i.e. vegetation-type and OS). Unlike SLOP, ELEV, 339 CTI, VT and OS, which are all exhaustive and available throughout the pasture, the sampled 340 nature of the soil-based predictors (NO₃⁻N, NH₄⁺N, pH, SM, WFPS, BD, TC, TN, CNR, 341 SOM) prevents their use for the GHG prediction surfaces (i.e. extending EMLK to a 342 multivariate form (Hengl et al., 2003) to produce prediction grids or 'heatmaps'), unless the 343 soil-based predictors are exhaustively sampled. 344

345

346 2.5.2. Non-spatial and spatial multivariate regression analyses

Study multivariate regressions (Eq. 1 and Eq. 2) were constructed in six non-spatial and six
spatial forms, where the parameters of the former were estimated through ordinary least
squares (OLS), while the parameters of the latter were estimated through REML, to

unbiasedly account for a spatially-autocorrelated error term (as modelled by a residual

351	variogram). As in the EMLK analyses, initial starting parameters for the variogram
352	component of the (iterative) REML fits were found using (biased) parameters from a
353	weighted least squares (WLS) variogram model fit to the corresponding empirical variogram.
354	The OLS- and REML-estimated regressions were conducted using LMM functions in the R
355	nlme package (Pinheiro et al., 2018). Again, as in the EMLK analyses, only isotropic
356	exponential variogram models were considered, but now to characterise spatial dependence in
357	residual data. To promote linearity in regression relationships, Box-Cox transforms (Box and
358	Cox, 1964) were used to transform both the response (gases) and predictor (soils, topography,
359	class) variables, where appropriate. Regression outcomes were reported through model
360	parameter significance tests together with R^2 , Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and
361	Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) model fit summaries. AIC and BIC account for model
362	complexity and model prediction accuracy, whereas R^2 values only reflect the latter ¹ .
363	For interpretation of the regressions, note that WFPS is a function of soil moisture and
364	bulk density, CTI is a function of slope and elevation (and also aspect), and soil TC and TN
365	are represented in addition to their ratio (as recommended by Kronmal, 1993). Such
366	dependencies in the predictor variable data sets may create unwanted collinearity effects, in
367	addition to likely collinearities between say, TC and SOM, and therefore may mask important
368	drivers of GHG variability. In this respect, variance inflation factors (VIFs) for each predictor
369	in the regressions were calculated and predictors with a VIF > 10 were removed (following
370	guidelines given in Belsley et al., 1980; O'Brien, 2007) and the regression re-fitted. An
371	alternative to improve statistical inferences in the presence of collinearity can be found in a
372	penalised regression (e.g. Zou and Hastie 2005) but was not considered in this instance.

¹ Reporting of an R^2 value for REML regressions should be viewed cautiously as R^2 is designed for an OLS fit and as such, does not account for error variation due to residual spatial autocorrelation. Thus, *p*-values for R^2 significance are only reported for OLS regressions. However, all such tests of significance themselves can only be viewed as indicative when the assumptions of data independence are not met (as is common with spatial data). This is similarly true for reporting the significance for *r* values.

374 2.5.3. Data truncation for outlying CH₄ values

For the Extensive site data, one relatively high CH₄ flux consistently stood out as outlying in 375 a univariate (via the histogram), bivariate (via scatterplots) and 'distance-paired' (via the 376 variogram) sense. It was decided, therefore, to truncate this flux to the next flux in the 377 ordered data set, plus 20% of that next flux. Thus, a CH₄ flux of 253.81 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹ was 378 truncated to 25.15 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹. Similarly, for the Intensive site data, two CH₄ fluxes 379 stood out as outlying and were truncated; one relatively low at -82.29 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹ 380 (truncated to -52.14 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹) the other relatively high at 662.71 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹ 381 (truncated to 275.85 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹). Data truncation decisions are common to many 382 statistical studies (e.g. Costa, 2014) and provide a pragmatic solution to the use of a more 383 involved robust, non-linear statistical analysis (e.g. through the use of copulas, following 384 Kazianka and Pilz, 2010), when only a few observations are outlying. A sensitivity analysis 385 (not shown) to the three data truncations resulted in no obvious adverse consequences to the 386 resultant statistical interpretations of the Extensive and Intensive site CH₄ spatial processes. 387 The locations of the three data truncations are shown in the CH₄ maps of Figure 2 (one of 388 which is near the field entrance of the Intensive site). 389

390

391 **3. Results and Discussion**

392 **3.1.** Soil properties and site topography

To provide an understanding of the conditions under which the GHGs were produced, descriptive statistics for the soil variables recorded on one sampling date for each site and each site's topography are shown in Table 1. The Extensive site soil and topographic parameters measured were consistent with other site characteristics described in section 2.1.1. In accordance with the organic matter inputs to the upper soil layers at the Extensive site and

the podzolic soil type (Harrison & Bocock, 1981), soil bulk density was low at some 398 sampling points (minimum of 0.34 g cm⁻³) and below typical values for mineral soils on 399 average (median 0.55 g cm⁻³ cf. ca. 1.3 g cm⁻³; USDA, 2008). Similarly, SOM was high 400 (median 19%; Ball, 1964) and the soil at the Extensive site was acidic (median pH 4.93), 401 potentially slowing organic matter degradation and inhibiting nitrification (USDA, 2011). 402 The Intensive site had high extractable soil NO_3^- concentrations at some sampling 403 points (up to 237 mg NO₃⁻-N kg⁻¹), consistent with the regular fertilisation and high livestock 404 stocking rate (Genever and Buckingham, 2016; Baron et al., 2001). Extractable soil NH4⁺ 405 concentrations were also high at some sampling points (maximum 146 mg NH₄⁺-N kg⁻¹), 406 commonly at the same sampling points at which soil NO₃⁻ concentrations were particularly 407

408 high. However, extractable soil NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentrations were non-normal with a 409 positive skew with the mean concentrations > median concentrations (Table 1).

410

411 **3.2.** Context and spatial variability of the GHG data

412 *3.2.1. GHG distributions*

Emissions of all three GHGs assessed on the sampling date at the Extensive site (Table 2) 413 were comparable to those previously reported at the same site in a year-long automated 414 chamber study by Marsden et al. (2018). Extensive site median N₂O emissions during the 415 growing season from extensively managed pastures at 200 m a.s.l. in Scotland and at 450 m 416 a.s.l. in Switzerland were also similar (4.5 μ g N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹ here, cf. ca. 6 μ g N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹ 417 and 1.2 µg N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively; Flechard et al., 2007). However, for our spatial 418 investigation, greater ranges in N₂O and CH₄ emissions were measured than in Marsden et al. 419 (2018), suggesting that spatial variability exceeds temporal variability at the site, which has 420 also been observed elsewhere (McDaniel et al., 2017). Extensive site N₂O and CO₂ emissions 421 were positively skewed (Fig. 2A) likely due to grazing hotspots (Velthof et al., 1996; 422

423	Chadwick et al., 2014; Giltrap et al., 2014; Cowan et al., 2015) and the small chance of
424	directly sampling a recent urine patch. For CH4, equivalent weak sink behaviour has been
425	previously reported at extensive upland pastures (Imer et al., 2013; Kaiser et al., 2018).
426	The range of emissions of all three GHGs on the sampling date at the Intensive site
427	was relatively large (Table 2), as observed elsewhere (Turner et al., 2008; Parkin and
428	Venterea, 2010; Jones et al., 2011; Imer et al., 2013; Kaiser et al., 2018). Daily N_2O
429	emissions from a field nearby the lowland site were up to ca. 75 μ g N ₂ O-N m ⁻² h ⁻¹ in summer
430	(Cardenas et al., 2016), considerably lower than the maximum N_2O emissions observed in
431	this study (216 μ g N ₂ O-N m ⁻² h ⁻¹ ; Table 2). This could be explained by the larger rainfall
432	experienced in our study compared with the long-term value as reported in section 2.2.2.
433	However, a comparable spatial snapshot study (Cowan et al., 2015) conducted on an
434	intensively managed grassland in Scotland in summer (but with grazing sheep remaining in
435	the field during gas measurements) recorded N_2O fluxes with a very similar range (2 to
436	227 μg $N_2O\text{-}N$ $m^{\text{-2}}$ $h^{\text{-1}})$ and similar arithmetic and geometric means (25 and 13 μg $N_2O\text{-}N$ $m^{\text{-}}$
437	$^2h^{\text{-1}}$), as were observed in this study. These high maximal N2O fluxes likely result from
438	directly sampling of a recent urine patch (sheep were only removed 3 days prior to the
439	experiment at the Intensive site). Correspondingly, Intensive site N ₂ O and CH ₄ fluxes also
440	exhibited positive skew (Fig. 2B), likely again due to antecedent urine patches (Velthof et al.,
441	1996; Chadwick et al., 2014; Giltrap et al., 2014; Cowan et al., 2015). Intensive site CH_4
442	emissions were consistent with the mixture of sink and source behaviour reported by
443	Cardenas et al. (2016) and Saggar et al. (2007), but slightly weaker maximum sink
444	behaviours were observed in these older studies (ca20 and -17 μg CH4-C $m^{\text{-2}}$ $h^{\text{-1}},$
445	respectively, in summer).

446 Average GHG fluxes captured in these spatial studies were representative compared447 with the published literature. However, greater ranges in fluxes were found at both sites than

previously recorded in: i) temporally focused emission factor studies at the same sites and; ii) 448 in spatial studies at other sites. For the first point, this likely relates partly to the larger 449 450 number of independent chambers used, the commonly larger variability of spatial fluxes compared with temporal (McDaniel et al., 2017), and temporal studies may not capture the 451 range of GHG fluxes. The second comparison is likely caused partly by differences in 452 whether, or for how long, grazing livestock are excluded from sites prior to sampling (which 453 454 in turn influences spatial variability, i.e. point i), and is not consistently handled/reported in the literature). Greater consideration of the impact of antecedent grazing effects is 455 456 recommended in Charteris et al. (2020) and would aid comparison between studies.

457

458 3.2.2. GHG spatial dependencies

The normal score variograms (empirical, WLS model and REML model) for 459 Extensive site N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ data (Table 3; Fig. 3A) on the sampling date all indicated 460 some degree of spatial dependence. However, the Extensive site CH₄ variogram was 461 essentially flat with a relatively high nugget variance, indicating a tendency to random 462 behaviour (as given by the CH₄ sample variance). A relatively high nugget variance was also 463 observed for the Extensive site N₂O variogram, but where a spatial correlation range of 240.0 464 m suggested overall spatial structure. High nugget variances reflect either a true variation in 465 GHG fluxes over short distances or could indicate that the sample design was inadequate to 466 capture small-scale variation. Previous studies have similarly observed limited, or no spatial 467 dependence in N₂O fluxes dependent given the scale of observation (Giltrap et al., 2014). 468 Extensive site CO₂ fluxes displayed clearer spatial structure with a range of 205.2 m, coupled 469 with a smaller nugget than structural variance. 470

471 Normal score variograms for the GHG data from the Intensive site (Table 3; Fig. 3B)
472 on the sampling date indicated spatial structure was strongest for N₂O, which had a range of

spatial dependence of 82.5 m. Turner et al. (2008) reported N₂O spatial dependence ranges of 473 up to 73 m and 51 m at a dairy grassland in Australia in summer and autumn, while no spatial 474 structure in N₂O fluxes was found in the comparable study at an intensively managed Scottish 475 sheep pasture in summer (Cowan et al., 2015), with a similar mean and range in N₂O fluxes 476 already compared with our Intensive site. The Intensive site CO₂ and CH₄ variograms had 477 relatively high nugget variances, coupled with spatial dependencies of 80.2 m and 84.7 m, 478 479 respectively. Similar limited spatial dependency has also been reported for CO₂ at a similar site – during 22 sampling events over the course of a year, Kreba et al. (2013) found spatial 480 481 dependence ranges of between 3.2 m and 70.4 m for a mown, fertilised grassland (at 300 m a.s.l.). 482

483

484 *3.2.3. GHG spatial surfaces*

The EMLK surfaces for the Extensive and Intensive site GHG predictions on the 485 respective sampling dates, the corresponding 95% prediction credible intervals (PCIs), and 486 the corresponding risk of exceeding a pre-specified threshold (taken as the 80th percentile of 487 the actual, sampled GHG data²) are given in Figures 4 and 5. The spatial characteristics of 488 each EMLK surface directly reflect the characteristics of the GHG data as mapped in Figure 489 2 and the characteristics of the normal scores REML variograms, as given in Table 3 and 490 Figures 3A and 3B. The surfaces also, in part, reflect the kriging neighbourhood 491 specification, where all EMLK runs were specified with at least 33% of nearby data within a 492 minimum distance of 80% of the maximum distance possible, for each grid point GHG 493 prediction (where the prediction grid is approximately at a 0.5 m x 0.5 m resolution). 494 Given the observed spatial structures and kriging specifications, above, all prediction 495 surfaces resulted in the GHG data being highly smoothed. Uncertainty in the predictions via 496

 $^{^{2}}$ The use of the 80th percentile of the sample GHG data is arbitrary and is used for demonstration purposes only. If a recognised widely-approved threshold exists for a given GHG, then it should be used instead.

the 95% PCIs, directly relay the variability reflected in the respective variograms, where 497 relatively high nugget variances and high nugget effects (Table 3) were observed. The 498 uncertainty measures via the 95% PCIs were too wide to be of any practical use. Unusually 499 high or low fluxes (hot or cold spots, Figs. 2, 4, 5) did not particularly match any identifiable 500 features in either case study field. Tentatively, it appears that fluxes at the Extensive site 501 tended to be higher around the edge of the field. A tenuous link could be made to sheep using 502 503 the field boundaries for shelter (i.e. causing nutrient enrichment etc. in these areas - a similar tentative visual spatial pattern was observed in the soil N data, not shown), but only the 504 505 eastern field boundary had a solid wall that could provide shelter (depending also on the prevailing wind direction), all other field boundaries were wire fences. Intensive site GHG 506 fluxes tended to be higher in an East-West band across the middle of the field, aligning with 507 the field's topography (which is more formally assessed, below). Interestingly, the Intensive 508 site CO₂ surfaces (Fig. 5B) depicted areas of high prediction uncertainty that corresponded to 509 areas of low predicted fluxes, and vice-versa. This was contrary to the other five GHG 510 surfaces, and contrary to what is commonly found in the environmental sciences, where the 511 mean commonly scales proportionally with the variance (Chilès and Delfiner, 1999). The 512 spatial characteristics of the risk of exceedance surfaces reflect chosen thresholds, together 513 with the corresponding prediction/PCI surfaces. 514

515

516 **3.3.** Soil, topography and other parameters as predictors of GHG fluxes

517 *3.3.1 Correlation analysis*

As further exploration prior to this study's regression fits, the paired relationships (correlations) between the Extensive and Intensive site N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes on the relevant sampling date with the pasture soils and topography are presented in Figure 6. The GHG relationships with vegetation-type and OS are given in Figure 7. In the Extensive site

522 case, N₂O, CO₂, soil NO₃⁻, soil NH₄⁺ and soil moisture were each transformed to

523 (approximate) normality using the Box-Cox transform. In the Intensive site case, N₂O, CH₄,

soil NO_3^- , soil NH_4^+ and soil moisture were Box-Cox transformed. Relationships worth highlighting are described as follows, where all highlighted correlations were significant at the 95% level.

Extensive site N₂O fluxes were found to be positively correlated with soil NO₃⁻ (r = 0.58), and vegetation-type could, in part, discriminate across the Extensive site N₂O fluxes. For CO₂ fluxes at the same site, weak positive correlations were present with soil NO₃⁻ (r = 0.26) and with soil NH₄⁺ (r = 0.32), while a weak negative correlation existed with TC:TN (r = -0.32). For CH₄ fluxes, no relationships were strong enough to warrant highlighting.

Intensive site N₂O displayed a weak positive correlation with soil moisture (r = 0.28), a weak negative correlation with elevation (r = -0.30), and OS could have influenced these fluxes. For Intensive site CO₂ fluxes, a moderate positive correlation was present with soil moisture (r = 0.47), a weak positive correlation was present with % WFPS (r = 0.29) and a weak negative correlation was present with elevation (r = -0.28). There were no clear relationships with Intensive site CH₄ fluxes.

For correlations between predictor variables, % TC was strongly correlated with % 538 TN for both sites (r = 0.94 and r = 0.95, respectively) and as such, % TC was removed to 539 avoid detrimental collinearity effects before subsequent regressions (i.e. avoid inaccurate 540 coefficient estimation and associated uncertainties). Furthermore, on investigation of the 541 VIFs from initial OLS regressions, it was found that the removal of WFPS (for both sites) 542 ensured all VIFs < 10 (specifically, all VIFs < 2 and < 6 for the extensive and intensive sites, 543 respectively). Thus, detrimental collinearity effects due to the inclusion of WFPS in the 544 regressions were also addressed. 545

547 *3.3.2 Regression analysis*

The non-spatial (OLS) and spatial (REML) regression fit summaries for the relevant 548 549 sampling dates are given in Table 4 (Extensive site) and Table 5 (Intensive site), together with the corresponding (empirical, WLS model and REML model) residual variograms (Figs. 550 3C, D). As is often the case in a multivariate spatial analysis, structure found in the response 551 variable variograms (as effectively given in Figs. 3A, B) reduces when variance in the 552 553 response is usefully informed by a set of predictors (in this instance, the soil data, topography etc.). This effect was evident in Figures 3C and 3D, where four of the six residual variograms 554 555 displayed a random (regression) error structure. Thus, the regressions for Extensive site N₂O and CH₄, and Intensive site CO₂ and CH₄, did not warrant a spatially-autocorrelated error 556 term. 557

Here, the significant predictors (at least at the 95% level) for Extensive site N₂O 558 regression ($R^2 = 0.57$; p = 0.000) were soil NO₃⁻ and grassland and marsh vegetation-type, 559 while the significant predictors for Extensive site CH₄ regression ($R^2 = 0.25$; p = 0.005) were 560 soil NO3⁻, soil NH4⁺ and soil moisture. The significant predictors for Extensive site N2O 561 regression concur with those recorded as significant in other studies on intensively managed 562 pastures (soil moisture content, NO_3^- and NH_4^+ – Velthof et al., 1996; NO_3^- , NH_4^+ and Olsen-563 phosphorus – Turner et al., 2008; NO₃⁻, pH and % WFPS – Cowan et al., 2015). That NO₃⁻ 564 was a significant predictor of N₂O emissions across these studies accords well with its 565 substrate role in N₂O production via denitrification and potential limitation due to extensive 566 management and lack of fertilisation at the Extensive site. For CH₄, other studies have found 567 the key predictors of CH₄ to be soil moisture (which commonly leads to relationships with 568 topography; Imer et al., 2013; Kaiser et al., 2018) and % TC content (McDaniel et al., 2017). 569 Methanogenesis is an anaerobic process (increased soil moisture can reduce O₂ availability) 570 which can use small C-containing compounds, as well as CO₂, as substrates which likely 571

explains these relationships. The observed driving effects of soil NO₃⁻, soil NH₄⁺ on 572 Extensive site CH₄ fluxes are unexplained, but it is important to note that the fit of the 573 Extensive site CH₄ regression was relatively weak, so this should not be over-interpreted. The 574 significant predictor for Intensive site CO₂ regression ($R^2 = 0.31$; p = 0.001) was soil 575 moisture only (intercept aside), while no significant predictors were found for Intensive CH₄ 576 regression ($R^2 = 0.10$; p = 0.655). Soil moisture (important for microbial activity) is a known 577 578 controlling factor of CO₂ (Kreba et al., 2013), which aligns with its significance in the Intensive site CO₂ regression. 579

580 Structure in the residual variogram for Extensive site CO₂ was present, but tenuous (Fig. 3C) and this was reflected by only a small decrease in AIC from the non-spatial to the 581 spatial regression (315.7 to 313.6; Table 4) coupled with a slight increase in BIC (357.5 to 582 358.0; Table 4). Unsurprisingly, the non-spatial and spatial regression results for Extensive 583 site CO₂ were broadly similar and the significant predictors were (the intercept), soil NH₄⁺, 584 slope and elevation in both cases (noting that the significance of all predictors reduced when 585 spatial effects were included). The R^2 of both the non-spatial and spatial regressions was 0.34 586 (with p = 0.000 for the OLS fit). In the literature, CO₂ relationships to soil factors have been 587 various (Kreba et al., 2013) and there are no clear explanations for the significant predictors 588 of Extensive site CO₂. 589

Thus, a REML-based, spatial regression fit was only fully warranted for Intensive site N₂O production (i.e. clear residual variogram structure was evident (Fig. 3D), coupled with sufficient reduction in AIC (360.4 to 354.2 in Table 5) from the non-spatial, OLS-based fit). Here, the significant predictors in the non-spatial regression were soil moisture, soil NO₃⁻ and elevation, the latter two of which when mapped (the soil NO₃⁻ map is not shown, but the elevation map is given in Fig. 1B) displayed a clear spatial trend south to north. However, the same two N₂O predictors became insignificant when spatial effects were implicitly catered

for in the regression (via the error term), where now (the intercept), soil moisture (with 597 increased significance) and bulk density were significant predictors of N₂O instead. This 598 599 critical observation ably demonstrates the importance of accounting for spatial effects for data and processes that are inherently spatial, else incorrect scientific inferences can result 600 (e.g. Harris, 2019). Here correlation should not be confused with causation, but although soil 601 NO_3^- may be considered a driver of N₂O, its weak correlation with N₂O (r = -0.02 from Fig. 602 6B) suggests the non-spatial regression provides spurious outputs. The R^2 values for these 603 regressions were relatively weak at 0.27 (with p = 0.005 for the OLS fit). 604

605 Our hypotheses that one or more of the factors tested drove spatial variability in emissions (and were site-specific) were correct for all GHGs, except Intensive CH₄. 606 However, the fit of the final six regressions ranged from moderately strong to very weak with 607 R^2 values of 0.57, 0.34 and 0.25 for Extensive and 0.27, 0.31 and 0.10 for the Intensive site 608 N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, respectively. Thus, in each instance, as also hypothesised, there 609 are likely missing predictors of N₂O, CO₂ or CH₄, not assessed in this work. These missing 610 predictors could include soil microbial biomass and community composition (for all three 611 GHGs), air temperature, soil temperature (especially for CO₂, see Imer et al., 2013; Kreba et 612 al., 2013), soil electrical conductivity (especially for CH₄, see McDaniel et al., 2017), 613 volumetric water content, porosity, total dissolved N, total extractable N, total dissolved 614 organic carbon, soil hydroxylamine (especially for N₂O, see Liu et al., 2016), distance-based 615 predictors (such as distance from sample site to fence, gate or water trough), un-observed 616 management effects, together with predictors that reflect underlying plant (e.g. root and shoot 617 biomass, likely affecting CO₂ emissions) and livestock emissions (e.g. average sheep 618 movement patterns, spatial intensities of urination). In addition, as already noted in Section 619 3.2.2., insufficient resolution of spatial sampling could affect the applicability of spatial 620 analyses. 621

623 **3.4.** Comparison of case studies

624 There are clearly some differences between the two case studies presented in this work that obfuscate their direct comparison (season and weather conditions; site size and management 625 strategies; necessary scaling of sampling resolution between sites; slightly different static 626 chamber designs). In particular, the 10 °C difference in temperature (Extensive: ca. 5 °C vs. 627 Intensive: ca. 15 °C) between the sites is likely to have increased emissions at the Intensive 628 site compared with the Extensive. However, as with the discussion of the results from each 629 630 site in the context of other published studies in the literature, some cursory comparison is of value. Consistent with the site management (Extensive vs. Intensive), season (autumn vs. 631 summer), lower median extractable soil NO_3^- concentrations (2.0 cf. 6.8 mg NO_3^- -N kg⁻¹) and 632 lower median soil pH (4.93 cf. 5.72; Table 1), as well as the lower temperatures, median N₂O 633 fluxes at the Extensive site were lower than those at the Intensive site (4.5 cf. 19.2 μ g N₂O-634 N m⁻² h⁻¹; Table 2; Flechard et al., 2007; Imer et al., 2013; Marsden et al., 2018; Marsden et 635 al., 2019). Soil moisture content, elevation and NO_3^{-1} concentrations were the significant 636 predictors of N₂O at the Intensive site, while when the appropriate spatially informed 637 regression was used for the Intensive site, NO_3^- , which displayed a clear spatial trend from 638 south to north, was no longer a significant predictor of N₂O (instead these were soil moisture 639 and bulk density only, as elevation also became insignificant). Extractable soil NO₃⁻ 640 concentrations could also have been less important at the Intensive site as soil concentrations 641 were higher and NO_3^- may not have been a limiting factor in denitrification at this site. 642 Higher extractable soil NO₃⁻ concentrations at the Intensive site (Table 1) were likely due to 643 considerably higher reactive N inputs per ha – relatively high stocking density (2.9 LU ha⁻¹) 644 leading to higher grazing returns, FYM inputs and regular NH4NO3 applications. Lower 645 extractable soil NH₄⁺ concentrations despite these inputs (median 3.1 cf. 11.4 mg NH₄⁺-N kg⁻ 646

¹ at the Extensive site), may have been due to higher crop uptake and/or nitrification rates in
the Intensive site soil (Booth et al., 2005) and/or because extractable NH₄⁺ was protected
from nitrification by organic matter in the Extensive site soil (Cardenas et al., 2013). In
addition, nitrification rates at the Extensive site could have been inhibited by the lower soil
pH (Table 1; Marsden et al., 2019).

Median CO₂ fluxes were similarly lower at the Extensive site (29.4 cf. 200.5 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹; Table 2), most likely due to the lower temperatures during sampling at this site (Fang and Moncrieff, 2001). A link could be made between the topographic predictor variables of Extensive site CO₂ fluxes (slope and elevation) and the soil moisture predictor at the Intensive site, as topographic parameters can affect soil moisture distributions. However, soil moisture at the Extensive site did not correlate with slope or elevation (Fig. 6), so in this case, significant topographical factors have not acted as surrogates to soil moisture.

Interestingly, at the time of sampling, the Extensive site was on average a CH₄ sink, 659 while the Intensive site was a small source (median: -28.0 cf. 0.3 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹; Table 2). 660 This is despite similar % WFPS values between the sites (52 cf. 57%; Table 1), which, via O₂ 661 availability, is one of the most important controls determining the balance of CH₄ (and N₂O) 662 production and uptake (Imer et al., 2013; Oertel et al., 2016). Imer et al. (2013) found a 663 similar increased likelihood of CH₄ sink behaviour with altitude but hypothesised that this 664 was because the long winter period could not be sampled at the highest altitude site of their 665 study, which would not apply here. 666

667

668 **3.5.** *Limitations and extensions*

Clearly, the characterization of GHG spatial processes for sheep-grazed ecosystems are a
challenge. GHG-generating soil processes vary at the intra-aggregate, microsite scale,
upwards to local soil conditions, vegetation type, and topography (centimetre-to-metre scale)

and further to soil and ecosystem type (kilometre scale) and beyond (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 672 2013; Giltrap et al., 2014; Shaw et al., 2016). Adequately capturing such scales of variation is 673 674 difficult and this was not the direct intention of this study's sample design, where the focus was on estimating spatial dependence effects with respect to assessing key drivers of GHG 675 variability (i.e. the regression models). However, better capturing spatial variability (as for 676 the pilot-informed Intensive site sampling) does improve ability to determine spatial 677 678 dependence. Sources of GHGs also include GHG-generating plant processes and those associated with the sheep themselves (requiring their movement/behaviour to be captured, see 679 680 Decandia et al., 2018), neither of which were directly studied here. Furthermore, the influence of the soils, the plants and the livestock on fluxes will differ and interact in a 681 specific manner for each GHG (N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄). 682

We focused on one sampling day for each site as our focus was the within-field 683 spatial variation, not the temporal variation. A single spatial study can only provide a 684 snapshot of GHG variability in time but complements studies that have focused on temporal 685 GHG variation. Notable differences in N₂O outputs between studies can result from 686 differences in the duration of sheep exclusion from the study pasture prior to commencement 687 of the sampling (grazing legacy effects). Given the relative complexity of the factors driving 688 GHG fluxes spatially, spatial GHG variability tends to be larger than temporal GHG 689 variability (McDaniel et al., 2017). Understanding temporal GHG variation is equally 690 important as understanding spatial GHG variation, where for example, the sink/source 691 behaviour of CO₂ is dependent on seasonal weather conditions (Soussana et al., 2007; Mudge 692 et al., 2011; Rutledge et al., 2015; Gourlez de la Motte et al., 2016). Ultimately, it is the 693 characterisation of the full spatio-temporal GHG process that is the research goal. Spatial-694 only and temporal-only studies are always limited in this respect. A key challenge is 695 advancing sensor technology to measure the GHGs concurrently in space and time, where the 696

act of measurement itself does not compromise the grazing behaviour of the sheep. Placing
chambers in the field compromises grazing behaviour, while eddy covariance cannot capture
the spatial detail given it provides an area measurement (i.e. a footprint) and is not well suited
to use on hill slopes. Eddy covariance data modelling to characterise spatio-temporal GHG
processes from grazing is also inherently involved, requiring suitable expertise to develop,
calibrate and interpret.

703 Some steps towards this goal have been reported. Lush et al. (2018) used tri-axial accelerometers and random forest modelling to identify urination events by grazing sheep, 704 705 which, when combined with high-resolution GPS movement data, could be used to provide the spatio-temporal distribution of urine patches for the improved estimation of pasture GHG 706 emissions. A combination of eddy covariance and short periods of animal confinement within 707 specific small areas has been used to assess the contribution of cattle to intensive pasture CO₂ 708 and CH₄ emissions (Jérôme et al., 2014; Dumortier et al., 2017). Various new techniques 709 have been developed in recent years to identify urine patches, for example: LiDAR, via grass 710 growth/increased height (Roten et al., 2017); remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and 711 visible and near infra-red (NIR) imaging, via colour differences (Maire et al., 2018); and 712 Spikey-R technology which measures on soil electrical conductivity (Jolly et al., 2019). 713 Further work is needed, however, to assess the dynamics between patch emission and grass 714 growth to understand the utility of the approach for investigating urine patch-derived GHG 715 hotspots/moments (i.e. growth could be identified one week after urine application, but by 716 this time N₂O emissions may have peaked and diminished). In addition, emission factor trials 717 at UK upland extensively managed sites (Marsden et al., 2018; Marsden et al., 2019) indicate 718 that urine patches do not always act as strong GHG sources, in which case patch 719 identification does not guarantee a GHG hotspot. 720

721

722 **3.6** *Implications for field-level emission estimation*

Current practice entails that field-level (model-based) and indeed country-level emission 723 estimates are based on uniform treatments (e.g. N amendments) to specific areas (e.g. Wu et 724 al., 2015; Marsden et al., 2018). This assumes a perfect N distribution and the same response 725 across the rest of the field. However, most GHG source processes are biogenic and highly 726 spatially variable, and this inherent within-field variability in the fluxes is not captured in 727 728 emission estimates based on fluxes made using chambers. As a result, model-based emissions may be under- or over-estimated. Further, given the underlying flux variability is unknown, 729 730 any associated uncertainty or confidence in these estimates are similarly poorly constructed (e.g. as found by Shurpali et al. (2016) when diurnal variations are excluded). 731

Our study provides insight into within-field GHG variability (via the kriging analyses, 732 section 3.2.3) and how soil, topographical and vegetation factors may drive this (via the 733 regression analyses, section 3.3.2) for contrasting extensively and intensively managed 734 pastures. Crucially, this insight is robust through the application of explicitly spatial methods 735 that capture key spatial dependencies in the GHG processes (via the variogram analyses, 736 section 3.2.2), without which false interpretations may result (section 3.3.2). Ultimately, 737 information gained through this and subsequent within-field spatial studies, for all pasture 738 types across all agro-ecological regions should enable the implementation of locally adjusted 739 field-level emission estimates that implicitly acknowledge likely within-field GHG 740 variability, together with associated measures of confidence. Challenges remain in the 741 implementation of such studies and ensuring sufficient sample resolution so that key spatial 742 effects in the GHG processes are captured and how often such studies should be repeated (i.e. 743 ensuring sufficient temporal resolution) to ensure key temporal effects are captured also. 744 Micrometeorological approaches such as eddy covariance can be used alongside chambers to 745 provide better estimates of integrated N₂O emissions for comparison (e.g. Jones et al., 2011). 746

748 **4. Conclusions**

The within-field variability of all three GHGs (N₂O, CO₂, CH₄) at both study sites was high, 749 as expected. The pilot-informed sample design at the Intensive site appeared better able to 750 capture the spatial dependence of fluxes than that found at the Extensive site, highlighting the 751 importance of pilot studies. A REML-based spatial regression was only worth applying to 752 753 Intensive site N₂O fluxes, which had the strongest spatial dependence of all the gases measured, across both study sites. Importantly, this regression produced a different set of 754 755 significant predictor variables to that found with an OLS-based regression (from soil moisture, soil NO₃⁻ and elevation, to soil moisture and bulk density), demonstrating clear 756 value in accounting for spatial effects. 757

Overall, the significant predictors of the GHG fluxes only exerted a weak consistent 758 influence and were site-specific, suggesting that other factors not recorded in this study may 759 be more important, or relationships were more complex than were captured in the chosen 760 statistical models (e.g. non-linear relationships, models with interacting terms). The strongest 761 regression fit was for Extensive site N₂O with an $R^2 = 0.57$, whose significant predictors, soil 762 NO_3^{-} , and grassland and marsh vegetation-type could be explained by known N₂O controlling 763 factors and accorded well with the literature. Given the complex balance of factors required 764 to induce emissions of N₂O and CH₄, it is perhaps unsurprising that fluxes are strongly 765 determined by local conditions leading to differences in limiting factors. Changes in the 766 balance of GHG emission-contributors could even change across or within a field leading to 767 more complex relationships between predictors and fluxes, than considered here. In this 768 respect, on-going work is investigating the use of more sophisticated multiscale, GHG spatial 769 regressions that account for non-linear and non-stationary relationships, each operating at 770 their own spatial scale (Murakami et al., 2019). 771

773	Acknowledgements
774	Thanks to Jon Arthur Holmberg, Non Gwenllian Williams, Sian Rowlands, Lucy Greenfield,
775	Maria McMahon and Dave Arnott for their assistance with the Extensive site spatial sampling
776	campaign and sample processing and to Jia Liang, Yang Zhang, Xi Wang and Alison
777	Carswell for assistance with the Intensive site work. Thanks also to Andrew Packwood for
778	vegetation survey information for the Extensive study site.
779	Funding: This work was supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council
780	(NERC) [grant numbers NE/M015351/1, NE/M013847/1 and NE/M013154/1]; and by the
781	UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) [grant numbers
782	BBS/E/C/000J0100, BBS/E/C/000I0320 and BBS/E/C/000I0330].
783	
784	References
785	Asner, G.P., Elmore, A.J., Olander, L.P., Martin, R.E., Harris, A.T., 2004. Grazing systems,
786	ecosystem responses, and global change. Annu. Rev. of Environ. Resour. 29, 261-99.
787	http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.29.062403.102142.
788	Avery, B.W., 1980. Soil Classification for England and Wales (Higher Categories). Soil
789	Surv. Tech. Monogr. No. 14. Harpenden.
790	Belsley, D.A., Kuh, E., Welsch, R.E., 1980. Regression Diagnostics: Identifying Influential
791	Data and Sources of Collinearity, John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
792	Ball, D.F., 1964. Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of organic matter and organic carbon in
793	non-calcareous soils. J. Soil Sci. 15, 84-92.
794	Baron, V.S., Dick, A.C., Mapfumo, E., Malhi, S.S., Naeth, M.A., Chanasyk, D.S., 2001.
795	Grazing impacts on soil nitrogen and phosphorus under Parkland pastures. J. Range

796 Manage. 54, 704–710.

- Betteridge, K., Costall, D., Balladur, S., Upsdell, M., Umemura, K., 2010a. Urine distribution
 and grazing behaviour of female sheep and cattle grazing a steep New Zealand hill
 pasture. Anim. Prod. Sci. 50, 624-629. http://doi.org/10.1071/AN09201.
- 800 Betteridge, K., Hoogendoorn, C., Costall, D., Carter, M., Griffiths, W., 2010b. Sensors for
- 801 detecting and logging spatial distribution of urine patches of grazing female sheep and
- cattle. Comput. Electron. Agric. 73, 66-73.
- 803 <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2010.04.005</u>.
- 804 Box, G.E.P., Cox, D.R., 1964. An analysis of transforms. J. Royal Stat. Soc. Ser. B

805 (Methodol.). 26, 211-252. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/2984418</u>.

- Brown, P., Cardenas, L., Choudrie, S., Jones, L., Karagianni, E., MacCarthy, J., Passant, N.,
- Richmond, B., Smith, H., Thistlethwaite, G., Thomson, A., Turtle, L., Wakeling, D.,
- 2020. UK Greenhouse Gas Inventory, 1990 to 2018: Annual Report for submission
- 809 under the Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- 810 Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E.M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.,
- 811 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and
- their controls? Philosophical Trans. Royal Soc. B, 368, 20130122.
- 813 <u>http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0122</u>.
- 814 Cardenas, L.M., Hatch, D.J., Scholefield, D., Jhurreea, D., Clark, I.M., Hirsch, P.R., Salazar,
- F., Rao-Ravella, S., Alfaro., M., 2013. Potential mineralisation and nitrification in
- volcanic grassland soils in Chile. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 59, 380-391.
- 817 <u>http://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2013.789395</u>.
- 818 Cardenas, L.M., Misselbrook, T.M., Hodgson, C., Donovan, N., Gilhespy, S., Smith, K.A.,
- ⁸¹⁹ Dhanoa, M.S., Chadwick, D., 2016. Effect of the application of cattle urine with or
- without the nitrification inhibitor DCD, and dung on greenhouse gas emissions from a
- UK grassland soil. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 235, 229–241.

- 822 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.10.025</u>.
- 823 Chadwick, D.R., Cardenas, L., Misselbrook, T.H., Smith, K.A., Rees, R.M., Watson, C.J.,
- McGeough, K.L, Williams, J.R., Cloy, J.M., Thorman, R.E., Dhanoa, M.S., 2014.
- 825 Optimizing chamber methods for measuring nitrous oxide emissions from plot-based
- agricultural experiments. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 65, 295–307.
- 827 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12117</u>.
- 828 Charteris, A.F., Chadwick, D.R., Thorman, R.E., Vallejo, A., de Klein, C.A.M., Rochette, P.,
- 829 Cárdenas, L.M., 2020. Global Research Alliance N2O chamber methodology
- guidelines: Recommendations for deployment and accounting for sources of variability.
- ⁸³¹ J. Environ. Qual. 49, 1092-1109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20126</u>.
- Chilès, J.-P., Delfiner, P., 1999. Geostatistics: Modelling Spatial Uncertainty, John Wiley &
 Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.
- Collins, A.L., Burak, E., Harris, P., Pulley, S., Cardenas, L., Tang, Q., 2019. Field scale
- temporal and spatial variability of δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N, TC and TN soil properties: Implications
- for sediment source tracing. Geoderma. 333, 108-122.
- 837 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.07.019</u>.
- Costa, P.J., 2014. Truncated outlier filtering. J. Biopharm. Stat. 24, 1115-1129.
- 839 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2014.926366</u>.
- Cowan, N.J., Norman, P., Famulari, D., Levy, P.E., Reay, D.S., Skiba, U.M., 2015. Spatial
- variability and hotspots of soil N₂O fluxes from intensively grazed grassland.
- Biogeosciences. 12, 1585-1596. <u>http://doi.org/doi:10.5194/bg-12-1585-2015</u>.
- ⁸⁴³ De Klein, C.A.M., Harvey. M., 2012. Nitrous oxide chamber methodology guidelines. Global
- Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases, Ministry for Primary Industries,
- 845 Wellington, New Zealand.
- 846 Decandia, M., Giovanetti, V., Molle, G., Acciaro, M., Mameli, M., Cabiddu, A., Cossu, R.,

847	Serra, M.G., Manca, C., Rassu, S.P.G, Dimauro, C., 2018. The effect of different time
848	epoch settings on the classification of sheep behaviour using tri-axial accelerometry.
849	Comput. Electron. Agric. 154, 112-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.09.002.
850	Dumortier, P., Aubinet, M., Beckers, Y., Chopin, H., Debacq, A., Gourlez de la Motte, L.,
851	Jérôme, E., Wilmus, F., Heinesch, B., 2017. Methane balance of an intensively grazed
852	pasture and estimation of the enteric methane emissions from cattle. Agric. For.
853	Meteorol. 232, 527–535. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.09.010.
854	Duncan, J.M., Groffman, P.M., Band, L.E., 2015. Towards closing the watershed nitrogen
855	budget: Spatial and temporal scaling of denitrification. J. Geophys. Res.:
856	Biogeosciences. 118, 1–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrg.20090</u> .
857	Evans, J.S., Oakleaf, J., Cushman, S.A., 2014. An ArcGIS Toolbox for surface gradient and
858	geomorphometric modelling. Version 2.0-0.
859	https://github.com/jeffreyevans/GradientMetrics (accessed 06/08/2019).
860	Fang, C., Moncrieff, J.B., 2001. The dependence of soil CO ₂ efflux on temperature. Soil Biol.
861	Biochem. 33, 155-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00125-5.
862	FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations), 1981. Soil Map of the
863	World. UNESCO, Paris.
864	Flechard, C.R., Ambus, P., Skiba, U., Rees, R.M., Hensen, A., van Amstel, A., van den Pol-
865	van Dasselaar, A., Soussana, JF., Jones, M., Clifton-Brown, J., Raschi, A., Horvath,
866	L., Neftel, A., Jocher, M., Ammann, C., Leifeld, J., Fuhrer, J., Calanca, P., Thalman, E.,
867	Pilegaard, K., Di Marco, C., Campbell, C., Nemitz, E., Hargreaves, K.J., Levy, P.E.,
868	Ball, B.C., Jones, S.K., van de Bulk, W.C.M., Groot, T., Blom, M., Domingues, R.,
869	Kasper, G., Allard, V., Ceschia, E., Cellier, P., Laville, P., Henault, C., Bizouard, F.,
870	Abdalla, M., Williams, M., Baronti, S., Berretti, F., Grosz, B., 2007. Effects of climate
871	and management intensity on nitrous oxide emissions in grassland systems across

- Europe. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 121, 135–152.
- 873 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.024</u>.
- Genever, L., Buckingham, S., 2016. Beef and Sheep Better Returns Programme Manual 8:
- 875 Planning grazing strategies for better returns. Agriculture and Horticulture
- 876 Development Board (AHDB). <u>http://beefandlamb.ahdb.org.uk/returns/nutrition-and-</u>
- 877 <u>forage/</u>. Accessed 27/06/2020.
- 678 Giles, M., Morley, N., Baggs, E.M., Daniell T.J., 2012. Soil nitrate reducing processes –
- drivers, mechanisms for spatial variation, and significance for nitrous oxide production.
- 880 Front. Microbiol. 3, 407. <u>http://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00407</u>.
- Giltrap, D.L., Berben, P., Palmada, T., Saggar, S., 2014. Understanding and analysing spatial
- variability of nitrous oxide emissions from a grazed pasture. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.
- 883 186, 1-10. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.01.012</u>.
- Glastir Entry Booklet 2: Technical Guidance 2015, 2013. Glastir: The new sustainable land
- management scheme for Wales. Digital ISBN: 978 1 4734 0265 2. WG20573, Welsh
 Government.
- 887 Gourlez de la Motte, L. Jérôme, E., Mamadou, O., Beckers, Y., Bodson, B., Heinesch, B.,
- Aubinet, M., 2016. Carbon balance of an intensively grazed permanent grassland in

southern Belgium. Agric. For. Meteorol. 228–229, 370–383.

- 890 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.06.009.
- Harris, P., 2019. A simulation study on specifying a regression model for spatial data:
- Choosing between heterogeneity and autocorrelation effects. Geogr. Anal. 51, 151-181.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gean.12163.
- Harrison, A.F., Bocock, K.L., 1981. Estimation of soil bulk-density from loss-on-ignition
 values. J. Appl. Ecol.18, 919-927.
- Harrod, T.R., Hogan, D.V., 2008. The soils of North Wyke and Rowden, Revised edition of

898	National Soil Resources Institute, Cranfield University, UK). Available from
899	Rothamsted Research. http://resources.rothamsted.ac.uk/farm-platform-national-
900	capability/data-portal-guides-and-information (accessed 05/08/2019).
901	Hengl, T., Geuvelink, G.B.M., Stein, A., 2003. Comparison of kriging with external drift and
902	regression-kriging. Technical note, International Institute for Geoinformation Science
903	and Earth Observation (ITC), The Netherlands.
904	http://www.itc.nl/library/Papers_2003/misca/hengl_comparison.pdf (accessed
905	05/08/2019).
906	Imer, D., Merbold, L., Eugster, W., Buchmann, N., 2013. Temporal and spatial variations of
907	soil CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O fluxes at three differently managed grasslands. Biogeosciences.
908	10, 5931-5945. <u>http://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-5931-2013</u> .
909	Jérôme, E., Beckers, Y., Bodson, B., Heinesch, B., Moureaux, C., Aubinet, M., 2014. Impact
910	of grazing on carbon dioxide exchanges in an intensively managed Belgian grassland.
911	Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 194, 7–16. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.04.021</u> .
912	Jolly, B., Saggar, S., Luo, J., Bates, G., Smith, D., Bishop, P., Berben, P., Lindsey, S., 2019.
913	Technologies for mapping cow urine patches: A comparison of thermal imagery, drone
914	imagery, and soil conductivity with Spikey-R, in: Currie, L.D., Christensen C.L. (Eds.),
915	Nutrient loss mitigations for compliance in agriculture.
916	http://flrc.massey.ac.nz/publications.html. Occasional Report No. 32. Fertilizer and
917	Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. 10 pages.
918	Jones, S.K., Famulari, D., Di Marco, C.F., Nemitz, E., Skiba, U.M., Rees, R.M., Sutton,
919	M.A., 2011. Nitrous oxide emissions from managed grassland: A comparison of eddy
920	covariance and static chamber measurements. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 4, 2179–2194.
921	https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-2179-2011.
	37

original report by Harrod, T.R., 1981. Soil Survey of England and Wales (now the

- Kaiser, K.E., McGlynn, B.L., Dore, J.E., 2018. Landscape analysis of soil methane flux
 across complex terrain. Biogeosciences. 15, 3143–3167. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-</u>
 3143-2018.
- 925 Kazianka, H., Pilz, J., 2010. Copula-based geostatistical modelling of continuous and discrete
- data including covariates. Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess. 24, 661-673.
- 927 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-009-0353-8</u>.
- Klein Goldewijk, K., Beusen, A., Doelman, J., Stehfest, E., 2017. Anthropogenic land use
 estimates for the Holocene HYDE 3.2. Earth Syst. Sci. Data. 9, 927-953.
- 930 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-927-2017.
- Kreba, S.A., Coyne, M.S., McCulley, R.L., Wendroth, O.O., 2013. Spatial and temporal
- patterns of carbon dioxide flux in crop and grass land-use systems. Vadose Zone J.
 https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2013.01.0005.
- Kronmal, R.A., 1993. Spurious correlation and the fallacy of the ratio standard revisited. J.
- 935 Royal Stat. Soc. Ser. A (Stat. Soc.). 156, 379-392. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2983064</u>.
- Liu, S., Herbst, M., Bol, R., Gottselig, N., Pütz, T., Weymann, D., Wiekenkamp, I.,
- 937 Vereecken, H., Brüggemann, N., 2016. The contribution of hydroxylamine content to
- 938 spatial variability of N₂O formation in soil of a Norway spruce forest. Geochim.
- 939 Cosmochim. Acta. 178, 76–86. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.01.026</u>.
- Lush, L., Wilson, R.P., Holton, M.D., Hopkins, P., Marsden, K.A., Chadwick, D.R., King,
- A.J., 2018. Classification of sheep urination events using accelerometers to aid
- 942 improved measurements of livestock contributions to nitrous oxide emissions. Comput.
- 943 Electron. Agric. 150, 170–177. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.04.018</u>.
- Maire, J., Gibson-Poole, S., Cowan, N., Reay, D.S., Richards, K.G., Skiba, U., Rees, R.M.,
- 945 Lanigan, G.J., 2018. Identifying urine patches on intensively managed grassland using
- 946 aerial imagery captured from remotely piloted aircraft systems. Front. Sustain. Food

947 Syst. 2, 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2018.00010</u>.

- Marsden, K.A., Holmberg, J.A., Jones, D.L., Chadwick, D.R., 2018. Sheep urine patch N₂O
- 949 emissions are lower from extensively-managed than intensively-managed grasslands.
- 950 Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 265, 264–274. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.06.025</u>.
- 951 Marsden, K.A., Holmberg, J.A., Jones, D.L., Charteris, A.F., Cárdenas, L.M., Chadwick,
- 952 D.R., 2019. Nitrification represents the bottle-neck of sheep urine patch N₂O emissions
- from extensively grazed organic soils. Sci. Total Environ. 695, 133786.
- 954 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133786.
- 955 McClain, M.E., Boyer, E.W., Dent, C.L., Gergel, S.E., Grimm, N.B., Groffman, P.M., Hart,
- 956 S.C., Harvey, J.W., Johnston, C.A., Mayorga, E., McDowell, W.H., Pinay, G., 2003.
- 957 Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the interface of terrestrial and aquatic
- 958 ecosystems. Ecosyst. 6, 301–312, <u>http://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0161-9</u>.
- 959 McDaniel, M.D., Simpson, R.G., Malone, B.P., McBratney, A.B., Minasny, B., Adams,
- 960 M.A., 2017. Quantifying and predicting spatio-temporal variability of soil CH₄ and
- 961 N₂O fluxes from a seemingly homogeneous Australian agricultural field. Agric.
- 962 Ecosyst. Environ. 240, 182–193. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.017</u>.
- 963 Met Office. <u>https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/public/weather/climate/gcmnvw66g</u> (accessed:
- 964 08/12/2018).
- Moore, I.D., Grayson, R.B., Ladson, A.R., 1991. Digital terrain modelling: A review of
- hydrological, geomorphological, and biological applications. Hydrol. Process. 5, 3-30.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.3360050103.
- 968 Mudge, P.L., Wallace, D.F., Rutledge, S., Campbell, D.I., Schipper, L.A., Hosking, C.L.,
- 969 2011. Carbon balance of an intensively grazed temperate pasture in two climatically
- 970 contrasting years. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 144, 271–280.
- 971 <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.09.003</u>.

- 972 Murakami, D., Lu, B., Harris, P., Brunsdon, C., Charlton, M., Nakaya, T., Griffith, D., 2019.
- 973 The importance of scale in spatially varying coefficient modelling. Ann. Assoc. Am.
 974 Geogr. 109, 50-70. https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2018.1462691.
- 975 O'brien, R.M., 2007. A caution regarding rules of thumb for variance inflation factors. Qual.
- 976 & Quant. 41, 673-690.
- 977 Oertel, C., Matschullat, J., Zurba, K., Zimmermann, F., Erasmi, S., 2016. Greenhouse gas
- emissions from soils—A review, Chem. Erde. 76, 327-352.

979 <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer.2016.04.002</u>.

- 980 Office for National Statistics (ONS), 2016. UK Natural Capital: Ecosystem accounts for
- 981 farmland (Experimental Statistics).
- 982 <u>https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/environmentalaccounts/bulletins/uknaturalcapital/eco</u>
- 983 <u>systemaccountsforfarmlandexperimentalstatistics</u> (accessed 05/08/2019).
- 984 Orr, R.J., Murray, P.J., Eyles, C.J., Blackwell, M.S.A., Cardenas, L.M., Collins, A.L.,
- 985 Dungait, J.A.J., Goulding, K.W.T., Griffith, B.A., Gurr, S.J., Harris, P., Hawkins,
- 986 J.M.B., Misselbrook, T.H., Rawlings, C., Shepherd, A., Sint, H., Tozer, K.N., Wu, L.,
- 987 Lee, M.R.F, 2016. The North Wyke Farm Platform: Effect of temperate grassland
- farming systems on soil moisture contents, surface run-off and associated water quality
- 989 dynamics. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 67, 374-385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12350</u>.
- Pardo-Igúzquiza, E., Dowd, P.A., 2005a. Empirical maximum likelihood kriging: The general
 case. Math. Geol. 37, 477-492. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11004-005-6665-4.
- 992 Pardo-Igúzquiza, E., Dowd, P.A., 2005b. EMLK2D: A computer program for spatial
- estimation using empirical maximum likelihood kriging. Comput. Geosci. 31, 361-370.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2004.09.020.
- 995 Parkin, T.B., 1993. Spatial variability of microbial processes in soil A review. J. Environ.
- 996 Qual. 22, 409-417.

- 997 Parkin, T.B., Venterea, R.T., 2010. Chapter 3: Chamber-based trace gas flux measurements,
- in: Follett, R.F. (Eds), Sampling Protocols. United States Department of Agriculture
 (USDA), pp. 1-39.
- https://www.ars.usda.gov/ARSUserFiles/np212/Chapter%203.%20GRACEnet%20Trac
 e%20Gas%20Sampling%20Protocols.pdf (accessed 05/08/2019).
- 1002 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., R Core Team, 2019. nlme: Linear and
- 1003 Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1-141. <u>https://CRAN.R-</u>
 1004 project.org/package=nlme (accessed 19/08/2019).
- Ribeiro Jr., P.J., Diggle, P.J., 2001. geoR: A package for geostatistical analysis. R News. 1,
 1006 15-18.
- 1007 Rodwell, J.S., 2000. British Plant Communities, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 1008 Rutledge, S., Mudge, P.L., Campbell, D.I., Woodward, S.L., Goodrich, J.P., Wall, A.M.,
- 1009 Kirschbaum, M.U.F., Schipper, L.A., 2015. Carbon balance of an intensively grazed
- 1010 temperate dairy pasture over four years. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 206, 10-20,
- 1011 <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.03.011</u>.
- 1012 Saggar, S., Hedley, C.B., Giltrap, D.L., Lambie, S.M., 2007. Measured and modelled
- 1013 estimates of nitrous oxide emission and methane consumption from a sheep-grazed
- 1014 pasture. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 122, 357-365.
- 1015 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.02.006.
- 1016 Saggar, S., Tate, K.R., Giltrap, D.L., Singh, J., 2008. Soil-atmosphere exchange of nitrous
- 1017 oxide and methane in New Zealand terrestrial ecosystems and their mitigation options:
 1018 A review. Plant Soil. 309, 25–42. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9421-3.
- 1019 Shaw, R., Lark, R.M., Williams, A.P., Chadwick, D.R., Jones, D.L., 2016. Characterising the
- 1020 within-field scale spatial variation of nitrogen in a grassland soil to inform the efficient
- 1021 design of in-situ nitrogen sensor networks for precision agriculture. Agric. Ecosyst.

Environ. 230, 294–306. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.06.004.

- 1023 Shurpali, N., Rannik, Ü., Jokinen, S., Lind, S., Biasi, C., Mammarella, I., Peltola, O., Pihlatie,
- 1024 M., Hyvönen, N., Räty, M., Haapanala, S., Zahniser, M., Virkajärvi, P., Vesala T.,
- 1025 Martikainen, P.J. (2016) Neglecting diurnal variations leads to uncertainties in
- 1026 terrestrial nitrous oxide emissions. Sci. Rep. 6, 25739.
- 1027 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep25739</u>.
- 1028 Sørensen, R., Zinko, U., Seibert, J., 2006. On the calculation of the topographic wetness
- 1029 index: Evaluation of different methods based on field observations. Hydrol. Earth Syst.
- 1030 Sci. 10, 101-112.
- 1031 Soussana, J.F., Allard, V., Pilegaard, K., Ambus, P., Amman, C., Campbell, C., Ceschia, E.,
- 1032 Clifton-Brown, J., Czobel, S., Domingues, R., Flechard, C., Fuhrer, J., Hensen, A.,
- 1033 Horvath, L., Jones, M., Kasper, G., Martin, C., Nagy, Z., Neftel, A., Raschi, A.,
- 1034 Baronti, S., Rees, R.M., Skiba, U., Stefani, P., Manca, G., Sutton, M., Tuba, Z.,
- 1035 Valentini, R., 2007. Full accounting of the greenhouse gas (CO₂, N₂O, CH₄) budget of
- nine European grassland sites. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 121, 121–134.
- 1037 <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.022</u>.
- 1038 Takahashi, T., Harris, P., Blackwell, M.S.A., Cardenas, L.M., Collins, A.L., Dungait, J.A.J.,
- 1039 Hawkins, J.M.B., Misselbrook, T.H., McAuliffe, G.A., McFadzean, J.N., Murray, P.J.,
- 1040 Orr, R.J., Rivero, M.J., Wu, L., Lee, M.R.F., 2018. Roles of instrumented farm-scale
- 1041 trials in trade-off assessments of pasture-based ruminant production systems. Animal.
- 1042 12, 1766-1776. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731118000502</u>.
- 1043 Turner, D.A., Chen, D., Galbally, I.E., Leuning, R., Edis, R.B., Li, Y., Kelly, K., Phillips, F.,
- 1044 2008. Spatial variability of nitrous oxide emissions from an Australian irrigated dairy
- 1045 pasture. Plant Soil. 309, 77–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9639-8</u>.
- 1046 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2008. Soil quality indicators. Soil Quality

- 1047 Physical Indicator Information Sheet Series. Bulk Density.
- 1048 <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/health/assessment/?cid=stelprdb</u>
- 1049 <u>1237387</u>. Accessed 27/06/2020.
- 1050 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2011. Soil quality indicators. Soil Quality
- 1051 Physical Indicator Information Sheet Series. Soil pH.
- 1052 https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/health/assessment/?cid=stelprdb
- 1053 <u>1237387</u>. Accessed 27/06/2020.
- 1054 Velthof, G.L., Jarvis, S.C., Stein, A., Allen, A.G., Oenema, O. 1996. Spatial variability of
- nitrous oxide fluxes in mown and grazed grasslands on a poorly drained clay soil. Soil
 Biol. Biochem. 28, 1215-1225.
- Webster, R., Lark, M., 2012. Field sampling for environmental science and management,
 Routledge, London.
- 1059 Wu, L., Rees, R.M., Tarsitano, D., Zhang, X., Jones, S.K., Whitmore A.P., 2015. Simulation
- 1060 of nitrous oxide emissions at field scale using the SPACSYS model. Sci. Total Environ.
- 1061 530-531, 76-86, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.05.064</u>.
- 1062 Zervas, G., Tsiplakou, E., 2012. An assessment of GHG emissions from small ruminants in
- 1063 comparison with GHG emissions from large ruminants and monogastric livestock.
- 1064 Atmos. Environ. 49, 13-23, <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.039</u>.
- 1065 Zou, H., Hastie, T., 2005. Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. J. Royal
- 1066 Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Stat. Methodol.). 67, 301–320.

1068 Figures









- 1098 1099 1100 1101

- 1103 1104 1105 1106 1107 1108 1109

- 1111 1112 1113 1114



- 1116 Figure 4



(A) Scatterplots and linear correlation coefficients															
	369 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-202		2.5 3.5		20 60		6 12		12 16 /////////		10 20		4 8 12
N2O*	0.25	0.24	0.58	0.29	0.01	-0.26	0.03	-0.18	0.00	0.02	-0.07	-0.04	-0.10	0.15	-0.01 E
2 2	CO2*	0.02	0.26	0.32	0.09	0.17	0.10	-0.02	-0.01	0.11	-0.32	0.01	-0.22	-0.22	-0.10
•		CH4	0.25	-0.02	0.23	0.06	0.10	-0.06	-0.11	-0.09	-0.11	-0.05	-0.03	-0.01	-0.14 _E
5 ²	: :	445	NO3N*	0.51	0.22	-0.14	0.20	-0.06	0.07	0.19	-0.30	0.15	-0.14	-0.03	-0.11
·	:	÷	-	NH4N*	0.22	0.02	0.11	-0.16	0.18	0.23	-0.07	0.26	0.04	-0.12	-0.09 ₂
** • = 52		- init	-		SM*	0.18	0.54	-0.28	0.31	0.32	0.06	0.64	-0.32	0.14	-0.09
					· :	pН	0.20	0.03	0.07	0.21	-0.30	0.07	-0.25	-0.24	-0.03
_я =			-		. * ·	-	WFPS	0.53	0.17	0.25	-0.14	0.31	-0.12	-0.04	-0.01
	ii 🖌	A			· 🍌 ·	(11) ·		BD	-0.20	-0.15	-0.21	-0.28	0.23	-0.30	0.05
۴ -	*								TC	0.94	0.51	0.59	-0.22	0.26	-0.02
	4						1			TN	0.20	0.56	-0.29	0.17	0.03
1 ²	×.	VIDS		- 20 1 - 2		1984		1 95 .~~			CNR	0.27	0.09	0.34	-0.12
	*			· •	*		A	- 1 949-9-9				SOM	-0.24	0.18	0.01
- - +			9	5. 1 0	.	}%	300				•	÷.	SLOP	-0.44	0.25
		SANC			* .			·	× 2.			.		ELEV	-0.25 g
											36.3		100.000		
4 ¹²					۰.		Sec.	1							CTI
	4	-100 -20		1.0 1.6 (B) S	catter	4.0 5.5	nd linea	0.4 0.8	elation	0.4 0.8	ients	10 25		260 320	СТІ
² ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	0 150	-100 -20	0.5 1.5	(B) S	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4.0 5.5	40 80	0.4 0.8	elation (0.4 0.8	ients	0.09	4 6 8	260 320	CTI
	0 150 0.54	0.26	0.5 1.5	(B) S	0.749 0.28	4.0 5.5	40 80 0.20	0.4 0.8	4.5 6.5 -0.03	0.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05	0.09	4 6 8 0.23	-0.30	CTI
	0 150 0.54 CO2	0.26 0.04	0.5 1.5 -0.12 0.6	(B) S -0.02 0.00		4.0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04	40 80 0.20 0.29	0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.02	elation (4.5 6.5 -0.03	0.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.10 -0.01	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.05 0.12	0.09	4 6 8 0.23 0.24	-0.30 -0.28	CTI
	0 150 0.54 0.54	0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N*	(B) S (B) S (0.02 (0.00) (0.02) (0.59)	0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04	<pre></pre>	40 80 0.20 0.29 0.29	0.4 0.8 ar corre 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09	elation (4.5 6.5 -0.03 -0.08 0.02		ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.05 0.12 -0.17	0.09 -0.02 0.18	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13	-0.30 -0.28 0.04	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 g 0.01 -0.07 g 0.16
	0 150 0.54 0 0.54 0 0.54	0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N*	(B) S (B) S (-0.02) (-0.02) (-0.02) (-0.02) (-0.59) (-0.44)*	Catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37	 4.0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.25 -0.22 	40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.015	elation (45 65 -0.08 0.02 0.47		ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17	0.09 -0.02 0.18 0.09	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69	CTI 0.03 6 6 0.01 6 0.13 6 7 0.13
	 150 0.54 Co2 2.54 Co2 2.54 Co2 2.54 Co2 2.54 2.54 2.54 3.55 <l< th=""><th>0.26 0.04 CH4*</th><th>0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N*</th><th>(B) S (B) S (-0.02) (-</th><th>Catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM*</th><th>4.0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.22 -0.02</th><th>Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67</th><th>0.4 0.8 ar correc 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13</th><th>elation (45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31</th><th>-0.04 -0.04 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32</th><th>ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 0.12 -0.01 -0.03</th><th>0.09 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12</th><th>4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 0.33</th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.44</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.16 7 0.13 7 0.05</th></l<>	0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N*	(B) S (B) S (-0.02) (-	Catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM*	4.0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.22 -0.02	Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67	0.4 0.8 ar correc 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13	elation (45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31	-0.04 -0.04 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 0.12 -0.01 -0.03	0.09 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 0.33	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.44	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.16 7 0.13 7 0.05
	 150 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.52 1.50 1.50<th>0.26 0.04 CH4*</th><th>0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N*</th><th>(B) S (B) S (-0.02) (0.00) (-0.02) (0.59) (NH4N* (-0.02) (-0.0</th><th></th><th><pre></pre></th><th>Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08</th><th>0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13 -0.10</th><th>elation (45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10</th><th>-0.04 -0.10 -0.10 -0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04</th><th>ients ⁸⁵ 95 0.05 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20</th><th>0.09 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21</th><th> 4. 6. 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 </th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.05 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.05</th>	0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N*	(B) S (B) S (-0.02) (0.00) (-0.02) (0.59) (NH4N* (-0.02) (-0.0		<pre></pre>	Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13 -0.10	elation (45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10	-0.04 -0.10 -0.10 -0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04	ients ⁸⁵ 95 0.05 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20	0.09 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21	 4. 6. 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.05 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.05
	 150 0.54 0.54<th>0.26 0.04 CH4*</th><th>0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N¹</th><th>(B) S (B) S (-0.02) (0.00) (-0.02) (0.59) (NH4N* (-0.59) (NH4N* (-0.59) (-0.59</th><th>Catter 0.749 0.47 0.47 0.47 0.04 0.33 0.37 SM* SM*</th><th>0.05 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02</th><th> And linese 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS </th><th>0.4 0.8 0.3 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.13 0.10 0.59</th><th>elation (45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.41</th><th>-0.04 -0.04 -0.10 -0.10 -0.10 -0.10 -0.10 -0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37</th><th>ients ^{8.5} 9.5 0.05 0.12 0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22</th><th>0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33</th><th> 4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 </th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.23 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.16 7 0.13 7 0.05 0 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 7 0.05 7 0.01 6 0.05 7 0.05 7 0</th>	0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N ¹	(B) S (B) S (-0.02) (0.00) (-0.02) (0.59) (NH4N* (-0.59) (NH4N* (-0.59) (-0.59	Catter 0.749 0.47 0.47 0.47 0.04 0.33 0.37 SM* SM*	0.05 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	 And linese 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS 	0.4 0.8 0.3 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.13 0.10 0.59	elation (45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.41	-0.04 -0.04 -0.10 -0.10 -0.10 -0.10 -0.10 -0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37	ients ^{8.5} 9.5 0.05 0.12 0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33	 4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.23 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.16 7 0.13 7 0.05 0 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 7 0.05 7 0.01 6 0.05 7 0.05 7 0
	, 150 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.	0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 15 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N*	(B) S (B) S (C) S	Catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM*	 4.0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.25 -0.22 -0.02 pH pH 	 Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS WFPS 	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13 -0.10 0.59 BD	Elation (45 65 -0.03) -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.41 -0.31	-0.04 -0.04 -0.10 -0.01 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24	ients ^{8.5} 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 -0.29	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22 0.11	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.07 6 0.13 7 0.05 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.05 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.03 6 0.0
	 150 0.54 0.54<th>0.26 0.04 CH4*</th><th>0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N¹</th><th>(B) S -0.02 0.00 -0.02 0.59 NH4N* NH4N* NH4N* NH4N*</th><th>Catter 0.28 0.47 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM*</th><th> 0.05 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.04<th>Ad lines 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS </th><th>0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13 -0.10 0.59 BD BD</th><th>elation (45 65 -0.03 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.31 -0.31 TC</th><th>-0.04 -0.04 -0.04 -0.01 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95</th><th>ients ************************************</th><th>0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79</th><th>4.6.8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59</th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.16 7 0.16 7 0.05 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.00 6 0.08 6 0.09 6 0</th></th>	0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N ¹	(B) S -0.02 0.00 -0.02 0.59 NH4N* NH4N* NH4N* NH4N*	Catter 0.28 0.47 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM*	 0.05 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.04<th>Ad lines 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS </th><th>0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13 -0.10 0.59 BD BD</th><th>elation (45 65 -0.03 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.31 -0.31 TC</th><th>-0.04 -0.04 -0.04 -0.01 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95</th><th>ients ************************************</th><th>0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79</th><th>4.6.8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59</th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.16 7 0.16 7 0.05 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.00 6 0.08 6 0.09 6 0</th>	Ad lines 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS 	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.13 -0.10 0.59 BD BD	elation (45 65 -0.03 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.31 -0.31 TC	-0.04 -0.04 -0.04 -0.01 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95	ients ************************************	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79	4.6.8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.16 7 0.16 7 0.05 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.00 6 0.08 6 0.09 6 0
		0.26 0.04 CH4* CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N ⁺ 	(B) S (B) S (C) S	Catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM* SM*	0.05 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	 Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS WFPS WFPS WFPS WFPS 	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.09 -0.15 -0.10 0.59 BD BD	elation (45 65 -0.03) -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.41 -0.31 TC TC	.4 0.8 .0.4 0.8 .0.04 .0.04 .0.01 0.55 0.26 .0.32 0.04 .0.37 .0.24 0.95 TN	ients ^{8.5} 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 -0.29 0.41 0.11	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.05 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.00 7 0.00 7 0.0
		0.26 0.04 CH4* CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N ⁺ 	(B) S -0.02 0.00 -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.02 -	Catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM* SM*	 0.05 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.25 0.02 0.02<th>Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS </th><th>0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.15 0.19 BD BD Construction BD Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Constructi</th><th>Elation 45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 -0.41 -0.41 -0.31 TC </th><th>0.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.10 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN </th><th>ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 0.41 0.11 CNR</th><th>0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34</th><th>4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 0.33 -0.04 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09</th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.05 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.03 6 0.0</th>	Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS 	0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.15 0.19 BD BD Construction BD Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Constructi	Elation 45 65 -0.03 -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 -0.41 -0.41 -0.31 TC	0.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.10 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN 	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 0.41 0.11 CNR	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 0.33 -0.04 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.05 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.03 6 0.0
		0.26 0.04 CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N ¹ 	(B) S (B) S (C) S (C	 catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM* SM*	 4.0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.25 -0.22 -0.22 -0.02 pH -0.02 pH -0.02 pH -0.02 -0.	 Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS <li< th=""><th>0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.09 0.15 0.10 0.59 BD BD Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constan</th><th>elation (45 65) (-0.03) (-0.08) (0.02) (0.47) (0.24) (-0.31) (-0.3</th><th>0.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.10 -0.10 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN </th><th>ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 -0.29 0.41 0.11 CNR</th><th>0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34 SOM</th><th> 4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09 -0.41 </th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.23 -0.23 -0.23 -0.23 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07 0.06</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 2 0.01 2 0.16 2 0.13 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.00 2 0</th></li<>	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.09 0.15 0.10 0.59 BD BD Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constan	elation (45 65) (-0.03) (-0.08) (0.02) (0.47) (0.24) (-0.31) (-0.3	0.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.10 -0.10 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN 	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 -0.29 0.41 0.11 CNR	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34 SOM	 4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09 -0.41 	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.23 -0.23 -0.23 -0.23 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07 0.06	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 2 0.01 2 0.16 2 0.13 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.00 3 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.00 2 0
		0.26 0.04 CH4* CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N* 	(B) S -0.02 0.00 -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.02 0.59 -0.02 0.59 -0.02 0.59 -0.02 -	 	 ↓0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.22 -0.22<th> Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 -0.08<</th><th>0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.10 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.55 0.59 BD 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.0</th><th>elation (45 65 -0.03) -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 -0.41 -0.31 TC </th><th>.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.10 -0.01 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN </th><th>ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 -0.29 0.41 0.11 CNR </th><th>0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34 SOM</th><th>4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09 -0.61 -0.09 -0.41 SLOP</th><th>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07 0.06 -0.50</th><th>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.16 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.00 6 0.08 6 0.00 6 0</th>	 Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 -0.08<	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.10 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.55 0.59 BD 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.0	elation (45 65 -0.03) -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 -0.41 -0.31 TC	.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.10 -0.01 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN 	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 -0.29 0.41 0.11 CNR 	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34 SOM	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09 -0.61 -0.09 -0.41 SLOP	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07 0.06 -0.50	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.16 6 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.00 6 0.08 6 0.00 6 0
		0.26 0.04 CH4* CH4*	0.5 1.5 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N ¹ 	(B) S -0.02 0.00 -0.02 0.59 NH4N* -0.59 NH4N* -0.59 -0.5	 catter 0.749 0.28 0.47 -0.04 -0.33 -0.37 SM* SM*	 40 55 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.25 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.25 -0.25	Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS 	0.4 0.8 0.3 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.10 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 0.5 0.59 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	Elation (45 65) [-0.03] -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 -0.41 -0.31 TC	-0.04 -0.04 -0.04 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN 	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 -0.29 0.41 0.11 CNR CNR CNR	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.74 0.74 0.34 SOM SOM	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09 -0.61 -0.09 -0.41 SLOP	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.69 0.30 -0.44 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.52 -0.07 0.06 -0.50 ELEV	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 6 0.01 6 0.13 7 0.16 7 0.16 7 0.01 6 0.01 6 0.00 6 0.00 7 0.00 6 0.00 7 0.00 6 0.00 7 0.00 7 0
		0.26 0.04 CH4* CH4*	0.5 15 -0.02 -0.13 0.06 NO3N ⁴ 	(B) S (B) S (C) S (C	 	 4.0 5.5 plots ar 0.05 0.04 -0.02 -0.25 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.25 -0.25<td> Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 -0.08<</td><td>0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.09 0.15 0.10 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.55 0.59 BD 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.02 0.03 0.05</td><td>elation (45 65 -0.03) -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.411 -0.31 TC </td><td>.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN </td><td>ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 0.41 0.11 CNR </td><td>0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34 SOM SOM</td><td>4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09 -0.61 SLOP X</td><td>-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07 0.06 -0.50 ELEV</td><td>CTI 2 6 10 0.03 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.00 2 0</td>	 Ad linea 40 80 0.20 0.29 -0.05 -0.29 -0.36 0.67 -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 WFPS -0.08 -0.08<	0.4 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.03 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.15 0.09 0.15 0.10 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.59 BD 0.55 0.59 BD 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.02 0.03 0.05	elation (45 65 -0.03) -0.08 0.02 0.47 0.24 -0.31 0.10 -0.411 -0.31 TC	.4 0.8 coeffic -0.04 -0.01 0.55 0.26 -0.32 0.04 -0.37 -0.24 0.95 TN 	ients 8.5 9.5 0.05 0.05 0.12 -0.17 -0.01 -0.03 0.20 -0.22 0.41 0.11 CNR 	0.09 -0.02 -0.02 0.18 0.09 -0.12 0.21 -0.33 -0.39 0.79 0.74 0.34 SOM SOM	4 6 8 0.23 0.24 0.13 -0.46 -0.25 0.33 -0.04 0.34 0.22 -0.59 -0.61 -0.09 -0.61 SLOP X	-0.30 -0.28 0.04 0.30 -0.23 -0.22 0.11 0.46 0.52 -0.07 0.06 -0.50 ELEV	CTI 2 6 10 0.03 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.01 2 0.00 2 0

- Figure 6
- 1152 1153

- 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161



1180 **Figure Captions**

- Figure 1. (A) Extensive (11.5 ha) and (B) Intensive (1.78 ha) sites with sampling locations,both given with field topography.
- 1183 Figure 2. N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ histograms and maps for (A) Extensive and (B) Intensive sites.
- Locations of truncated CH₄ data are shown with "O". Units: μ g N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹, mg CO₂-C m⁻²
- 1185 h^{-1} and $\mu g CH_4$ -C $m^{-2} h^{-1}$, respectively.
- 1186 Figure 3. EMLK variograms for N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ at (A) Extensive and (B) Intensive sites
- (all data in normal scores transformed (*) form). LMM residual variograms for N₂O, CO₂ and
- 1188 CH₄ at (C) Extensive and (D) Intensive sites (data in either raw or Box-Cox transformed (*)
- 1189 form). Red points denote empirical variogram, red dashed line denotes WLS variogram model
- 1190 fit to empirical variogram and blue line denotes REML (unbiased) variogram model fit for use
- 1191 in EMLK or the LMM fits, respectively. Residual empirical variograms are found from an OLS
- regression fit and are biased, as are the WLS variogram model fits.
- Figure 4. Extensive site EMLK results for prediction, 95% prediction credible interval (PCI) and risk of exceedance surfaces, for (A) N₂O, (B) CO₂ and (C) CH₄, respectively. Legend class breaks in deciles. Units: μ g N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹, mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹ and μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively.
- Figure 5. Intensive site EMLK results for prediction, 95% prediction credible interval (PCI) and risk of exceedance surfaces, for (A) N₂O, (B) CO₂ and (C) CH₄, respectively. Legend class breaks in deciles. Units: μ g N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹, mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹ and μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively.
- Figure 6. Scatterplot and correlation coefficient matrices at (A) Extensive and (B) Intensive
 sites. Data in either raw or Box-Cox transformed (*) form.
- 1203 Figure 7. Conditional boxplots for N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ with (A) vegetation-type (VT for
- 1204 Extensive site) and (B) organic spread (OS for Intensive site). Data in either raw or Box-Cox

1205	transformed (*) form.
1206	
1207	
1208	
1209	
1210	
1211	
1212	
1213	
1214	
1215	
1216	
1217	
1218	
1219	
1220	
1221	
1222	
1223	
1224	
1225	
1226	
1227	
1228	
1229	

Tables

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of soil parameters and topographical data, expressed on a dry weight basis where

		Extensive site			Intensive site	
	Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	% WFPS (%)	SM θ_g (dry basis; %)	Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	% WFPS (%)	SM θ_g (dry basis; %)
Minimum	0.34	14.9	17.6	0.77	37.9	32.5
Maximum	0.98	103.0	461.5	1.09	111.5	84.6
Mean	0.58	54.5	78.0	0.90	58.8	42.9
Median	0.55	52.2	73.4	0.90	57.1	42.9
Standard error of the mean	0.01	1.6	3.9	0.00	1.0	0.6
Standard Deviation	0.12	16.8	42.0	0.07	10.0	6.1
Qn Scale Estimator	0.09	15.0	19.4	0.06	8.4	4.5
	NO3 ⁻ -N (mg kg ⁻¹)	NH4 ⁺ -N (mg kg ⁻¹)	рН	NO3 ⁻ -N (mg kg ⁻¹)	NH4 ⁺ -N (mg kg ⁻¹)	pH
Minimum	0.2	3.5	3.92	1.6	1.6	5.15
Maximum	44.6	102.5	6.07	237.2	146.1	6.18
Mean	5.3	16.2	4.93	14.3	9.7	5.70
Median	2.0	11.4	4.93	6.8	3.1	5.72
Standard error of the mean	0.7	1.3	0.04	2.8	2.3	0.02
Standard Deviation	7.3	13.8	0.44	27.6	22.8	0.18
Qn Scale Estimator	2.0	5.9	0.46	4.5	1.4	0.15
	SOM (%)	% TC	% TN	SOM (%)	% TC	% TN
Minimum	8.7	5.34	0.41	9.8	4.14	0.46
Maximum	36.4	17.40	1.14	14.7	7.24	0.76
Mean	19.2	10.65	0.73	12.8	6.02	0.64
Median	18.7	10.50	0.71	12.9	6.08	0.65
Standard error of the mean	0.5	0.24	0.01	0.1	0.05	0.00
Standard Deviation	4.9	2.60	0.16	1.0	0.53	0.05
Qn Scale Estimator	4.5	2.58	0.16	0.9	0.50	0.05
	Slope (degrees)	Elevation (m asl)	CTI	Slope (degrees)	Elevation (m asl)	CTI
Minimum	8.2	252.3	2.5	3.5	152.8	2.0
Maximum	22.8	342.0	12.1	8.7	167.9	9.7
Mean	15.2	295.5	6.5	6.2	160.1	4.9
Median	15.1	294.8	6.3	6.2	160.0	4.6
Standard error of the mean	0.2	2.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2
Standard Deviation	2.5	23.1	1.9	1.1	3.8	2.1
On Scale Estimator	2.2	22.2	1.9	1.1	4.0	2.1

applicable (Extensive site n=115: Intensive site n=99).

1234 1235 Descriptive statistics for TC:TN were as follows: 12.12, 19.26, 14.50, 14.46, 0.11, 1.23 and 1.24 (Extensive) and 8.44, 9.93, 9.33, 9.30, 0.02, 0.26 and 0.23 (Intensive) for the minimum, maximum, mean, median, standard error of the mean, standard deviation and Qn scale estimator, respectively.

		Extensive site			Intensive site	
	N ₂ O	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂	CH ₄
Minimum	-9.43	6.19	-101.57	-3.12	4.97	-52.14
Max1mum	264.80	94.81	25.16	216.42	326.83	275.85
Mean	19.53	31.24	-29.64	27.18	186.49	10.32
Median Stondard arrow of the mass	4.50	29.42	-28.03	19.17	200.54	0.31
Standard Deviation	4.10	1.04	2.50	2.84	5.45 54.24	4.5U // 81
On Scale Estimator	43.95	8.67	20.03	20.33	47 15	19.42
Units were μ g N ₂ O-N m ⁻² h ⁻¹ . mg	CO ₂ -C m ⁻² h ⁻¹ and ug	CH ₄ -C m ⁻² h ⁻¹ , re	spectively.	17.02	77.13	17.42
	002 0 m n and µg	0114 0 111 11 , 10	speciality			

1247 Table 2: Descriptive statistics of GHG fluxes (Extensive site n=115; Intensive site n=99).

	Extensive site				Intensive site				
GHG/variogram	Nugget	Structural	Nugget	Practical	Nugget	Structural	Nugget	Practical	
parameter	variance	variance	effect	range(m)	variance	variance	effect	range(m)	
N ₂ O *	0.825	0.220	0.79	240.0	0.494	0.576	0.46	82.5	
CO ₂ *	0.451	0.676	0.40	205.2	0.725	0.309	0.70	80.2	
$CH_4 *$	0.882	0.117	0.88	63.8	0.744	0.305	0.71	84.7	
Data in normal scores tra ariance. Ideally, the nu ariograms plotted in Fig	nsformed (*) f gget effect sho (s. 3A, B only.	orm. The nugge buld be as small	t effect is def as possible	fined as c ₀ /c ₀ + for a good cha	c ₁ , where c ₀ is aracterisation c	the nugget varia of spatial depend	nnce, and c, i dence. This	s the structural table relates to	

1274 Table 3: REML variogram parameters for N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄, for input into EMLK.

1298 Table 4: Extensive site non-spatial (OLS) and (if applicable) spatial regression (REML) fits.

Response	$N_2O *$		CO ₂ *		CH ₄	
Estimator	OLS	REML	OLS	REML	OLS	REML
Coefficients:						
Intercept	1.9245	-	12.81+++	13.24+++	-191.6	-
NO ₃ -N *	0.2860+++	-	-0.02533	0.02883	9.732++	-
NH4 ⁺ N *	0.02430	-	1.144++	0.8687^{+}	-26.42+	-
SM *	0.3560	-	0.3670	-0.07761	46.71+	-
рН	-0.1256	-	-0.3194	-0.2437	9.792	-
BD	-0.4593	-	-0.2503	-0.6876	-21.00	-
TN	-0.4305	-	0.7161	0.6120	-15.51	-
CNR	0.05336	-	-0.1315	-0.1104	0.5481	-
SOM	-0.006213	-	-0.02185	-0.01055	-1.401	-
SLOP	-0.03934	-	-0.1145++	-0.08945+	1.941	-
ELEV	0.0007564	-	-0.01569+++	-0.01443++	0.08796	-
CTI	0.01443	-	-0.04843	-0.04758	-1.058	-
VT (Grassland)	-0.2676++	-	0.3220	0.3000	2.017	-
VT (Marsh)	-2.603+++	-	-0.9276	-0.9824	51.80	-
Variogram parameters:						
Nugget variance	-	-	-	0.204^	-	-
Structural variance	-	-	-	0.400^	-	-
Practical range (m)	-	-	-	71.6^	-	-
OLS/REML fit statistics:					-	
R^2	0.57	-	0.34	0.34	0.25	-
AIC	-	-	315.7	313.6	-	-
BIC	-	-	357.5	358.0	-	-

⁺⁺⁺, ⁺⁺ and ⁺ indicate coefficient significantly different to zero at p = 0.001, 0.01 and 0.05 levels, respectively. (*) denotes Box-Cox transformed data. All coefficient estimates given to four significant figures. ^ Variogram parameters were not assessed for significance.

Response	N_2O *		CO ₂		CH4 *	
Estimator	OLS	REML	OLS	REML	OLS	REML
Coefficients:						
Intercept	-324.0	-433.8+	-25660+++	-	125.8	-
NO ₃ -N *	1.652^{+}	1.016	3.265	-	0.9244	-
NH4 ⁺ N *	0.3541	1.396	83.77	-	-1.094	-
SM *	454.4+	597.6++	34160+++	-	-169.8	-
pH	0.3193	0.4253	25.68	-	-0.0005752	-
BD	4.729	6.728++	69.59	-	-1.232	-
TN	4.492	4.373	345.4	-	1.719	-
CNR	0.6829	0.5488	22.08	-	1.088	-
SOM	0.08168	-0.0338	-9.172	-	-0.1882	-
SLOP	0.2538	0.0431	8.243	-	0.3578	-
ELEV	-0.2075++	-0.1812	-2.590	-	-0.01139	-
CTI	-0.02571	-0.0711	-1.516	-	-0.06848	-
OS (1 = inside)	0.5122	0.6069	-5.548	-	0.5891	-
Variogram parameters:						
Nugget variance	-	1.16^	-	-	-	-
Structural variance	-	1.55^	-	-	-	-
Practical range (m)	-	97.7^	-	-	-	-
OLS/REML fit statistics:						-
R^2	0.27	0.27	0.31	-	0.10	-
AIC	360.4	354.2	-	-	-	-
BIC	397.2	396.0	-	-	-	-

1319 Table 5: Intensive site non-spatial (OLS) and (if applicable) spatial regression (REML) fits.

⁺⁺⁺, ⁺⁺ and ⁺ indicate coefficient significantly different to zero at p = 0.001, 0.01 and 0.05 levels, respectively. (*) denotes Box-Cox transformed data. All coefficient estimates given to four significant figures. ^ Variogram parameters were not assessed for significance.

- 1322 Supplementary Information

Photographs of the sites:



- **Supplementary Figure 1.** Photograph of the Extensive site taken from the opposite hillside.
- 1327 The darker areas are the bracken stands.



Supplementary Figure 2. Photograph of sheep grazing on the Extensive site.



Supplementary Figure 3. Arial photograph of the Intensive site. Dairy North is the rectangular
field in the centre of the image. (Downloaded from the NWFP map site).



Supplementary Figure 4. Photograph of the Intensive site with static chambers in position.

1338 Static chamber designs:

The static chambers used at the Extensive site were made from polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe sections (15 cm internal diameter; 25 cm height). Chamber lids (end caps) were manufactured to fit the PVC pipe diameter and modified to include a silicone rubber septum (Suba-Seal[®]; Sigma, Gillingham, UK) to allow for gas sampling. The end caps pushed into the PVC pipe section forming a seal with gasket foam tape around the upper edge. Cuboid static chambers (40 cm \times 40 cm \times 25 cm height) were employed at the Intensive site (Cardenas et al., 2016).

1345 Chambers (without their lids) were inserted ca. 5 cm depth into the soil at each sampling point 1346 7-9 days prior to gas sampling at the Extensive site and to a depth of ca. 5 cm or greater (i.e. 1347 sufficient to produce an adequate seal) with the aid of a turf-cutter on the day before sampling 1348 at the Intensive site.

1349

1350 Gas sampling strategies:

In order to sample the fields rapidly, minimising time differences between sampling points, sampling was conducted by teams of trained researchers (Extensive site, a team of five, sampling 22-24 points each; Intensive site, a team of four, sampling 24-25 points each) following pre-planned sampling routes and standardised sampling protocols.

At the Extensive site, the team started by walking their individual assigned routes and placing 1355 1356 chamber lids and gas sample vials next to each chamber. Upon all returning to their starting sample points, GHG sampling commenced (taking place between the hours of 10:40 am and 1357 1358 12:40 pm). Chamber headspaces were mixed by three syringe pumps prior to withdrawing a sample (25 ml) at 0 min and 60 min after chamber lid closure. Supplementary Figure 5 shows 1359 an example of a linear increase in headspace N₂O concentrations measured at the Extensive 1360 site in autumn 2016. Samples were injected into pre-evacuated 20 ml glass vials. Vials were 1361 over-pressurised as a quality control measure, to ensure sample vials had held their seal, (i.e. 1362 vials were returned to atmospheric pressure prior to analysis by inserting a syringe and needle, 1363 if the syringe plunger pushed back 5 ml it indicated that the vial had held its seal). 1364

At the Intensive site, samples (20 - 22 ml) were withdrawn from the chambers 40 min after lid closure and injected into pre-evacuated 20 ml glass vials. Chamber baseline (0 min) concentrations were approximated by background measurements (n = 10, five before chamber sampling and five after) taken by manually sampling the atmosphere 1 m above the ground around the field (Chadwick et al., 2014). Supplementary Figure 6 shows a few examples of

1370 linear increases in headspace N₂O concentrations measured on different days at the Intensive

1371 site in summer 2013.



1373 Supplementary Figure 5. Example of linear increase in headspace N₂O concentrations
1374 measured at the Extensive site in autumn 2016.

1372



1376

1377 Supplementary Figure 6. Examples of linear increases in headspace N₂O concentrations
1378 measured on different days at the Intensive site in summer 2013.

- 1380 **Determination of soil parameters:**
- 1381 Bulk Density and Soil % WFPS

Bulk densities were determined by weighing oven-dried (105 °C; 24 h) and sieved (< 2 mm) soils and accounting for stone and vegetation weight (Extensive site) or volume (Intensive site). Soil % WFPS was calculated by dividing the volumetric water content by the soil porosity. Soil porosity is a function of particle density, which at the Extensive site was determined as a weighted average of the fractions of organic and mineral material, with assumed particle densities of 1.4 g cm⁻³ and 2.65 g cm⁻³, respectively (Rowell, 1994) due to the higher SOM contents at this site. At the Intensive site a particle density of 2.65 g cm⁻³ was assumed.

1389

1390 Soil extractions and extractable nitrate and ammonium analyses

Soil samples for other analyses were homogenised by hand and large roots and stones removed. Extensive site soil samples were extracted with 0.5 M K₂SO₄ (5 g soil to 25 ml solution) by shaking for 30 min (200 rev min⁻¹), centrifuging (10 000 g) and recovering the supernatant. Intensive site soil samples were sieved to 6 mm prior to extraction with 2 M KCl (50 g soil to 100 ml extractant), by shaking for 60 min (150 strokes min⁻¹) and filtering extracts through rinsed high purity filter papers. Both are standard methods, which are considered comparable (Jones and Willett, 2006).

Extensive site soil extracts were analysed for extractable-NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ via the colorimetric 1398 methods of Miranda et al. (2001) and Mulvaney (1996) using a microplate reader. Intensive 1399 site soil extracts were analysed using an Aquakem 250 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Ltd.), a 1400 1401 discrete photometric analyser. Extractable total oxidised nitrogen concentrations were 1402 determined as nitrite (NO₂⁻) via reduction of NO₃⁻ to NO₂⁻ by vanadium chloride and a version of the Griess reaction. As soil NO₂⁻ concentrations are generally very low, measured extractable 1403 1404 total oxidised nitrogen concentrations have been assumed to be equivalent to extractable soil NO₃⁻ concentrations. Extractable NH₄⁺ concentrations were determined by reaction to an 1405 1406 indophenol via a modified version of the Berthelot reaction (Krom, 1980; Searle, 1984).

1407

1408 Soil pH

Soil pH was measured on fresh Extensive site soils (5 g to 12.5 ml distilled water; briefly shaken and allowed to settle) using standard electrodes. For the Intensive site, soil pH was determined on 10 ml air-dried, ground and sieved (< 2 mm) soil shaken (15 min) in 25 ml deionised water (MAFF 427, 1986) using a Jenway 3320 pH meter (Jenway Ltd., Felsted, Essex, UK).

1415 Soil moisture and soil organic matter

Gravimetric soil moisture contents of all soil samples (ca. 4 g fresh soil for the Extensive site, 20 g for the Intensive site) were determined by mass loss during oven drying (105 °C; 24 h). Soil organic matter contents were determined via loss-on-ignition (ca. 2 g oven-dried soil for the Extensive site; 10 g for the Intensive site) in a muffle furnace (450 °C, 16 h for the Extensive site (Ball, 1964); 400 °C, overnight for the Intensive site (Davies, 1974; Ben-Dor and Banin, 1989; Schulte et al., 1991)).

1422

1423 Total C and N analyses

For the Extensive site, total soil C and soil N content were determined on oven-dried and 1424 ground soils using a TruSpec[®] Analyzer (Leco Corp., St. Joseph, MI). For the Intensive site, 1425 total soil C and N content were determined on sieved (< 2 mm), oven-dried (105 °C, 24 h) and 1426 ground soils using a Carlo Erba NA2000 elemental analyser (Fisons instruments) coupled to a 1427 1428 PDZ Europa 20-22 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, UK). Weighed 1429 samples (to achieve 8-3500 µg C and 0.5-215 µg N), sealed in tin capsules, were combusted in a chromium oxide packed tube under oxygen (O₂) and products carried in helium (He) over 1430 1431 heated copper wires to reduce N oxides to N₂ and remove excess O₂. Water was removed by a magnesium perchlorate trap and N2 and CO2 were chromatographically separated and 1432 1433 quantified in the mass spectrometer.

1434

1435 **Determination of gas parameters:**

Soil headspace N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄, concentrations were determined using the same Perkin 1436 1437 Elmer Clarus 580 Gas Chromatograph, served with a Turbo Matrix 110 auto-sampler (Perkin Elmer Inc., Beverly, CT) for samples from both sites. The gas samples passed through two 1438 1439 Elite-Q mega bore columns via a split injector, with one connected to an electron capture 1440 detector at 375 °C for N₂O measurement and the other to a flame ionisation detector for CO₂ 1441 and CH₄ determination. The oven temperature of the gas chromatograph was maintained at 50 °C and oxygen free nitrogen (OFN; BOC, UK) was used as the carrier gas. Soil GHG fluxes 1442 1443 were calculated using the increase in headspace N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations between 0 min and 60 min (Extensive) or 0 min and 40 min (Intensive). Intensive site gas samples were 1444

1445 run within 24 h of collection.

1446

1447 **Topographic data:**

Elevation, aspect and slope data were calculated from 1 m LiDAR grids using the Spatial Analyst extension within ArcMap 10.4.1, where values for the sample point locations were obtained using the ArcMap Extraction toolset. At the Extensive site, the 1 m LiDAR grid was created by the Environment Agency Geomatics group and subsequently distributed by Natural Resources Wales. At the Intensive site, the 1 m LiDAR grid was created by the Tellus South West project.

1454

1455 Empirical Maximum Likelihood Kriging (EMLK):

EMLK is a sophisticated kriging algorithm where more efficient results (over a standard 1456 kriging algorithm) are obtained by solving the prediction problem in the Gaussian domain via 1457 a normal scores transform of the sample data. A Bayesian component in EMLK ensures 1458 1459 conditionally unbiased results where a posterior predictive distribution is found at all target locations s (in this study, a grid). For a variable z, the mean of the posterior distribution is taken 1460 as the EMLK prediction $\hat{z}_{EMLK}(s)$ and the variance of the posterior distribution $\sigma^2_{EMLK}(s)$ can 1461 be used to assess the uncertainty of $\hat{z}_{EMLK}(s)$. In all six study kriging runs, isotropic exponential 1462 variogram models (e.g. Chilès and Delfiner, 1999) were chosen to characterise the spatial 1463 dependence in the normal scores data sets of the N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. EMLK was chosen 1464 to predict the GHG data, in so much it is: (i) advocated for small data sets; (ii) advocated for 1465 1466 non-normal data sets, including those with observations below the limit of detection; (iii) able (via its Bayesian construction) to provide a more realistic approach to prediction uncertainty 1467 1468 than that found in many standard kriging algorithms (e.g. see discussions given in Harris et al., 2010) and, in turn, can provide reliable estimates of risk for exceeding a given GHG emission 1469 1470 threshold; and (iv) it is open-source (FORTRAN code, EMLK2D.FOR). Applications of 1471 EMLK to soil data can be found in Pardo-Igúzquiza and Chica-Olmo (2005); Radu et al. (2013); Glennon et al. (2014). 1472

1473

1474 SI references

1475

1476 Ball, D.F., 1964. Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of organic matter and organic carbon in non-

 1477
 calcareous soil. J. Soil Sci. 15, 84-92. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

 1478
 2389.1964.tb00247.x.

- Ben-Dor, E., Banin, A., 1989. Determination of organic matter content in arid-zone soils using
 a simple 'loss-on-ignition' method. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 20, 1675–1695.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00103628909368175.
- Cardenas, L.M., Misselbrook, T.M., Hodgson, C., Donovan, N., Gilhespy, S., Smith, K.A., 1482 Dhanoa, M.S., Chadwick, D., 2016. Effect of the application of cattle urine with or 1483 1484 without the nitrification inhibitor DCD, and dung on greenhouse gas emissions from a UK grassland Environ. 229-241. 1485 soil. Agric. Ecosyst. 235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.10.025. 1486
- 1487 Chadwick, D.R., Cardenas, L., Misselbrook, T.H., Smith, K.A., Rees, R.M., Watson, C.J., McGeough, K.L, Williams, J.R., Cloy, J.M., Thorman, R.E., Dhanoa, M.S., 2014. 1488 Optimizing chamber methods for measuring nitrous oxide emissions from plot-based 1489 agricultural Eur. J. Soil Sci. 65. 295-307. 1490 experiments. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12117. 1491
- Chilès, J.-P., Delfiner, P., 1999. Geostatistics: Modelling Spatial Uncertainty, John Wiley &
 Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.
- Davies, B.E., 1974. Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of soil organic matter. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.
 J. 38, 150–151. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1974.03615995003800010046x</u>.
- Glennon, M., Harris, P., Finne, T., Scanlon, R., O'Connor, P., 2014. The Dublin SURGE
 Project: Geochemical baseline for heavy metals in topsoils and spatial correlation with
 historical industry in Dublin, Ireland. Environ. Geochem. Health. 36, 235-254.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-013-9561-8.
- Harris, P., Charlton, M., Fotheringham, A.S., 2010. Moving window kriging with
 geographically weighted variograms. Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess. 24, 1193-1209.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-010-0391-2.
- Jones, D.L., Willett, V.B., 2006. Experimental evaluation of methods to quantify dissolved
 organic nitrogen (DON) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem.
 38, 991-999. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.08.012.
- Krom, M.D., 1980. Spectrophotometric determination of ammonia: A study of a modified
 Berthelot reaction using salicylate and dichloroisocyanurate. The Analyst. 105, 305-316.
- MAFF (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food) reference book 427, 1986. The analysis
 of agricultural materials Method 32: pH and Lime requirement of Mineral Soil.
- Miranda, K.M., Epsey, M.G., Wink, D.A., 2001. A rapid, simple, spectrophotometric method
 for simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. Nitric Oxide. 5, 62-71.

1512 <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/niox.2000.0319</u>.

- Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen inorganic forms, in: Sparks, D.L. (Eds.), Methods of Soil
 Analysis, Part 3. Soil Science Society of America Inc., Madison, WI, USA, pp. 11231184.
- Pardo-Igúzquiza, E., Chica-Olmo, M., 2005. Interpolation and mapping of probabilities for
 geochemical variables exhibiting spatial intermittency. Appl. Geochem. 20, 157-168.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2004.05.007.
- Radu, T., Gallagher, S., Byrne, B., Harris, P., Coveney, S., McCarron, S., McCarthy, T.,
 Diamond, D., 2013. Portable X-Ray Fluorescence as a rapid technique for surveying
 elemental distributions in soil. Spectrosc. Lett. 46, 516-526.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00387010.2013.763829.
- 1523 Rowell, D.L., 1994. Soil Science: Methods and applications, Routledge, New York, USA.
- Searle, P.L., 1984. The Berthelot or indophenol reaction and its use in the analytical chemistry
 of nitrogen: A review, Analyst. 109, 549-568. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/AN9840900549</u>.
- Schulte, E.E., Kaufmann, C., Peter, J.B., 1991. The influence of sample size and heating time
 on soil weight loss-on-ignition. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 22, 159–168.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629109368402.