Article

# Proteomic Profiling of Celiac-Toxic Motifs and Allergens in Cereals **Containing Gluten**

Matthew E. Daly, Xin Huang, Chiara Nitride, Christopher Hughes, Jaakko Tanskanen, Peter R. Shewry, Lee A. Gethings, and E. N. Clare Mills\*



proteins. Relative quantitation of proteins containing celiac-toxic motifs showed that they were most abundant and diverse in wheat, with only a limited number, at much lower abundance, identified in oats. Allergens belonging to the seed storage prolamins were the most abundant, while allergens belonging to the  $\alpha$ -amylase/trypsin inhibitor family associated with respiratory allergy were of only moderate abundance in comparison. Wheat allergen homologues were identified in other cereal species but at a very low level in oats. These data suggest that the relative risk of oats in the context of both celiac disease and IgE-mediated allergy is low.

KEYWORDS: Proteomics, wheat, barley, rye, oats, celiac-toxic motif, IgE epitope

376–2769 proteins were identified, the majority being grain storage

# INTRODUCTION

Wheat, barley, rye, and oats are important food crops with a combined production of  $\sim$ 958 million tons in 2020,<sup>1</sup> with wheat (the third-largest crop produced globally) accounting for 79.4% of the total. This scale of production of wheat reflects its unique processing properties, which allow the production of bread and other processed foods and result from the unique properties conferred by the gluten protein fraction, a combination of elasticity and viscous flow.<sup>2</sup> Gluten corresponds to the seed storage prolamins, which have unusual amino acid compositions, being abundant in the amino acids proline and glutamine. They comprise a complex polymorphic mixture which is traditionally divided into two types, monomeric gliadins and polymeric glutenins.<sup>3</sup> The glutenin subunits and gliadin monomers are related structurally and can be further divided into groups based on their electrophoretic mobility at low pH, sequence similarity, and the content of sulfur-containing amino acids. The grains of related cereal species, barley, rye, and oats, contain proteins homologous to wheat prolamins, known respectively as hordeins, secalins, and avenins, although the latter constitute only a minor fraction in oats.

The same proteins stimulate immune-mediated adverse reactions, including the T-cell-mediated condition known as celiac disease (CD), which can take several hours to present, and IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reactions, which present much more rapidly within less than 2 h. CD is estimated to affect up to 1% of the global population<sup>4</sup> and is triggered by digestionresistant, glutamine-rich gluten peptides, which are taken up by the gut epithelium, where the glutamine residues are deamidated by tissue transglutaminase.<sup>5</sup> These deamidated peptides then bind to Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) class II receptor haplotypes DQ2 and DQ8, which genetically predispose an individual to celiac disease.<sup>6</sup> Once presented by HLA DQ2 or DQ8, these peptides activate gluten-specific CD4+ T cells, resulting in the release of proinflammatory cytokines, with the resulting inflammatory reaction leading to flattening of the gut mucosa. These changes reduce the capacity of the gut epithelium to take up nutrients, leading to nutritional deficiencies and the characteristic "failure to thrive" symptom of celiac disease seen in children. There are extensive sequence homologies between seed storage prolamins of wheat, barley,

Received:	October 17, 2024
Revised:	February 11, 2025
Accepted:	April 7, 2025

Α

rye, and oats, and they all carry the T-cell epitopes, also known as celiac-toxic motifs, capable of triggering CD, although the wider repertoire of prolamins in wheat means this cereal species carries the greatest burden of toxic motifs.<sup>8,9</sup>

With regard to IgE-mediated allergies, allergic individuals mount a specific IgE response toward cereal proteins and then experience an allergic reaction, with symptoms ranging from skin rashes to asthma, vomiting, and diarrhea. One particular type of allergy is known as wheat-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis (WDEIA), where symptoms are only elicited if the ingestion of wheat is combined with another compounding factor such as exercise.<sup>10</sup> Major wheat allergens include the seed storage prolamins, with sensitization to  $\omega$ 5-gliadin being associated with WDEIA.

Currently, there is no cure for either CD or IgE-mediated food allergy, and consequently, individuals with these conditions must practice lifelong avoidance of foods containing either gluten or wheat. In order to help them make safe choices, foods containing cereal ingredients from wheat, barley, rye, and oats must be labeled as cereals containing gluten, as defined by the Codex Alimentarius Commission, although oats are not considered to contain gluten as specified by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the USA, unlike the European Union and the UK.<sup>11</sup> Foods containing <20 mg/kg gluten can carry a "gluten-free" claim,<sup>12</sup> while precautionary allergen labels are often used to inform consumers about possible unintended allergen presence that results from agricultural commingling or the use of shared processing equipment and facilities.<sup>1</sup> One approach to harmonize their use is to apply risk-based approaches,<sup>14</sup> where action levels are calculated from reference doses of allergenic food proteins identified in clinical food challenge studies.<sup>15</sup> These doses indicate the potency of a food to cause an adverse reaction, which is in turn determined by the profile of food ingredient proteins that carry either celiac-toxic motifs or allergen molecules. The levels of celiac-toxic motifs and allergens in a given food product may change as a consequence of breeding or as a result of food processing. Therefore, understanding the relative potency of different cereal species in terms of their ability to cause CD or IgE-mediated food allergies is important to benchmark, in order to allow any changes in potency that may result from shifts in molecular profiles to be identified over time.

Proteomics provides a platform for the characterization of the total proteome of an organism, and mass spectrometry (MS) analysis allows the identification and quantitation of celiac-toxic motif-containing proteins and allergens. These approaches therefore have great potential to monitor the allergenic potency of foods and have previously been applied to the identification and relative quantitation of immunoreactive proteins in wheat, barley, and rye,<sup>16</sup> relative quantitation of IgE epitopes and celiactoxic motifs in wheat and different wheat species,<sup>17</sup> absolute quantitation of the canonical immunodominant sequence associated with celiac disease (33mer) in wheat,<sup>1</sup> and immunotoxic epitope mapping of peptides in wheat, barley, and rye.<sup>19</sup> Further, identification of common proteins between two wheat cultivars for the purpose of targeting high-heritability proteins has also been undertaken.<sup>20</sup> Mapping of predicted gene products to those observed from proteomics has also been applied to wheat,<sup>21</sup> with a focus on allergens.<sup>22</sup> However, a direct comparison of the seed storage prolamins of different cereal species and the associated burden of celiac-toxic motifs and IgE epitopes using proteomics approaches has not been previously undertaken. Therefore, proteome profiling of "cereals containing gluten" (bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), rye (*Secale cereale*), and oats (*Avena sativa*)) has been undertaken using MS and applying an analysis pipeline utilizing curated sequence databases for seed storage prolamins, IgE-mediated food allergens, celiac-toxic motifs, and IgE-epitopes.<sup>8</sup> This has allowed us to test the premise that the relative abundances of celiac-toxic motifs and IgE epitopes vary between different cereal species to be defined and that the burden of toxic motifs is lower in oats, which explains the observation that many patients with CD or IgE-mediated food allergies can tolerate them.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Materials

All reagents used were of analytical grade unless stated otherwise. Wholemeal flour from wheat (Triticum aestivum cv Chinese Spring) was provided by Rothamsted Research (Harpenden, UK), grains of barley (Hordeum vulgare cv Morex) and oats (Avena sativa cv Aslak) were provided by the Natural Resources Institute Finland (LUKE) (Helsinki, Finland), and rye grain (Secale cereale inbred cv Lo7) were obtained from KWS LOCHOW (Bergen, Germany). These cultivars were chosen because of the availability of genomic or transcriptome data, with the bread wheat cv Chinese Spring,<sup>23</sup> the six-row malting barley cv Morex,<sup>24</sup> and the inbred rye line Lo7<sup>25</sup> being cultivars chosen to form the annotated reference genomes of those cereals, while the hexaploid oat cv Aslak is one that is included in the ongoing Oat Pangenome project. Formic acid, acetonitrile, and water used in chromatography were all HPLC grade (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK). Formic acid, acetonitrile, and water used for LC-MS were all LC-MS grade (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK). Urea, ethanol, propan-2-ol, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, dithiothreitol (DTT), iodoacetamide, and enolase from baker's yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, Dorset, UK). Low-binding microcentrifuge tubes were obtained from Sarstedt (Nümbrecht, Germany). Sequencing-grade chymotrypsin (Promega, Madison, USA) from porcine pancreas, with an activity of >70 U/mg (measured by benzoyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester (BTEE) assay), was used for proteolysis. RapiGest (sodium 3-[(2-methyl-2-undecyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methoxy]-1-propanesulfonate) was obtained from Waters Corporation (Milford, MA, USA). NuPAGE Bis-Tris gels (4-12%), NuPAGE lithium dodecyl sulfate (LDS) buffer (4×, pH 8.4), 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer ( $20 \times$ concentrate), SYPRO Ruby Protein Gel Stain, and Mark 12 protein markers were purchased from Invitrogen (Shropshire, UK).

### Sample Preparation, Extraction, and Quantitation

Whole seeds of the barley, rye, and oat cultivars were milled using a consumer coffee grinder (Maison and White, Oxford, UK) and further ground using a pestle and mortar prior to extraction. Three samples of either ground seed or flour (50 mg) were extracted with 1 mL of 50% (v:v) propan-2-ol containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2 M urea, and 60 mM DTT at 60 °C with sonication for 15 min and vortexing every 5 min (three biological replicates per cereal). Extracts were clarified by centrifugation for 10 min at 10,000g, and supernatants were collected and transferred to fresh microcentrifuge tubes.<sup>26</sup> Protein content was determined in duplicate using the RC DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, Watford, Hertfordshire, UK), using bovine serum albumin for the calibration curve at 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 mg/mL. This is a modified Lowry protein assay<sup>27</sup> and allows quantitation of protein in the presence of reducing agents and detergents. Briefly, proteins present in solution were precipitated, and any interfering substances were removed after centrifugation. After resuspension, alkaline copper tartrate solution and Folin's reagent were added, and the absorbance was read at 750 nm using a Biochrom Asys UVM-340 (Cambridge, UK) microplate reader.

### Reduction, Alkylation, Digestion, and Sample Cleanup

Enolase from baker's yeast (S. cerevisiae) was included as a digestion control; a 1 mg/mL enolase stock solution was prepared in 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, and disulfide bonds were reduced by the addition of DTT to a final concentration of 60 mM, followed by heating to 80 °C for 10 min. The enolase was added to the grain extracts to give a final concentration of  $10 \,\mu g/$ mL. Cysteine residues in the reduced samples were alkylated by the addition of iodoacetamide to give >2:1 molar excess over DTT (final concentration; 40 mM DTT and 100 mM iodoacetamide) and incubated in the dark for 30 min. Reduced and alkylated samples were then diluted 1:5 (v:v) with 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 10 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.1% (w/v) RapiGest (final concentrations) to give a final propan-2-ol level of <10% and a urea concentration of <0.4 M, so as not to interfere with protein digestion. Diluted samples were placed in low-binding microcentrifuge tubes (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany), and chymotrypsin from porcine pancreas was added at a 1:100 (w:w) protease:protein ratio and incubated for 18 h at 37 °C in a Stuart SBS40 (Cole-Palmer, St Neots, UK) shaking water bath. After 18 h, digestion was stopped by the addition of formic acid to a final concentration of 0.1% (v:v), samples were clarified by centrifugation for 10 min at 10,000g, and supernatants were transferred into fresh low-binding microcentrifuge tubes. Samples were desalted by solid-phase extraction (SPE) using Sep-Pak C18 Vac cartridges according to the manufacturer's instructions (Waters, Wilmslow, UK) and concentrated using an Eppendorf Concentrator Plus (Stevenage, UK) to a final protein concentration of 250  $\mu$ g/mL, based on the starting protein concentration calculated using the RC DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, Watford, Hertfordshire, UK). The effectiveness of the digestion protocol was checked using SDS-PAGE and HPLC (Supporting Information S1).

### Liquid Chromatography-Ion Mobility Mass Spectrometry

Digested, cleaned-up samples were then analyzed by liquid chromatography-ion mobility mass spectrometry (LC-IM-MS). Two hundred and fifty nanoliters of each sample was injected and chromatographically separated using reversedphase chromatography and an ACQUITY M-Class (Waters, Milford, USA) configured in Trap and Elute mode. Each biological replicate was injected three times (technical replicates), leading to nine data files per cereal. Solvent A was 0.1% (v/v) aqueous formic acid, and solvent B was acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. The trapping column was a 180  $\mu$ m  $\times$  20 mm, Symmetry C18, 100 Å, 5  $\mu$ m (Waters, Wilmslow, UK), and the analytical column was a 75  $\mu$ m × 250 mm, HSST3 C18, 100 Å, 1.8 µm (Waters, Wilmslow, UK). Trapping was carried out at 5  $\mu$ L/min for 2 min at 99% solvent A, before the peptides were eluted from the column at 5-40%solvent B over 90 min, followed by a wash at 85% solvent B and column re-equilibration at 5% solvent B for a further 30 min at 300 nL/min. The eluate was directed into a SYNAPT XS (Waters, Wilmslow, UK), and data were acquired using ion mobility-enabled MS<sup>E</sup> (High Definition MS<sup>E</sup>, HDMS<sup>E</sup>) mode and a data-independent acquisition (DIA) in positive ion mode over the mass range m/z of 50–2000 with a 0.5 s spectral acquisition time, providing one cycle of low and elevated energy data every 1 s to gain information about precursor and fragment ions, respectively. A collision energy profile was applied using a lookup table: 0.7 to 85 V over 195 mobility "bins". The reference LockSpray used a solution of Glu1-Fibrinopeptide, which was infused at 1  $\mu$ L/min, and sampled every 2 min. Data were collected over 115 min using MassLynx version 4.1 (Waters, Wilmslow, UK). Data are available via ProteomeXchange with the identifier PXD039539.

### **Mass Spectrometry Data Analysis**

Raw files obtained from the LC-IM-MS acquisitions were imported into Progenesis QI for Proteomics (version 8.0) on a species-specific basis. Data were processed using Waters algorithms (Apex3D64 and Peptide3D) and analyzed using the Ion Accounting workflow that is optimized for processing ion mobility DIA data with a low intensity threshold set to 150 counts and a high intensity threshold set to 30 counts.<sup>28</sup> Imported data sets were then searched against the total sequence set attributed to the "Viridiplantae" taxonomy available in UniProt (9576972 sequences; accessed 14.04.2020), appended with the common Repository of Adventitious Proteins (cRAP) database, as well as sequences for porcine chymotrypsin and enolase from baker's yeast, with the combined database split into 10 roughly equal subdatabases to facilitate analysis. Data were searched against each subdatabase individually and then recombined within Progenesis QIP using the "Recombine Fractions" functionality. The protease was set to chymotrypsin with cleavage at tyrosine, phenylalanine, tryptophan, or leucine unless followed by a proline, with up to two missed cleavages. Fixed modifications were specified as carbamidomethylation of cysteine, and variable modifications were set to hydroxylation of proline, oxidation of methionine, deamidation of glutamine or asparagine, and finally, N-pyroglutamic acid formation from either glutamine or glutamic acid. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 1%, and mass tolerance for peptide and fragment ions was set to 10 and 20 ppm, respectively. Peptides identified in only one technical replicate of each biological replicate and/or with a peptide score <5 were removed. A welldefined data analysis and curation pipeline was created and implemented for this study (Figure S5). Extracted ion chromatograms for two exemplar peptides, one with a high peptide score and one with a low peptide score, identified during searching are presented in Figure S6. Where proteins were identified with only one unique peptide, the extracted ion chromatograms for that peptide were reviewed, and those where fragment ions were not clearly identified were removed. Following initial searching against a database containing all proteins from species with the taxonomy set to Viridiplantae in UniProt, protein IDs were further filtered to contain only those found in either the reference sequenced genomes of relevant cereal species (wheat cv Chinese Spring (UP000019116); barley cv Morex (UP000011116); rye Lo7 (GCA 902687465)) or present in the GluPro suite of curated gluten sequences.<sup>8</sup> Peptide raw abundances were renormalized after output from Progenesis QIP to the raw abundance of the yeast enolase peptide DSRGNPTVEVELTTEKGVF, as this was the most intense peptide identified for this protein across runs.

# Table 1. Proteomic Profiling of Cereal Species Containing Gluten<sup>a</sup>

	Wheat (T. aestivum)		Barley (H. vulgare)		Rye (S. cereale)		Oats (A. sativa)	
Search strategy	Protein grouping	Protein	Protein grouping	Protein	Protein grouping	Protein	Protein grouping	Protein
No of sequences identified in Viridiplantae	1,553	1,453	1,996	1,700	3,211	2,769	409	376
Identifications filtered by relevant species	341	321	278	239	36	33	35	30
Identifications filtered by relevant species genome or transcriptome.	155	149	42	61	36	4	10	6
Identifications filtered by relevant species GluPro database	93	85	28	31	9	9	9	8

<sup>*a*</sup>Protein – protein groups identified from Progenesis searches; Peptide – proteins identified with a unique peptide without protein grouping, i.e., identification achieved with protein grouping off. UniProt searching was filtered by taxonomy "Viridiplantae" (n = 9,576,972 protein sequence accessions), and sequences filtered based on either assignment to a particular cereal species sequences, sequences present in translated cDNA (wheat cv Chinese Spring, IWGSC, INSDC Assembly GCA\_900519105, n = 107,891); barley cv Morex (INSDC Assembly GCA\_903813605.1, n = 32,159), and *A. sativa* (alignments available; 10.6084/m9.figshare.25672209), or mRNA (*S. cereale* rye Lo7 (GCA\_902687465, n = 34,441), or assignment in the curated GluPro database.<sup>8</sup>

# In Silico Analysis of Protein Profiles, Celiac-Toxic Motifs, and IgE Epitopes

A variety of in silico tools were used to analyze the data generated during the mass spectrometry analysis. MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca/)<sup>29</sup> was used for multivariate statistics and the generation of 3D PCA scores and loadings plots. Data were scaled using Pareto scaling and log transformed prior to the generation of the PCA and loadings plots. This platform was also used to generate heat maps of the abundance of celiac-toxic motif-containing peptides across the cereal grains identified in mass spectrometry. Gene Ontology terms were searched using QuickGO browser,<sup>30</sup> and results were downloaded in CSV format. Phylogenetic trees were generated using Jalview<sup>31</sup> and edited using FigTree v1.4.3, as described previously.8 Identification of allergen homologues employed many different tools. Initially, whole sequence BLAST was conducted on UniProt (UniProt.org; accessed 7/7/2022),<sup>32</sup> whereas Python (Python Software Foundation, Python Language Reference, version 3.8, available at http://www. python.org) was used to section each protein sequence into sequences of 80 amino acids with a step of one. These 80-mer sequences were then searched using the FASTA algorithm<sup>33</sup> and a custom R v4.0.2 script<sup>34</sup> that collated the results and reported how many hits with an identity >35% were identified for that protein. Homologues identified that were partial sequences were discarded. A database comprising 1,041 CD-active peptides was downloaded from AllergenOnline (AllergenOnline.com; accessed 21/06/2022),<sup>35</sup> and peptides identified from mass spectrometry containing any of these CD-active peptides were collated, their abundance summed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, 2018), and the resulting data were visualized using MetaboAnalyst. All graphs displayed in the manuscript were generated using GraphPad Prism 8 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Proteomic Profiling of Grains from Cereal Species Containing Gluten

Initially, the quality of the sample preparation and digestion was assessed using 1D-PAGE and RP-HPLC (Supporting Information 1), which demonstrated the absence of large polypeptides and a clearly different HPLC profile, indicating sufficient digestion of the gluten proteins.

Data were searched against the entire Viridiplantae database to identify the proteomes of wheat, barley, rye, and oat grains (Supplementary Data files 1, 2, and 4). A protein was considered identified if at least one unique peptide was identified for that protein accession in at least two technical replicates of each biological replicate analyzed, with a peptide score >5. However, this approach excludes proteins, which are highly homologous, have repetitive sequences (such as the cereal seed storage prolamins), and lack a unique chymotryptic peptide. This limitation can be overcome using protein grouping, where proteins with common peptides unique to the group can be classified under one "lead" accession. However, this does not guarantee that all proteins listed within the group are present in the sample. Following this approach, the largest number of protein groups was identified for rye (3,211), where 2,769 protein accessions were identified with a unique peptide, followed by barley and wheat (with 1,996 and 1,553 groups and 1,700 and 1,453 proteins with unique peptides, respectively), with the fewest groups being identified for oats (with 409 groups and 376 protein accessions with a unique peptide) (Table 1). The greater diversity in rye likely reflects its being more polymorphic as a result of its outbreeding nature, with wheat being similar despite the hexaploid nature of wheat. Indeed, others have suggested that the relationship between ploidy level and proteome complexity is not straightforward due to nonadditive gene expression<sup>36</sup> although proteins are known to be expressed on all three genomes in wheat. Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the identified proteins showed that the majority had nutrient reservoir activity (Figure S2), with the majority of which being seed storage proteins comprising either seed storage prolamins or, in oats, seed storage globulins.

The application of data-independent acquisition (DIA) was selected for this analysis combined with ion mobility due to the inherent unbiased sampling of the MS1 of DIA while also increasing the peak capacity due to the ion mobility dimension. It has been demonstrated that profiling wheat grains using IMS-DIA resulted in a higher number of peptide identifications compared to a data-dependent acquisition (DDA) completed on a linear ion trap.<sup>37</sup>

Principal component analysis (PCA) of the proteomics profiles allowed the classification of different cereal species (Figure 1A), with the first three components accounting for 99.3% of the variance observed. Loadings plots showed that three of the five proteins with the largest positive value contributions to PC1 were  $\gamma$ -type prolamins (Figure S1 and Supplementary Data File 3 - Sheet 1). However, the majority of the proteins contributing to the loadings were not prolamins and included nonspecific lipid transfer proteins (Table S1). This is consistent with the known high levels of homology between seed storage prolamins in wheat, barley, rye, and oats,<sup>8</sup> with the



**Figure 1.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of proteome profiles of wheat, barley, rye, and oats. PCA scores plot of UniProt protein accessions (lead accession from protein grouping) relative normalized abundances identified in wheat (*T. aestivum*; light blue circles), barley (*H. vulgare*; red circles), rye (*S. cereale*; dark blue circles), and oat (*A. sativa*; green circles) when searching against *Viridiplantae* (A; PC1 vs PC2, B: PC2 vs PC3) and tagging accessions identified in GluPro v 6.1 C; PC1 vs PC2, D; PC2 vs PC3). 3D loading plots are available in Figure S5 and Supporting Information Data File 3 -- Sheet 1.

greater diversity in nongluten protein analysis presenting better targets for differentiation between cereal species.

# **Proteomic Profiling of Gluten Proteins**

Total proteome data was further analyzed using the GluPro suite of databases containing curated gluten proteins from *T. aestivum*, *H. vulgare*, *S. cereale*, and *A. sativa* (Table 1), with similar numbers of annotations being made compared to other studies focusing on gluten protein analysis from mature wheat grain.<sup>21,22</sup> Mapping onto the GluPro phylogenetic trees showed the wide and complete identification of protein sequences from all gluten protein types in all species analyzed (Figure 2A, C, E, and G). This is an improvement compared to previous analysis,<sup>8</sup> which may be due to the use of an optimized extraction and sample preparation procedure.<sup>26</sup> Specific protein isoforms known to be present in the wheat cv Chinese Spring were not identified on the basis of unique peptides but could be identified using protein grouping on the basis of common peptides. For example, the 1Dx subunit will never be reliably identified using mass spectrometry due to the lack of unique peptides generated by chymotrypsin, but peptides corresponding to the 1Dx subunit

Е



**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic analysis and relative quantification of identified gluten proteins. Protein accessions tagged as gluten proteins in the GluPro curated gluten protein sequence databases<sup>8</sup> were mapped either into the relevant GluPro phylogenetic tree (A, C, E, G) or Quant curves (B, D, F, H), where GluPro identifications were colored in pink and the total species specific identifications shown in green. (A, B) wheat—GluPro v 1.2; (C, D) barley—GluPro v 3; (E, F) rye—GluPro v 4; and (G, H) oats—GluPro v 5 (G). Protein accessions used to generate the figures were the lead accessions from protein grouping.



**Figure 3.** Distribution of celiac-toxic motifs in cereal seed proteomes. (A) Heat map of celiac-toxic motif-containing peptides retrieved from AllergenOnline and identified from discovery mass spectrometry (auto-scaled to features). Columns are specified by the classes, which were as follows: wheat—yellow; barley—red; rye—dark blue; oatsgreen. Relative normalized abundance of consensus celiac-toxic epitopes for DQ2.5 (B) and DQ2.2, DQ8 and DQ8.5 (C)<sup>5</sup>  $\alpha$ — $\alpha$ -gliadin;  $\gamma$ — $\gamma$ -gliadin;  $\omega$ — $\omega$ -gliadin; G-LLMW-GS; Horhordein, Sec—secalin; Ave—avenin; G-HHMW-GS. Cereal species are denoted by colored bars as in panel A. It was also clear that some peptides containing CTMs were present in combinations of wheat, barley, and rye, with varying abundances, but none were common to all three cereal species.

type were identified.<sup>38</sup> In addition, although unique chymotryptic peptides for the high molecular weight glutenin subunits (HMW-GS) 1By and 1Bx can be predicted from the wheat cv Chinese Spring genome, they are mostly based on missed cleavages, are long, and encompass the same region of the sequence and so may not be routinely observed using a standard chymotryptic workflow.

The relative abundances of the different seed storage prolamins identified were then considered within the overall range of relative protein abundances for species-specific accessions (Figure 2B, D, F, and H). They dominated the abundance profiles of all cereal species except oats, for which the avenins were ranked in the middle order, the most abundant proteins identified being the seed storage globulins.<sup>39</sup> This reflects the relatively low abundance of avenins in oats, which comprise only about 10-15% of total seed proteins, and high abundance of storage globulins, which comprise about 50% of total seed proteins.<sup>40</sup> The abundance spanned 3 orders of magnitude, showing that certain prolamin proteins are very minor components. PCA analysis of seed storage prolamins from the different species showed that 98.6% of the variance was accounted for in the first three components (Figure 1B). Loading values for the PCA showed that the major proteins contributing to the separations in both PC1 and PC2 were from *H. vulgare* (Table S2 and Supporting Information File 3 – Sheet

2). Avena sativa proteins were responsible for the separation in PC3, particularly in the negative direction.

### Identification and Relative Abundances of Peptides Containing Celiac-Toxic Motifs in Cereal Species

The normalized abundances of peptides containing celiac-toxic motifs (CTMs), corresponding to epitopes able to activate Tcells in individuals with celiac disease, were analyzed using the 1041 CD-active peptides deposited in AllergenOnline.<sup>35</sup> Specifically, only peptides and their associated normalized abundance identified during mass spectrometry analysis that totally encompassed a CD-active peptide, as defined in AllergenOnline, were considered as containing T-cell epitopes. Only 225 peptides containing CTMs were found (Supplementary Data File 6), and heat map analysis revealed distinct differences in the patterns and abundances of peptides across the different cereal species (Figure 3A). Cluster analysis showed that wheat was separated from the other cereal species, with rye and oats containing both lower amounts and less diverse CTMs than wheat or barley. Wheat contained the largest number and diversity, confirming previous in silico analysis.<sup>8</sup>

Peptides containing CTMs identified in oats were all unique to that species, reflecting the species-specific nature of the B-type avenins. Additional analysis was also performed using the consensus T-cell-restricted epitopes identified by Sollid and coworkers (Figure 4B). Thirty-three of the 38 epitopes were



**Figure 4.** Identification and relative abundance of allergens associated with IgE-mediated allergies using the lead accession from the protein grouping. Yellow bars indicate the WHO allergen isoform, black bars are homologues identified through full sequence BLAST, green bars are those identified from a sliding 80mer window and FASTA, and pink bars are the accessions that were common between the two methods. Allergen sequences were retrieved from the WHO/IUIS allergen nomenclature database and homologues in other cereal species identified from discovery mass spectrometry. Allergens were grouped as being either gluten proteins (A), trypsin/ $\alpha$ -amylase inhibitors (ATI) (B), or other (C). Homologues are identified as follows: wheat—Hom-W; barley—hom-B; rye—hom-R; oats—hom-O.

found in the cereal proteomes, with those not identified all being DQ2.5 restricted. Three of the epitopes not identified span chymotryptic digestion sites and would only be identified, which explains their absence from the data sets. The two other "missing" epitopes, one each from a secalin and an avenin, are either of very low abundance or absent from the cultivars used in this analysis. Some T-cell epitopes classified as "Hor" (hordein) and "Sec" (secalin) by Sollid et al. were found in wheat, barley, and rye, and were least abundant in rye. Of the different cereal species, wheat contained the highest abundance of T-cell epitopes, mainly due to those present in the  $\alpha$ -gliadin fraction, which is both abundant and only present in wheat. DQ-restricted epitopes were also very abundant in the  $\gamma$ -type prolamins, with barley containing the highest abundance of two epitopes (QQPQQPFPQ and LQPQQPFPQ) also found in

wheat, barley, and rye. Of the remaining DQ-restricted epitopes in  $\gamma$ -type prolamins, one shared across all cereal species (QQPFPQQPQ) was most abundant in rye, with the remaining being most abundant in wheat. The T-cell epitopes originating from  $\omega$ -type prolamins, one of which is considered to be one of the most potent T-cell stimulatory peptides in CD,<sup>41</sup> were less abundant, reflecting the lower abundance of this gluten protein type. The least abundant T-cell epitopes were those found in avenins, of which only two were identified at a low level and only in oats.

### **Proteomic Profiling of Wheat Allergens**

Allergen accessions associated with IgE-mediated food allergies were retrieved from the WHO/IUIS allergen nomenclature database, except for oats (*A. sativa*) for which no allergens are Q9S8A7

D2T2K

(322.6)

O45R389

(484.6)

P103884

B2Y2Q7

(466.5)

D2TGC3

D2TGC2

C7C4X0

A0A3B6

TFZ6]

P17314

Q41540

OP11

A0A3B6J

[O53YX8]

(81.8)

α-amylase/trypsin inhibitor

Tri a 21

Tri a 26

(HMW

subunit

glutenin)

Tri a 36

(LMW ubunit glutenin)

Tri a 15

amylase inhibitor

Tri a 29

Tri a 30

Tri a 40

CM17

CM3

CM1/CM2

0.28

(a-gliadin)

Q9S8A

D2T2K

(297.2)

O45R3

P1038

B2Y2Q

D2TGC

D2TGC

2 (81.8)

C7C4X

P17314

(148.5)

Q41540

L7R918

L7R91

[I6TEV2]

O84LE9

Q84LE9 (542.9)

I6TEV:

P13691

P28041 (145.7)

P28041

(145.7)

P11643 (171.4)

P32936

(542.9)

(176.3)

O84LE

(542.9)

Q84LE

(542.9)

I6TEV

P13691

(160.3)

P28041 (145.7) Q45FA6 (147.2)

P28041 Q45FA6

(145.7) (147.2)

P11643 Q45FA6

(171.4)(147.2)

P32936 Q45FA6

(134.5) (147.2)

O93WE0

[Q94IJ7]

Q94IL5 (357.6)

E5KZQ2 [E5KZQ7]

C3VWW2 C3VWW

pubs.acs.org/jpr

Oats (Avena sativa)

Protein

None

A0A1B 2LQA9

(115.3)

None

(81.7)

None

None

None

None

A0A0U 3DJ23

1EWM

None

Q8LT0

Protein

None

grouping

A0A1B2I QA9 (115.3)

None

(81.7)

None

None

None

A0A0U3E J23

A0A411E WM9

[A3AV14]

O8LT03

roteir

None

8 (164.4)

A0A1C6 ZYA9 None

A0A1C9 T8F8

P46226

(71.3)

None

A0A3G

MTQ3

I3RXT:

F1DB88 None

Q9ZNY5 (48.8)

(13.0)

### UniProt accession of Homologues WHO/ WHO/ WHO. UniProt accession of Homologues WHO/ пля плs allergen designation ILUS alle IUIS allerg allergen Wheat Barley (Hordeum Rye (Secale cereale) Oats (Avena sativa) Wheat (Triticum Barley (Hordeum Rye (Secale cereale) (Triticum designation etivum vulgare) aestivum) vulgare Protein rouping grouping grouping grouping rouping grouping grouping grouping ouping F2EEH7 A0A0G3F Seed Storage Prolamin Tri a 44ª A0A00 F2EEH one 3F720 (90.8) 7 (90.8) 20 (Endospern Tri a 19 Q402I5 Q402I Q41210 Q41210 K7WJK0 K7WJK None None [A0A3B5 XUN6] (324.8)(324.8)[E5KZP9] transfer cell [A0A2U8] (ω5specific D21] PR60 gliadin) precursor) Q9SYX8 [A0A060 N479] Q9SYX A0A8I6W NF0 H8Y0P (52.1) H8Y0P (52.1) Q09114 (247.5) Q09114 (247.5) 40481 6WNF0 Hor v 1 (BAMI-1) P16968 (48.2) P1696 (48.9) C3VWV8 (178.4) 0A3B6F 40431 C3VWV E52 (48.9) 6PE52 (y-gliadin) (48.9)A0A060N A0A06 A0A8I6W NF0 H8Y0P A0A8I 6WNF0 H8Y0P Q09114 (247.5) Q09114 Sec c 38 Q9S8H2 A0A1D5U A0A1D 5UB33 A0A8I6X A0A8I 0N479 (247.5) Vone 479 (52.1) (52.1) Q9S8H (267.7) (249.1) B33 (53.0) S48 6XS48 (53.0) Hor v 20 A0A2U8J A0A2U P80198 P80198 K7WF86 K7WF86 I4EP78 I4EP78 II6TEV21 (176.3) D37 8JD37 [E5KZQ7 (125.0)Metabolic and other proteins (116.1) (v-hordeir (116.1) P15312 P15312 Q9FRV (133.4) Tri a 18 P1096 P10968 Q9FRV (129.4) wheat ger Sec c 20 Q9S8B0 Q9S8E P21292 [B6UKM5 P21292 (159.5) L0L6J7 [L0L833] LOL6J7 agglutinin) (y-secalin

None

None

I4EP64

I4EP64

None

A0A1B2L QC0

A0A1B2

A0A1B2L

QC9 [A0A1B2 LQD0]

A0A1B2L QD9

(126.5)

(161.0)

A0A1B2L

LQA8]

[I4EP88]

II4EP881

None

093WF0

Q94IL5 (357.6)

E5KZQ2

Q45FA6 (144.6)

Q45FA6

(144.6)

Q45FA6

(144.6)

Q45FA6

(144.6) OD6 None

I4EP64

I4EP64

None

A0A1B 2LQC0 ase)

(84.9)

A0A1E

2LQC9 (125.8)

AOA1E

2LQD9

A0A1E

2LOD6

(161.0)

Tri a 25

Tri a 27

(Thiolreductase)

Tri a 31

(Triose

Tri a 32

(1-cys

peroxiredo in)

Tri a 33

(Serpin)

Tri a 34

hyde-3-

Tri a 35

Tri a 37

(α purothioni

(Dehvdrin)

phosphate dehvdroger

(Glyceralde

phosphate

(Thioredox

Q9LDX4 (19.7)

Q7Y1Z2 (61.3)

09FS79

[M8AXJ0]

Q6W8Q2 (53.2)

Q9ST57 (147.4)

C7C4X1 (142.2)

D2TE72

09T0P1

[A0A446 KCA8]

Q9LD

(19.7)

Q7Y1Z

2 (61.3

Q9FS7

O6W8

Q9ST5

. (147.4)

C7C4X

D2TE7

O9T0P

(65.3)

Q2

X4

Q7XZK2

[F2DV81]

A0A8I7F

F2EHF8

(107.5)

P52572

(182.6)

F2DHX6

[Q43492]

TITDJ6

F2FE63

[A0A28] GM58]

CH5

Q7XZ K2

(6.8)

A0A8I

7BCH5

F2EHF P46226

(107.5

P52572 None

(182.6)

F2DHX

P26517 I3RXT:

TITDJ

F2EE6

A0A1C6Z YA9

A0A1C9

A0A3G1 MTQ3

F1DB88

WPC7]

Q9ZNY:

A0A446

8F8

### Table 2. Allergen Isoforms Were Identified from Profiling Cereals Containing Gluten<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Mass spectral data were searched, and allergen isoforms designated in the WHO/IUIS allergen nomenclature database or homologues were identified. Boxes shaded in blue indicate that the accession was identified in mass spectral data using either protein grouping (Protein grouping) or proteins with at least one unique peptide (Protein). The confidence score of the identified protein accession is shown within parentheses after the accession. Boxes shaded in orange indicate that the protein was identified within a protein group with the lead accession of that group shown in square brackets. The lead accession has the highest protein score and hence highest probability of being present in the sample. If the accession was not the lead accession identified using Protein Grouping, then no confidence score was assigned. \* Belongs to the prolamin superfamily. ¶ corresponds to Tri a 26.0201; + corresponds to Tri a 26.0101.

listed.<sup>42</sup> These were used to identify allergens in the proteome profiles of different cereal species. Additional isoforms present in the proteomics profiles of the different cereal species that could represent cross-reactive allergens were also identified. Putative cross-reactive allergen sequences were also identified using either a full sequence BLAST or a sliding 80-mer window and FASTA (Table 2 and Tables S3 and S4, 10.6084/m9.figshare.21916854).<sup>43</sup> This broad approach was chosen because it should identify allergen homologues and address criticisms of the sliding 80-mer window, which can identify proteins as potential allergens that have very low levels of homology and are unlikely to be allergenic.<sup>44</sup> Based on the data analysis described

above, the identified proteins in all the cereal species were investigated for the presence of these allergenic proteins, either canonical sequences or newly identified putative cross-reactive allergens (Table 2). Two rye allergens (Sec c 20 (two isoforms) and Sec c 38) and two wheat allergens (Tri a 41 and 42) were identified, which are fragments less than 80 amino acids in length and could only be analyzed using BLAST searching. There was little overlap in the accessions identified by the different methods, with only 11 homologues identified for barley, 10 for rye, and 5 for oats by both approaches. These spanned inhalant allergens from pollens such as the profilin allergen from wheat, Tri a 12, and those involved in Bakers'

The expanded sequence sets resulting from this analysis were then used to mine the proteomic profiles of each of the cereal species (Table 2). No evidence was found of the pollen profilin allergen and its homologues from wheat (Tri a 12) and barley (Hor v 12), or the pollen Phl p 5 family (Hor v 5 and Sec c 5), which is consistent with their being expressed in pollen and not cereal seed tissues. Evidence was also lacking for specific accessions attributed to the wheat LTP allergen, Tri a 14,  $\beta$ amylase (Tri a 17), the 0.19 ATI (Tri a 28), the serine protease inhibitor-like protein belonging to the potato tuber inhibitor family (Tri a 39), and four wheat proteins that are involved in metabolism (Tri a 41-43 and 45). However, other isoforms of these proteins were identified (Supporting Information, Files 1 and 3). Using protein grouping and/or accessions with unique peptides identified five wheat seed storage protein allergens together with two ATIs and six proteins with other functions (Table 2). Since wheat cv Chinese Spring has the HMW-GS composition 1Bx6 + 1By8 and 1Dx2 + 1Dy12, the allergenic HMW subunit 1Dx5 (Tri a 26.0101) was not identified, but the 1Bx7 subunit (Tri a 26.0201) was, likely reflecting its homology to the 1Bx6 subunit of cv Chinese Spring. Interestingly, homologues of Tri a 26 were identified in barley and rye, suggesting that D hordeins and HMW secalins have homology with 1Dx5. Similarly, the  $\omega$ 5-gliadin allergen, Tri a 19, was not identified in wheat, but a homologue, C-hordein, was identified in barley. Allergenic  $\gamma$ -gliadins were also identified from wheat (Tri a 20), barley (Hor v 20), and rye (Sec c 20). A number of ATI allergens were identified in wheat, barley (Hor v 15), and rye (Sec c 38) together with putative homologues. Searching for proteoforms is notoriously difficult, and it is not surprising that many specific allergen sequence accessions were not identified, although homologues and closely related proteins were (Supplementary Data Files 1, 2, and 4).

The relative abundance of the cereal allergen accessions and putative cross-reactive allergens in different cereal species was determined (Figure 4). The normalized abundance of each identified allergen or homologue was retrieved from mass spectral data following normalization to the peptide DSRGNPTVEVELTTEKGVF (the most abundant identified peptide for yeast enolase included as a digestion control in all samples), which allowed comparison of relative abundances of proteins across runs. The gluten protein allergens were most abundant, followed by those belonging to the ATI family (Figure 4), which is consistent with the known protein composition of cereal seeds.<sup>45</sup> The relative abundance of the gluten protein allergens did not map to the known relative abundances of the gluten proteins of  $\alpha$ -gliadin > LMW-GS> $\gamma$ -gliadin > HMW-GS >  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins >  $\omega$ 5-gliadins.<sup>46</sup>

Thus, the most abundant allergen was the low-molecularweight glutenin subunit allergen Tri a 36 of wheat, followed by the barley homologue of the HMW-GS allergen Tri a 26.0201 and the  $\alpha$ -gliadin allergen Tri a 21 in wheat. The  $\alpha$ -gliadin allergen Tri a 19, the  $\gamma$ -gliadin allergen Tri a 20 in wheat, and its homologues in rye and oats, together with the HMW-GS allergen Tri a 26.0201 in wheat and its homologues in rye and oats, had similar moderate levels of abundance. In contrast, the  $\gamma$ -hordein allergen Hor v 20 from barley and its homologues and the Tri a 20 homologue in barley were all of low abundance. Interestingly, homologues of allergens identified in the different cereal species were present at similar abundances to the allergens in the original species, apart from the Tri a 20 homologue in rye.

# CONCLUSIONS

Advances in the quantity and quality of genomic data available, for wheat and barley in particular, are supporting proteomic annotations, and the whole proteome analysis presented here provides protein-level evidence for proteins, including those from oats, which had only previously been imputed from genome or transcriptome data. However, although genome sequencing of wheat, barley, rye, and oats continues to advance, the quality and annotation of the assembly can limit the use of such data for proteomics analysis, and gaps still remain especially for crops, such as rye and oats, which limit the analysis that can be performed. Similarly, there are gaps in our knowledge of CTMs, since T-cell epitope mapping studies do not necessarily focus on celiacs with active disease or individuals with less common HLA types, such as DQ2.2 and DQ8. Indeed, many studies have focused on identifying CTMs in wheat, and it may be that there are novel CTMs still to be identified in other cereal species, such as barley and rye.<sup>9</sup>

Nevertheless, the proteomic profile of mature grain from wheat, barley, and rye clearly demonstrated the dominance of seed storage prolamins and allowed proteoforms to be identified, which confirmed the importance of gluten proteins as IgE-mediated food allergens. Putative cross-reactive homologues were also identified in barley, rye, and oats, confirming clinical observations of cross-reactive allergens between cereal species, especially wheat, barley, and rye. The ATIs, which are associated with the inhalant occupational allergy to flour known as Baker's asthma, were of only moderate abundance, as were many minor wheat flour allergens. These findings suggest that if cereals, such as rye, barley, and oats, were more widely consumed in the future, their allergenic potential and potency could be revealed. This study also allowed direct comparison of the relative abundances of celiac-toxic motifs across different species and confirmed previous in silico analysis that the diversity and abundance of prolamins carrying CTMs present in wheat are consistent with its importance as a trigger for celiac disease, followed by barley, rye, and finally oats. In oats, together with the lack of potentially cross-reactive IgE allergens, this suggests that oats are more suitable for individuals with adverse reactions to wheat, supporting the decision of some regulators to exclude oats from the regulated list of cereals containing gluten. The more limited repertoire of proteins carrying CTMs also makes it more tractable to efforts to further reduce the levels by conventional breeding or the application of biotechnological approaches.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **Data Availability Statement**

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE<sup>47</sup> partner repository with the data set identifier PXD039539.

### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jproteome.3c00456.

Supplementary Data File 1: proteins identified from mass spectral data within *T. aestivum*, *H. vulgare*, *S. cereal*, and *A.* 

*sativa* samples, using Progenesis QI for Proteomics and protein grouping (XLSX)

Supplementary Data File 2: peptides identified from mass spectral data within *T. aestivum*, *H. vulgare*, *S. cereal*, and *A. sativa* samples, using Progenesis QI for Proteomics and protein grouping (XLSX)

Supplementary Data File 3: proteins identified from mass spectral data within *T. aestivum*, *H. vulgare*, *S. cereal*, and *A. sativa* samples, using Progenesis QI for Proteomics and unique peptides only for protein identification (XLSX)

Supplementary Data File 4: peptides identified from mass spectral data within *T. aestivum*, *H. vulgare*, *S. cereal*, and *A. sativa* samples, using Progenesis QI for Proteomics and unique peptides only for protein identification (XLSX)

Supplementary Data File 5: principal component analysis loadings used to generate Figure 1 (XLSX)

Supplementary Data File 6: CD-active peptide identified in mass spectral data (XLSX)

Table S1: Ppincipal component analysis loadings for UniProt protein accessions identified using searching against Viridiplantae; Table S2: principal component analysis loadings for UniProt protein accessions identified using searching against Viridiplantae and tagged in the GluPro database of curated gluten protein sequences; Table S3: identification of cereal allergen homologues associated with IgE-mediated allergies using BLAST searching; Table S4: identification of cereal allergen homologues associated with IgE-mediated allergies using FASTA and a sliding 80mer window; Table S5: allergen isoforms identified from profiling cereals containing gluten; Figure S1: three-dimensional principal components analysis plots of protein abundance; Figure S2: gene ontology of identified proteins with aspect set to molecular function; Figure S3: digestion of cereal grain—quality control by 1D-PAGE; Figure S4: digestion of cereal grain—quality control by RP-HPLC; Figure S5: data processing pipeline for analysis of cereals containing gluten; Supplementary Figure S6: extracted ion chromatograms from two exemplar peptides identified in discovery mass spectrometry; Supporting Information S1: methods and quality control of chymotrypsin digestion (PDF)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

### **Corresponding Author**

E. N. Clare Mills – Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, School of Biological Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, The University of Manchester, Manchester M1 7DN, U.K.; School of School of Biosciences and Medicine, The University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, U.K.; orcid.org/ 0000-0001-7433-1740; Email: clare.mills@surrey.ac.uk

### **Authors**

Matthew E. Daly – Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, School of Biological Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, The University of Manchester, Manchester M1 7DN, U.K.; Present Address: Waters Corporation, Stamford Avenue, Wilmslow, UK

Xin Huang – Department of Food and Nutrition, University of Helsinki, Helsinki FI-00014, Finland; Occid.org/0000-0003-0869-840X Chiara Nitride – Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Portici 80055, Italy

- Christopher Hughes Waters Corporation, Wilmslow SK9 4AX, U.K.; • orcid.org/0000-0002-4396-5289
- Jaakko Tanskanen Natural Resources Institute (LUKE), Helsinki 00710, Finland
- Peter R. Shewry Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Herts AL5 2JQ, U.K.; © orcid.org/0000-0001-6205-2517
- Lee A. Gethings Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, School of Biological Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, The University of Manchester, Manchester M1 7DN, U.K.; Waters Corporation, Wilmslow SK9 4AX, U.K.; School of School of Biosciences and Medicine, The University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, U.K.

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.3c00456

### **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

# Funding

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) of the UK CASE (Grant No: 2113362) awarded to MD and forms part of the Developing Sustainable Wheat Strategic Programme (BB/X011003/1).

### Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): MD and LG are employees of Waters Corporation, a manufacturer of chromatographic and mass spectrometry equipment. MD completed this work prior to being employed by Waters Corporation, whilst studying for a PhD degree at the University of Manchester.

# ABBREVIATIONS

ATI,  $\alpha$ -amylase/trypsin inhibitors; BLAST, basic local alignment search tool; CD, celiac disease; BTEE, N-benzoyl-Ltyrosine ethyl ester; cDNA, complementary deoxyribonucleic acid; CM, chloroform/methanol; CTM, celiac-toxic motif; DIA, data-independent acquisition; DTT, dithiothreitol; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; FDR, false discovery rate; GO, gene ontology; HDMSe, high-definition mass spectrometry; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; HMW-GS, high molecular weightglutenin subunit; HPLC, High-performance liquid chromatography; IgE, immunoglobulin E; LC-IM-MS, liquid chromatography-ion mobility-mass spectrometry; LC-MS, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry; LDS,, lithium dodecyl sulfate; LMW-GS, low molecular weight-glutenin subunit; MES, 2-(Nmorpholino)ethanesulfonic acid; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; MS, mass spectrometry; PCA, principal component analysis; RP-HPLC, reversed-phase-high-performance liquid chromatography; UPLC, ultra-performance liquid chromatography; WDEIA, wheat-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis; WHO/IUIS, World Health Organization/International Union of Immunological Societies

# REFERENCES

 (1) Crops. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the UN. http:// www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC (Accessed 19 November 2021).
(2) (a) Osborne, T. B. The proteins of the wheat kernel. Carnegie Institution of Washington; Carnegie Institution of Washington publication, 1907. pp 1859-1929 (b) Shewry, P. R.; D'Ovidio, R.; Lafiandra, D.; Jenkins, J. A.; Mills, E. N. C.; Békés, F. Wheat grain proteins. In *Wheat: chemistry and Technology*, Cereals & Grains Association, 2009; pp. 223–298.

(3) Shewry, P. R. Wheat. J. Exp. Bot. 2009, 60 (6), 1537-1553.

(4) Singh, P.; Arora, A.; Strand, T. A.; Leffler, D. A.; Catassi, C.; Green, P. H.; Kelly, C. P.; Ahuja, V.; Makharia, G. K. Global Prevalence of Celiac Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2018**, *16* (6), 823–836.e2.

(5) Sollid, L. M.; Tye-Din, J. A.; Qiao, S. W.; Anderson, R. P.; Gianfrani, C.; Koning, F. Update 2020: nomenclature and listing of celiac disease-relevant gluten epitopes recognized by CD4(+) T cells. *Immunogenetics* **2020**, *72* (1–2), 85–88.

(6) Almeida, L. M.; Gandolfi, L.; Pratesi, R.; Uenishi, R. H.; de Almeida, F. C.; Selleski, N.; Nobrega, Y. K. Presence of DQ2.2 Associated with DQ2.5 Increases the Risk for Celiac Disease. *Autoimmune Dis.* **2016**, 2016, 5409653.

(7) Marsh, M. N. Gluten, major histocompatibility complex, and the small intestine. A molecular and immunobiologic approach to the spectrum of gluten sensitivity ('celiac sprue'). *Gastroenterology* **1992**, *102* (1), 330–354.

(8) Daly, M.; Bromilow, S. N.; Nitride, C.; Shewry, P. R.; Gethings, L. A.; Mills, E. N. C. Mapping Coeliac Toxic Motifs in the Prolamin Seed Storage Proteins of Barley, Rye, and Oats Using a Curated Sequence Database. *Front. Nutr.* **2020**, *7*, 171–187.

(9) Chlubnová, M.; Christophersen, A. O.; Sandve, G. K. F.; Lundin, K. E. A.; Jahnsen, J.; Dahal-Koirala, S.; Sollid, L. M. Identification of gluten T cell epitopes driving celiac disease. *Sci. Adv.* **2023**, *9* (4), No. eade5800.

(10) Scherf, K. A.; Brockow, K.; Biedermann, T.; Koehler, P.; Wieser, H. Wheat-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **2016**, *46* (1), 10–20.

(11) (a) Codex Alimentarius Commission. Standard for foods for special dietary use for persons intolerant to gluten; WHO, 2008 (b) European Union. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 828/2014 on the requirements for the provision of information to consumers on the absence or reduced presence of gluten in food; European Union, 2014. (c) FDA Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer Protection Act of 2004 (FALCPA). In Public Law 108–282, Title II; FDA, 2004.

(12) Bucchini, L.; Daly, M.; Mills, E. N. C. Chapter 7. Food Allergen Labelling Regulation. In *Health Claims and Food Labelling*; Royal Society of Chemistry, 2019.

(13) DunnGalvin, A.; Chan, C. H.; Crevel, R.; Grimshaw, K.; Poms, R.; Schnadt, S.; Taylor, S. L.; Turner, P.; Allen, K. J.; Austin, M. Precautionary allergen labelling: perspectives from key stakeholder groups. *Allergy* **2015**, *70* (9), 1039–1051.

(14) DunnGalvin, A.; Roberts, G.; Schnadt, S.; Astley, S.; Austin, M.; Blom, W. M.; Baumert, J.; Chan, C. H.; Crevel, R. W. R.; Grimshaw, K. E. C. Evidence-based approaches to the application of precautionary allergen labelling: Report from two iFAAM workshops. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **2019**, *49* (9), 1191–1200.

(15) (a) Houben, G. F.; Baumert, J. L.; Blom, W. M.; Kruizinga, A. G.; Meima, M. Y.; Remington, B. C.; Wheeler, M. W.; Westerhout, J.; Taylor, S. L. Full range of population Eliciting Dose values for 14 priority allergenic foods and recommendations for use in risk characterization. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2020**, *146*, 111831. (b) Westerhout, J.; Baumert, J. L.; Blom, W. M.; Allen, K. J.; Ballmer-Weber, B.; Crevel, R. W. R.; Dubois, A. E. J.; Fernández-Rivas, M.; Greenhawt, M. J.; Hourihane, J. O. Deriving individual threshold doses from clinical food challenge data for population risk assessment of food allergens. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2019**, *144* (5), 1290–1309.

(16) Lexhaller, B.; Colgrave, M. L.; Scherf, K. A. Characterization and Relative Quantitation of Wheat, Rye, and Barley Gluten Protein Types by Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 86–101.

(17) (a) Nye-Wood, M. G.; Juhász, A.; Bose, U.; Colgrave, M. L. Proteome Analysis and Epitope Mapping in a Commercial Reduced-Gluten Wheat Product. *Front. Nutr.* **2021**, *8*, 705822. (b) Nlm. Afzal, M.; Sielaff, M.; Distler, U.; Schuppan, D.; Tenzer, S.; Longin, C. F. H.

pubs.acs.org/jpr

(1), 9. (c) Norwig, M. C.; Geisslitz, S.; Scherf, K. A. Comparative Label-Free Proteomics Study on Celiac Disease-Active Epitopes in Common Wheat, Spelt, Durum Wheat, Emmer, and Einkorn. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2024**, 72 (26), 15040–15052.

(18) Schalk, K.; Lang, C.; Wieser, H.; Koehler, P.; Scherf, K. A. Quantitation of the immunodominant 33-mer peptide from alphagliadin in wheat flours by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 45092.

(19) Tye-Din, J. A.; Stewart, J. A.; Dromey, J. A.; Beissbarth, T.; van Heel, D. A.; Tatham, A.; Henderson, K.; Mannering, S. I.; Gianfrani, C.; Jewell, D. P. Comprehensive, quantitative mapping of T cell epitopes in gluten in celiac disease. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2010**, *2* (41), 41ra51.

(20) Afzal, M.; Pfannstiel, J.; Zimmermann, J.; Bischoff, S. C.; Wurschum, T.; Longin, C. F. H. High-resolution proteomics reveals differences in the proteome of spelt and bread wheat flour representing targets for research on wheat sensitivities. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10* (1), 14677.

(21) Altenbach, S. B.; Chang, H. C.; Simon-Buss, A.; Mohr, T.; Huo, N.; Gu, Y. Q. Exploiting the reference genome sequence of hexaploid wheat: a proteomic study of flour proteins from the cultivar Chinese Spring. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* **2020**, *20* (20), 1–16.

(22) Juhasz, A.; Belova, T.; Florides, C. G.; Maulis, C.; Fischer, I.; Gell, G.; Birinyi, Z.; Ong, J.; Keeble-Gagnere, G.; Maharajan, A. Genome mapping of seed-borne allergens and immunoresponsive proteins in wheat. *Sci. Adv.* **2018**, *4* (8), No. eaar8602.

(23) Eversole, K.; Feuillet, C.; Mayer, K. F.; Rogers, J. Slicing the wheat genome. Introduction. *Science* **2014**, 345 (6194), 285–287.

(24) Mascher, M.; Gundlach, H.; Himmelbach, A.; Beier, S.; Twardziok, S. O.; Wicker, T.; Radchuk, V.; Dockter, C.; Hedley, P. E.; Russell, J. A chromosome conformation capture ordered sequence of the barley genome. *Nature* **2017**, *544* (7651), 427–433.

(25) Rabanus-Wallace, M. T.; Hackauf, B.; Mascher, M.; Lux, T.; Wicker, T.; Gundlach, H.; Baez, M.; Houben, A.; Mayer, K. F. X.; Guo, L. Chromosome-scale genome assembly provides insights into rye biology, evolution and agronomic potential. *Nat. Genet.* **2021**, *53* (4), 564–573.

(26) Daly, M.; Huang, X.; Nitride, C.; Tranquet, O.; Rogers, A.; Shewry, P. R.; Gethings, L. A.; Mills, E. N. C. A chromatographic and immunoprofiling approach to optimizing workflows for extraction of gluten proteins from flour. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2023**, *1215*, 123554.

(27) Lowry, O. H.; Rosebrough, N. J.; Farr, A. L.; Randall, R. J. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1951**, *193* (1), 265–275.

(28) Li, G. Z.; Vissers, J. P.; Silva, J. C.; Golick, D.; Gorenstein, M. V.; Geromanos, S. J. Database searching and accounting of multiplexed precursor and product ion spectra from the data independent analysis of simple and complex peptide mixtures. *Proteomics* **2009**, *9* (6), 1696–1719.

(29) Pang, Z.; Chong, J.; Zhou, G.; de Lima Morais, D. A.; Chang, L.; Barrette, M.; Gauthier, C.; Jacques, P. E.; Li, S.; Xia, J. MetaboAnalyst 5.0: narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2021**, *49* (W1), W388–W396.

(30) Binns, D.; Dimmer, E.; Huntley, R.; Barrell, D.; O'Donovan, C.; Apweiler, R. QuickGO: a web-based tool for Gene Ontology searching. *Bioinformatics* **2009**, *25* (22), 3045–3046.

(31) Waterhouse, A. M.; Procter, J. B.; Martin, D. M.; Clamp, M.; Barton, G. J. Jalview Version 2–a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **2009**, *25* (9), 1189–1191.

(32) Sayers, E. W.; Beck, J.; Brister, J. R.; Bolton, E. E.; Canese, K.; Comeau, D. C.; Funk, K.; Ketter, A.; Kim, S.; Kimchi, A. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *48*, D9–D16.

(33) Lipman, D. J.; Pearson, W. R. Rapid and sensitive protein similarity searches. *Science* **1985**, 227 (4693), 1435–1441.

(34) R foundation for statistical computing Vienna R: a language and environment for statistical computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2017.

(35) Goodman, R. E.; Ebisawa, M.; Ferreira, F.; Sampson, H. A.; van Ree, R.; Vieths, S.; Baumert, J. L.; Bohle, B.; Lalithambika, S.; Wise, J. AllergenOnline: A peer-reviewed, curated allergen database to assess novel food proteins for potential cross-reactivity. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2016**, *60* (5), 1183–1198.

(36) Soltis, D. E.; Misra, B. B.; Shan, S.; Chen, S.; Soltis, P. S. Polyploidy and the proteome. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol.* **2016**, *1864* (8), 896–907.

(37) Bromilow, S. N.; Gethings, L. A.; Langridge, J. I.; Shewry, P. R.; Buckley, M.; Bromley, M. J.; Mills, E. N. Comprehensive Proteomic Profiling of Wheat Gluten Using a Combination of Data-Independent and Data-Dependent Acquisition. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *7*, 2020.

(38) Clavijo, B. J.; Venturini, L.; Schudoma, C.; Accinelli, G. G.; Kaithakottil, G.; Wright, J.; Borrill, P.; Kettleborough, G.; Heavens, D.; Chapman, H. An improved assembly and annotation of the allohexaploid wheat genome identifies complete families of agronomic genes and provides genomic evidence for chromosomal translocations. *Genome Res.* **2017**, *27* (5), 885–896.

(39) Anderson, O. D. The spectrum of major seed storage genes and proteins in oats (Avena sativa). *PLoS One* **2014**, *9* (7), No. e83569.

(40) Klose, C.; Arendt, E. K. Proteins in oats; their synthesis and changes during germination: a review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2012**, *52* (7), 629–639.

(41) Camarca, A.; Anderson, R. P.; Mamone, G.; Fierro, O.; Facchiano, A.; Costantini, S.; Zanzi, D.; Sidney, J.; Auricchio, S.; Sette, A. Intestinal T cell responses to gluten peptides are largely heterogeneous: implications for a peptide-based therapy in celiac disease. *J. Immunol.* **2009**, *182* (7), 4158–4166.

(42) Sudharson, S.; Kalic, T.; Hafner, C.; Breiteneder, H. Newly defined allergens in the WHO/IUIS Allergen Nomenclature Database during 01/2019–03/2021. *Allergy* **2021**, *76*, 3359–3373.

(43) EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms. Scientific Opinion on the assessment of allergenicity of GM plants and microorganisms and derived food and feed. *Efsa J.*. **2010**, *8*, 7.

(44) (a) Herman, R. A.; Song, P. Allergen false-detection using official bioinformatic algorithms. *GM Crops Food* **2020**, *11* (2), 93–96. (b) Ladics, G. S.; Bannon, G. A.; Silvanovich, A.; Cressman, R. F. Comparison of conventional FASTA identity searches with the 80 amino acid sliding window FASTA search for the elucidation of potential identities to known allergens. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res* **2007**, *51* (8), 985–998.

(45) Rogniaux, H.; Pavlovic, M.; Lupi, R.; Lollier, V.; Joint, M.; Mameri, H.; Denery, S.; Larre, C. Allergen relative abundance in several wheat varieties as revealed via a targeted quantitative approach using MS. *Proteomics* **2015**, *15* (10), 1736–1745.

(46) Schalk, K.; Lexhaller, B.; Koehler, P.; Scherf, K. A. Isolation and characterization of gluten protein types from wheat, rye, barley and oats for use as reference materials. *PLoS One* **2017**, *12* (2), No. e0172819.

(47) Perez-Riverol, Y.; Bai, J.; Bandla, C.; Garcia-Seisdedos, D.; Hewapathirana, S.; Kamatchinathan, S.; Kundu, D. J.; Prakash, A.; Frericks-Zipper, A.; Eisenacher, M. The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2022**, *50* (D1), D543–D552.