

Rothamsted Research Harpenden, Herts, AL5 2JQ

Telephone: +44 (0)1582 763133 Web: http://www.rothamsted.ac.uk/

# **Rothamsted Repository Download**

## A - Papers appearing in refereed journals

Becker, F., MacLaren, C., Brink, C., Jabobs, K., le Roux, M. R. and Swanepoel, P. A. 2019. High nitrogen rates do not increase canola yield and may affect soil bacterial functioning. *Agronomy Journal*.

The publisher's version can be accessed at:

- https://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj2019.05.0359
- https://dl.sciencesocieties.org/publications/aj/first-look/pdf/agronj2019.05.0359.pdf

The output can be accessed at: <a href="https://repository.rothamsted.ac.uk/item/96x07/high-nitrogen-rates-do-not-increase-canola-yield-and-may-affect-soil-bacterial-functioning">https://repository.rothamsted.ac.uk/item/96x07/high-nitrogen-rates-do-not-increase-canola-yield-and-may-affect-soil-bacterial-functioning</a>.

© 3 October 2019, ASA

17/10/2019 13:04

repository.rothamsted.ac.uk

library@rothamsted.ac.uk

- 1 High nitrogen rates do not increase canola yield and may affect soil bacterial functioning
- 2 F Becker<sup>1</sup>, C MacLaren<sup>1, 2</sup>, CJ Brink<sup>3</sup>, K Jacobs<sup>3</sup>, MR le Roux<sup>1</sup>, PA Swanepoel<sup>1\*</sup>
- 3 <sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy; Stellenbosch University
- 4 <sup>2</sup>Sustainable Agricultural Sciences, Rothamsted Research
- 5 <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Stellenbosch University
- 6 \*Corresponding Author: pieterswanepoel@sun.ac.za; +27218084668
- 7 ORCID IDs

14

- 8 CM: 0000-0002-6700-3754; KJ: 0000-0003-3972-5343; CB: 0000-0003-2607-6831; MR: 0000-
- 9 0002-6473-237X PS: 0000-0002-6481-0673
- 11 Author contributions: PS and MR designed the study. FB undertook fieldwork, and FB and CB
- completed the laboratory tests. CM analysed the data, and FB, CM and PS wrote the article with
- contributions from CB and KJ. All authors provided critical revisions to the final version.
- 15 Core ideas
- Over-fertilization of N is common in canola in South Africa
- We evaluated N fertilization rates and application timings for canola
- Canola growth and yield were generally not affected by N rates or timing
- A low N rate favoured soil bacterial communities more likely to mineralize N
- A low N rate (60 to 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) split into two or three applications can sustain yield and
- 21 have minimal effects on soil bacterial functioning
- 23 **Abbreviations**: ARISA = Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis; CLPP = Community
- level physiological profiling: carbon source utilization; DAE = days after emergence; LAI = leaf
- area index; NMS = non-metric multidimensional scaling; OTU = operational taxonomic unit

Abstract

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Nitrogen fertilization has a fundamental role in agricultural productivity. However, injudicious N applications to crops are common. It is important to ensure the minimum N required for satisfactory crop growth is applied but that excess amounts are avoided due to potential impacts on agroecosystem functioning. Nitrogen at 0, 60, and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied as limestone ammonium nitrate to plots arranged in a randomized complete block design, on three farms to determine the impact of rate and temporal distribution of fertilizer on canola (Brassica napus L.) production in South Africa, and the effect of N fertilizer application on the composition and diversity of soil bacterial communities. The amount and distribution of N had only minor effects on canola growth (P < 0.05) and no effects on yield or harvest index. Splitting fertilizer into two or three applications throughout the season resulted in more mineral N available in the soil later in the season. Increasing the N rate from 60 to 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> had a significant impact on bacterial community composition. The lower rate favored bacteria that are more able to break down Ncontaining carbon sources. No effects of fertilizer amount or distribution were observed on either N fixation potential (number of nifH gene copies) or bacterial community diversity. Overall, a low rate of N fertilizer split into multiple applications is recommended for canola production, as higher rates do not increase yield and may have a detrimental impact on soil carbon and nitrogen cycling.

#### Introduction

The need for sustainable agricultural production systems is well recognized, with substantial increases in the human population posing huge challenges for future agricultural production within Earth's environmental limits (Tilman et al., 2002; Rockström et al., 2017). Environmental degradation associated with agriculture is exacerbated by external inputs, in particular with injudicious inorganic fertilizer applications. Inorganic N fertilizer application is a primary approach to intensify crop production and ensure food security (Liu et al., 2011), but it also has a large C footprint and causes eutrophication and algal blooms when it leaches from agricultural land to waterways (Seitzinger and Phillips, 2017). In addition, fuel and energy use during the manufacturing and transport processes of fertilizers may also contribute substantially to environmental erosion (Shibata et al., 2017). Although N fertilization has a fundamental role in agricultural productivity, it is important to ensure the minimum N required for satisfactory crop growth is applied.

Canola (*Brassica napus*) was introduced into crop rotation systems of South Africa in 1994 to increase crop diversity (BFAP 2018). Canola production in South Africa is growing, and according to predictions made by the Bureau for Food and Agricultural Policy (BFAP 2018), will increase to ca. 200,000 tons by 2027. Canola has a higher N demand than most other cash crops (Ma and Herath, 2015), but dependence on inorganic N fertilizers to increase canola production is not sustainable and, therefore, attention should be shifted to retaining and fixing N on-farm through optimising soil biological activity. Moreover, due to the lack of robust guidelines tailored for the South African climate and soil conditions, N fertilization is often applied injudiciously, which may have negative impacts on the finely balanced interactions in the soil environment. There is a need to understand how much inorganic N is necessary to produce satisfactory canola yields in the region, and how different fertilization strategies affect soil biological function.

The relationship between soil microbial diversity, ecosystem functioning, associated services, and management practices (e.g. N fertilization) is under increasing scrutiny to elucidate the complexities that underpin the productivity of agroecosystems (Brussaard et al., 2007; Hartmann et al., 2015; Hartman et al., 2018). Increased biodiversity in the microbial community may enhance the functional capacity of the soil ecosystem (Bender et al., 2016). Thus, the use of agricultural management practices that can maintain soil functional diversity is advocated in order to build inherent resilience to environmental shocks. The same motive has driven the implementation of crop rotation systems in the Western Cape (Venter et al., 2017).

It is widely acknowledged that N fertilizer may affect soil biodiversity and on-farm ecological functioning, potentially decimating the ecosystem services provided by the soil microbial community (Bisset et al. 2011; Gordon et al., 2016; Hartmann et al., 2015; Jackson et al., 2017). For example, the soil N and C cycles are mediated by soil bacteria, which are involved in the build-up and decomposition of soil organic matter (Jackson et al., 2017), and in the conversion of N between its organic and inorganic forms (Kuypers et al., 2018). It has been shown that microbial communities can be sensitive to fertilizers, particularly at the rates applied in current agroecosystems (Gordon et al., 2016; Hartmann et al., 2015). This suggests that N fertilizer applications may interfere with the capacity of the microbial community (including beneficial bacteria) to cycle N and C in ways that are beneficial to both crop growth and C sequestration. Thus, optimising N fertilizer applications should have benefits for both protecting the off-farm environment and sustaining the capacity of farm soils to produce crops.

Our understanding of the effect of N fertilization of canola on soil bacterial communities is currently limited. The overall aim of this study was to evaluate different N fertilization rates and N distribution for canola production in South Africa, but it specifically seeks to determine the effect of fertilizer N application on the composition and diversity of soil bacterial communities. The relationships between different N fertilizer application strategies, the soil bacterial

community, and canola growth and yield were assessed. The effects of both the quantity of N fertilizer and whether it was all applied at once or distributed throughout the season (at planting and as a top-dressing) were investigated.

#### Materials and methods

#### Trial location

Trials were conducted in 2016 at three farms in the winter rainfall area within South Africa's Western Cape province: Langgewens Research Farm (33°16′36.6"S, 18°42′11.4"E), Roodebloem Experimental Farm (34°13′29.5"S 19°31′47.3"E) and Altona, a commercial farm (33°42′15.6"S, 18°38′12.3"E). Langgewens and Altona are located in the Swartland region, and typically receive 440 mm and 690 mm of rain per year with 85-90 % of rainfall falling in the colder winter months (April-September). Roodebloem is located in the southern Cape region and receives 585 mm of rain per year, of which 80 % falls in the winter months. In 2016, annual rainfall and temperature patterns were similar to long-term averages, with the exception that May was unusually dry (records were obtained from weather stations either on or nearby each farm). Soils on Roodebloem Experimental Farm are generally shallow (<400 mm deep), shale-derived soils of a sandy loam texture. The parent material of soils in the Altona and Langgewens districts are mainly derived from greywacke and phyllite with limited pedological development, therefore shallow (<400 mm deep). The soil chemical and physical characteristics of each site is presented in Table 1.

## Experimental design

The trials followed a crossed full factorial design, with treatments receiving either 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, of which 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied at planting and the remainder distributed in either one, two or three applications later in the season (at 30, 60 and 90 days after emergence; DAE). A null control was also included, which received no N at any point in the season, so the

trial included seven treatments in total (Table 2). These were laid out in a randomized complete block design, with four replicates at each of the three farms. Plots were 2.75 x 5 m. Half of each plot was intended for destructive measurements (sampling of plants), while the other half was used for yield determination. Measures of canola plant production and soil bacterial community composition and function were taken in each plot at various time points throughout the season (Table 3). The methods for each of these are detailed in the following sections.

## Trial management

Weeds were eradicated prior to planting with paraquat. A fine seedbed was created using a 21 tine vibro flex to a depth of 150 mm, during which the pre-emergence herbicide Trifluralin [2,6-Dinitro-N,N-dipropyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)aniline] was applied so that it could be incorporated into the soil. The insecticide chlorpyrifos (O, O-diethyl O-3, 5, 6-trichloropyridin-2-yl phosphorothioate) was applied just before planting. Phosphorous, in the form of double superphosphate, was applied at a rate of 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on the day of planting, according to recommendations from soil tests done prior to establishment of the trial. No potassium was required according to soil test results (Table 1). Nitrogen was applied in the form of limestone ammonium nitrate (LAN) as specified in Table 3.

Canola was sown using a Wintersteiger disc plot planter with 170 mm row spacing. The triazine tolerant canola cultivar, Hyola 555 TT was planted at 4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on 9 May 2016 at Langgewens, 5 May 2016 at Altona, and 4 May 2016 at Roodebloem. The preceding crops on Langgewens, Altona and Roodebloem were wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), annual medics (*Medicago* spp.), and oats (*Avena sativa*), respectively. Methiocarb [(3,5-dimethyl-4-methylsulfanylphenyl) N-methylcarbamate] was applied just after planting to control snails, slugs and millipedes. At 30 DAE, atrazine (6-chloro-4-N-ethyl-2-N-propan-2-yl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine) and chlorpyrifos

142 were applied to control grass weeds and insects, respectively. Methiocarb was also applied at 30 DAE. 143 144 145 Soil nitrogen content analysis Soil cores (ø 45 mm) were taken to a depth of 150 mm. Three sub-samples were taken from each 146 plot and combined to form a single composite sample per plot, then air-dried at room temperature, 147 and sieved with a 1 mm sieve. Samples were taken 30, 60, 90 DAE and at when the canola reached 148 physiological maturity (approx. 150 DAE). Soil samples were analysed for ammonium and nitrate 149 150 content using the indophenol-blue (Keeney et al., 1982) and salicylic acid methods (Cataldo et al., 1975) respectively. Total soil mineral N (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as ammonium plus nitrate, which 151 is the N readily available to plants. To convert the total mineral N concentration (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) to stock 152 (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) a bulk density of 1400 kg m<sup>-3</sup> was used, which is the average bulk density for the region 153 reported by (de Clercq et al., 2013). 154 155 156 Soil bacterial community analysis Soil cores (Ø 45 mm) were taken to a depth of 150 mm using a stainless steel pipe and a hammer. 157 The pipe was washed and sterlized with 70 % ethanol between sampling of different plots. Samples 158 were collected at canola physiological maturity only. 159 160 161 Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA) The bacterial community composition within each sample was determined with Automated 162 Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA) (Ranjard et al., 2001). DNA was extracted from 163 0.25 g of soil using the Zymo research soil microbe DNA MicroPrep<sup>TM</sup> kit (Zymo research USA). 164 Extracted and purified DNA was separated on a 1 % agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide to 165 visualize under ultraviolet light. 166

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reactions were performed on the purified DNA using ITSReub (5'-GTCGTAACAAGGTAGCCGTA-3') and FAM (carboxy-fluorescein) labelled ITSF (5'-GCCAAGGCATCCACC-3') primer set for the 16S rRNA intergenic spacer region to determine bacterial diversity using ARISA (Cardinale et al. 2004, Slabbert et al., 2010b). PCR reactions were done using a 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA). The reaction mixture contained 0.5 μl purified genomic DNA, 500 nM of each primer, 4.1 μl PCR grade water (nuclease free) and 5 μl KapaTaq readymix (Kapa Biosystems, South Africa) for a total volume of 10 μl. The PCR consisted of an initial denaturing step of 5 minutes at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, 56°C for 50 s and 72°C for 70 s. The reaction was completed with a final extension at 72°C for 7 minutes and then cooled and held at 4°C. All the samples were done in triplicate and pooled to compensate for PCR bias.

The PCR products of the pooled samples were run on an ABI 3010xl Genetic Analyser to obtain an electropherogram of different fragment lengths and fluorescent intensities. Bacterial ARISA samples were run along the LIZ 1200 size standard which is designed for sizing DNA fragments in the 100 – 1200 base pair (bp) range. Fluorescence intensities were converted to electropherograms using the Genemapper 5 software. The peaks on the electropherogram represent different fragments of different sizes, termed operational taxonomic units (OTUs), and the heights of the peaks indicate relative abundance of the fragments. The lengths were calculated by plotting a best fit curve using the size standard and extrapolating the fragment size from the sample. Only fragment sizes between 100 and 1000 base pairs and peak heights above 150 fluorescent units were used for analysis as OTU's. A bin size of 3 bp was used to minimize inaccuracies of the ARISA profile (Brown et al., 2005; Slabbert et al., 2010b).

Community level physiological profiling (CLPP): carbon source utilization

The CLPP was done by determining the carbon source utilization of the soil bacterial community. Soil samples were diluted in distilled nuclease free water and inoculated, in triplicate, into Biolog EcoPlates<sup>TM</sup> (Biolog Inc., USA). The plates contain 31 different C sources in different wells and a control well containing no C source. Plates were incubated at 28°C. Utilization of the C sources by microbial populations reduce the tetrazolium dye inside the plate wells that cause a colour change. This colour change was measured twice daily over a period of 5 to 10 days with a spectrophotometer at 590 nm to determine the average well colour development (AWCD).

Nitrogen fixation capacity: number of nifH gene copies

The *nifH* PCR product from a *Burkholderia* strain was used for preparation of the standards as well as a positive control. The PCR product was purified using GeneJET PCR Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific). The PCR product size of 380 bp was verified by electrophoresis on a 1 % agarose gel. The purified PCR products were quantified using a µLITE (Biodrop, Cambridge, UK) and the *nifH* gene copy number was determined using the fragment length, molecular weight and Avogadro's number. The known concentration of the PCR product was used to prepare a standard curve, in triplicate, to measure *nifH* gene copy numbers. The *nifH* gene copy numbers of the soil samples were quantified by using quantitative PCR (qPCR) using the nifH F1 and nifH 438r primers (Boulygina et al., 2002; De Meyer et al., 2011). The qPCR assays were performed using the LightCycler 96 (Roche) with a SYBR Green 1 fluoroprobe as the protocol suggested (Brink et al., 2019).

A standard curve was generated for every qPCR run ranging from  $1x10^10$  to  $1x10^0$  gene copies  $\mu L^{-1}$ . Each run also included a positive control as well as a negative control. The same soil DNA samples used for ARISA was used for qPCR and was run in duplicate. The reaction volume contained 3  $\mu$ L nuclease free water, 2  $\mu$ L nifH F1 (100 nM) and nifH 438r (100 nM) primers, 10  $\mu$ L SYBR Green I Master Mix (2x) and 5  $\mu$ L sample DNA as described by manufacturers

specifications. The thermal cycle used for qPCR consisted of 95°C for 5 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 60 s, 60°C for 60 s and 72°C for 30 s. A melt curve analysis was done after the 40 cycles to verify specificity of amplicons. This analysis identified the number of nifH gene copies per 5  $\mu$ L of DNA, which was converted to the copy number per gram soil based on 100  $\mu$ L DNA per 0.25 g soil.

## Canola growth and yield determination

Plant population was determined by counting seedlings within the border of a half square meter quadrat at 30 DAE and converted to plants m<sup>-2</sup>. Biomass was determined by cutting 10 plants per plot at ground level at 30, 60, 90 DAE and at physiological maturity. The plants were dried in an oven at 70°C for 48 hours and weighed. Biomass per plant was converted to biomass m<sup>-2</sup> by using the particular plot's plant population. An additional ten plants per plot were sampled to determine leaf area index (LAI). Leaf area index was measured at 60 and 90 DAE using a LI-COR 3100 leaf area meter. The LAI describes the potential surface area of leaves available for capturing light and thus photosynthetic capacity, and so a higher LAI gives a plant the capacity for higher biomass accumulation and yield potential (Viña et al., 2011).

Canola seed was harvested at physiological maturity on 7 November 2016 at Langgewens, 9 November 2016 at Altona and 4 November 2016 at Roodebloem with a Hege plot harvester. The harvested seed were cleaned by using sieves and weighed to determine the yield per plot (ton ha<sup>-1</sup>). The harvest index, or proportion of aboveground biomass, was also calculated:

237 
$$Harvest\ index\ (\%) \frac{Dry\ mass\ of\ harvest\ component}{Total\ biomass\ at\ harvest} x\ 100$$

Ten plants per plot were dried, ground and passed through a 1 mm sieve, then analysed for % N content using the Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 2000).

## Data analysis

Prior to analyses, OTU heights were normalized to the lowest height total before analysis, by dividing each value within the sample by the total height representing relative abundance of the DNA fragments, and multiplying each value with the lowest height total (so that the number of OTUs is underestimated rather than overestimated) (Slabbert et al 2010b). The OTU Shannon diversity was calculated according to the following formula:

$$-\sum_{i}p_{i}ln\,p_{i}$$

where p is the proportion of biomass in species i, and ln is the natural logarithm. The Shannon index is an acceptable diversity measure for OTU data (Hill et al 2003).

Linear mixed regression models were used to assess differences in soil mineral N, canola biomass, leaf area index, yield, harvest index, bacterial community diversity, and bacterial N fixation capacity. The amount and distribution of N and their interaction were set as fixed effects, while replicate nested in farm was set as a random effect. Farm was included as a random effect to explore whether there was any independent effect of the N fertilizer treatments when site was accounted for. Site itself was not a factor of interest, as sites were selected for logistical reasons and not due to any particular characteristics nor prior knowledge of typical canola yields or microbial communities. The negative control was included by structuring the model to test for the difference between the control and all treated plots, and to test for differences between treatments nested within all treated plots. A log transformation was used for the response variables of soil mineral N, canola biomass, and LAI so that the data fit the assumptions of linear regression of normality and homoscedastic variance in the model residuals.

To assess bacterial community composition, NMDS ordination based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity measure was used for both OTUs and carbon sources utilized. PERMANOVA was

used to test for significant differences in composition between different amounts and distributions of N with farm as a grouping variable, to detect effects of these variables within potentially different bacterial communities on different farms. It is currently not possible with available software to nest grouping variables in PERMANOVA, otherwise replicate could have been used as a grouping variable too. Data analysis was undertaken in R, version 3.4.3 (R Core Team, 2017).

#### **Results**

### Soil mineral nitrogen and canola production

As the season progressed, soil mineral N tended to become higher in plots that received greater amounts of N applied in a more even distribution (Table 4, Figure 1). Applying 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N across three applications resulted in more available N later in the season than applying 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 30 DAE in a single dose (Figure 1).

Canola biomass and LAI responded slightly to the amount of N applied and its distribution (Table 4), but were generally not different between treatments (Figure 1). When N fertilizer was distributed in three applications compared to one or two applications, biomass and LAI tended to be lower at 60 and 90 DAE for 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments, but higher in the 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments at 60 and 90 DAE. It is possible that treatment 60(3) did not receive sufficient N for full growth prior to 90 DAE, whereas a more even distribution of fertilizer was advantageous where more N was applied in treatment 150(3). However, these small differences in plant growth did not result in any significant differences in either canola harvest index or plant tissue N content at physiological maturity between the treatments, and only the control differed (P <0.05) from the other treatments (Table 5, Figure 2). In terms of random effects, variability between replicates tended to be very low, while variability within plots of the same treatment at the same site (the residual error term) was often similar or larger than the variability between farms (Tables 3 and 4), indicating relatively high within-site and within-replicate variability that could not be explained by the treatments.

The lack of substantial differences in canola growth and yield indicates that uptake of N by canola does not explain the reduced soil mineral N observed later in the season in treatments where all N fertilizer was applied early (Figure 1). It can therefore be assumed that the N is lost from the system (perhaps to leaching, bacterial immobilisation or weeds) and not incorporated into crop plant matter.

## Bacterial community composition, diversity and function

No significant differences were observed in OTU richness, Shannon diversity or the number of *nifH* gene copies between treatments, and again variability within treatments and replicates (the residual) was higher than variability between replicates and farms (Table 6). However, the non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS) ordination (Figure 3) and PERMANOVA of the OTUs found in each plot indicate that N amount does have a significant impact on bacterial community composition (Table 7). In the ordination, plots that received 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N are shifted higher along the first axis of the NMS compared to control plots or plots receiving 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N at the same farm (Figure 4). This suggests that increasing N levels favours a different group of bacteria to those found under low N levels.

The NMS ordination and PERMANOVA for carbon source utilization suggest that the amount of N fertilizer applied may alter microbial function (Table 7, Figure 4). Plots that received more N tend to be shifted higher along axes 1 and 2 of the NMS, which is associated with higher use of carbohydrates, phosphorylated compounds and carboxylic acids and lower use of amino acids, amines, esters and polymers. Amines and amino acids always contain N, while some esters and polymers do, so it is possible that lower mineral N availability favours bacteria that can break down N-containing carbon sources and thus extract N as well as C from those sources, to meet their N needs. In particular, Figure 4(a) shows a greater association of plots receiving 150 kg N

ha<sup>-1</sup> with non-N-containing carbon sources, and Figure 4(b) suggests a higher affinity for polymers of control plots and plots receiving 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Discussion

# Optimising fertilization strategy for canola growth

This study provided no evidence that increasing N fertilizer from 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> has any benefits for canola production in the winter rainfall region of South Africa's Western Cape. There were no detectable differences in yield or harvest index, and only minor differences in plant biomass and LAI (Figures 1 and 2). This finding concurs with other recent and ongoing trials in the region, and may be a result of a regional switch to conservation agriculture practices over the last twenty years. Conservation agriculture practices including reduced tillage, maintenance of crop residues, and crop rotation can increase soil organic matter and thus increase rates of N mineralization (Plaza et al., 2013; McDaniel et al., 2014), so it is possible these practices may have reduced canola N requirements over time. Current fertilizer guidelines for canola in the Western Cape were based on conventional tillage systems. These were determined the from target yield potential of canola for specific regions (a result of in-season rainfall), taking soil texture and crop rotation into consideration (Fertasa, 2016). However, preliminary work to re-evaluate fertilizer guidelines for conservation agriculture systems indicates that total seasonal N rates above 50 to 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> would not be recommended due to the risk of leaching and low N use efficiencies of canola (du Toit, 2018).

Splitting the fertilizer into two or three applications throughout the season was advantageous compared to applying all fertilizer by 30 DAE, in terms of reducing N loss to the environment. It did not result in yield differences in our study, but other studies have observed a higher canola yield quality (increased oil percentage) when N availability is higher later in the season (du Toit, 2018; Swanepoel et al., 2019). The reduced levels of N observed later in the season under a single application was not compensated for by an increase in crop growth in these

treatments, indicating that the N has moved elsewhere. Some N may have been immobilized by microbial activity, but leaching is also likely as N in the form of nitrate is readily soluble in water and thus carried away through rainwater infiltration or surface water run-off. This can have detrimental consequences in particular for surrounding aquatic ecosystems, with fertilizer run-off a major contributor to eutrophication and algal blooms in both freshwater and marine environments (Seitzinger and Phillips, 2017). Excess N fertilizer can also cause problems for crop production by promoting weeds. Weeds increase as nutrient availability increases (MacLaren et al., 2019), and so a high dose of N applied early in the season when crops are too small to capture it can be expected to promote the establishment of weeds that will become competitive with crops later in the season as resource availability diminishes.

## Effects of N fertilizer on soil bacterial community composition and function

The results of this study suggest that applying more N fertilizer alters the soil-bacteria community composition, which can affect soil carbon and N cycling (Buchkowski et al. 2015). Increased N appeared to shift the community toward a group of bacteria that are less reliant on N-containing carbon sources (amines and amino acids; Figure 4). Fierer et al., (2012) also observed that the bacterial communities become less reliant on organic forms of N as N fertilization increased, suggesting a shift from oligotrophic to copiotrophic communities. Bacteria typically require a 25:1 carbon:nitrogen ratio, and so where sufficient mineral N is available to meet this need, bacteria can decompose carbon-rich organic molecules more readily. In contrast, if mineral N availability is low, then bacteria are limited in the amount of organic matter they can decompose by the need to acquire organic N. Such conditions also promote N release through mineralization (Mengel, 1996).

Abundant N, therefore, could increase the capacity of the bacterial community to metabolize soil carbon and release it into the atmosphere. This outcome would not be beneficial

to either farmers (loss of soil organic matter) or the environment (carbon emissions) (Hasselquist et al., 2012). It is not yet clear whether N fertilizer universally increases carbon emissions, as other studies have observed that the addition of N in some instances negatively affects soil respiration, leading to an overall increase in carbon sequestration (Janssens et al., 2010). N fertilizer can reduce both microbial biomass as well as activity, particularly in bacteria (Demoling et al., 2008), and mainly in the presence of recalcitrant organic matter, while positive effects are observed when N is added to easily degradable organic material (Fog, 1988). This study measured neither *in situ* bacterial biomass nor activity and so further research will be necessary to determine if high N rates do release carbon from South African soils.

In cases where N fertilizers do reduce carbon emissions by suppressing bacterial activity, then a negative effect on other functions can also be expected. This includes N mineralization, an important pathway by which N is released from soil organic matter and made available to plants. High N levels tend to reduce mineralization in general, whether or not they suppress bacterial activity, as microbes tend to take up more mineral N when it is in high quantities, thus delaying the mineralization of N from organic sources (Fog, 1988; Zhou et al., 2012).

Overall, a bacterial community that is more adapted to decomposing N-containing carbon sources in the presence of low mineral N would therefore be expected to release more N through mineralization per unit of carbon respired. This quality could promote sustainability through maximising nitrogen availability to crops via mineralization, while minimising carbon lost from soil to the atmosphere. Our study suggests that such communities can be promoted by restricting the amount of N fertilizer. If the amount of N supplied to crops through mineralization rather than fertilization can be increased, this could also reduce the large carbon footprint associated with synthetic N fertilizers (Lal, 2004).

Despite the observed shift in carbon source utilization in this study, there was no effect of fertilizer amount or distribution on either N fixation potential (number of nifH gene copies) or

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

bacterial community diversity. This contrasts with other studies, which have shown that N fertilization can reduce the abundance of rhizobia (Ledgard, 2001) and free-living diazotrophs (Orr et al., 2011; Compton et al., 2004). N fertilization has also been observed to overall community diversity (Ramirez et al., 2010, Coolon et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015) and activity (Kennedy et al., 2004, Demoling et al., 2008). This negative effect of N on microbial activity is mainly found in the presence of recalcitrant organic matter, while a positive effect is observed when N is added to easily degradable organic material (Fog, 1988). That N fertilizer tends to raise soil pH is at least partially responsible for such trends (Kennedy et al., 2004; Lauber et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015). Such effects were not observed in this study, possibly as a result of the history of the trial sites. Perhaps local diversity and N-fixing bacteria were already depleted after decades of intensive cropping. Bacterial diversity and community composition may also depend on other soil qualities (Williams et al., 2013) and it is not known whether these were limiting at any or all of the sites in the present study. The effect of adding N to a microbial system remains difficult to model and explain (Hasselquist et al., 2012; Janssens et al., 2010), with different studies often producing apparently contradictory results (e.g. Williams et al., 2013; van der Bom et al., 2018). This emphasizes the importance of continuing studies to elucidate the complex relationships between farm management, microbial communities, and carbon and nutrient cycling. However, increased awareness of the effect of inorganic N on microbial biomass and activity will inform better management practices.

411

412

413

414

415

416

#### Conclusion

This study suggests that applying less N fertilizer more often over a cropping season is optimal for both crop production and environmental protection, and may help to sustain the capacity of the soil bacterial community to contribute to both. Applying 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N fertilizer compared to 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in this study did not increase canola yield, and splitting the fertilizer into three

applications throughout the season reduced N losses, which may have been due to leaching and/or bacterial immobilisation. This increased the soil mineral N available later in the season, where it may contribute to higher yield quality. Furthermore, applying a large amount of N fertilizer appeared to shift the community toward taxonomic groups that are more prone to immobilize soil N and release soil carbon.

Applying a high level of N fertilizer is thus a 'lose-lose' situation for farmers, their soil ecosystems, and the environment. Fortunately, farmers in the Western Cape tend to apply 70-90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and some do split it over two or three applications in the season. This study confirms the wisdom of such practices: canola does not use additional N, and excess N may affect the functioning of agricultural soil and threaten natural ecosystems. Ongoing trials in the region will assess the response of canola to a greater range of fertilization strategies (du Toit, 2018) to allow the optimal amount and distribution of N fertilizer for canola to be refined.

#### Acknowledgements

This study formed part of the National Research Foundation of South Africa's Research Career Advancement Fellowship of M le Roux (RCA13100150915). The field experiment was a component of a larger trial that was funded by the Protein Research Foundation. The principal investigator of the larger field trial, Prof GA Agenbag, is acknowledged for allowing us to work within the trial that was funded by the Protein Research Foundation. The Western Cape Department of Agriculture is thanked for availing Langgewens Research Farm, Overberg Agri for Roodebloem and Mr V Laubscher for Altona. The technical support team under leadership of Mr M la Grange is thanked for the assistance with fieldwork. Mr J Habig (Agritechnovation) is thanked for the analyses of the C-source utilisation.

# 442 References AOAC. 2000. Official method of analysis 988.05 (17th Edition) Volume I. Association of Official 443 Analytical Chemists, Inc., Maryland, USA. 444 445 Bender, S.F., C. Wagg, and M.G.A. van der Heijden. 2016. An Underground Revolution: Biodiversity and Soil Ecological Engineering for Agricultural Sustainability. Trends Ecol. 446 Evol. 31:440-452. 447 448 Bissett, A., Richardson, A.E., Baker, G. and Thrall, P.H. 2011. Long-term land use effects on soil microbial community structure and function. Appl. Soil Ecol. 51:66-78. 449 450 BFAP. 2018. The Bureau for Food and Agricultural Policy Baseline Agricultural Outlook 2018 – 451 2027. BFAP, Pretoria, South Africa. Boulygina, E.S., B.B. Kuznetsov, A.I. Marusina, T.P. Tourova, I.K. Kravchenko, S.A. Bykova, 452 453 T.V. Kolganova, and V.F. Galchenko. 2002. A study of nucleotide sequences of nifH genes 454 of some methanotrophic bacteria. Microbiol. 71:425–432. Brink, C.J., Postma, A., Slabbert, E., Postma, F., Muasya, A.M. and Jacobs, K. 2019. Bacterial 455 456 Communities Associated with Natural and Commercially Grown Rooibos (Aspalathus linearis). Pedosphere (In Press). 457 Brown, M.V., M.S. Schwalbach, I. Hewson, and J.A. Fuhrman. 2005. Coupling 16S-ITS rDNA 458 clone libraries and automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis to show marine microbial 459 diversity: development and application to a time series. Environ. Microbiol. 7:1466–1479. 460 461 Brussaard, L., P.C. de Ruiter, and G.G. Brown. 2007. Soil biodiversity for agricultural sustainability. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 121:233-244. 462 Buchkowski, R.W., O.J. Schmitz, and M.A. Bradford. 2015. Microbial stoichiometry overrides 463 464 biomass as a regulator of soil carbon and nitrogen cycling. Ecol. 96:1139-1149. Cardinale M, Brusetti L, Quatrini P, Borin S, Puglia AM, Rizzi A, Zanardini E, Sorlini C, Corselli 465 466 C, Daffonchio D. 2004. Comparison of different primer sets for use in automated ribosomal

467 intergenic spacer analysis of complex bacterial communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 70, 6147-6156. 468 Cataldo, D., H. Haroon, L. Schrader, and V. Young. 1975. Rapid colorometric determination of 469 470 nitrate in plant tissue by nitrate of salicylic acid. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 71–80. 471 Compton, J.E, L.S. Watrud, L.A. Porteous, and S.D. eGrood. 2004. Response of soil microbial 472 biomass and community composition to chronic nitrogen additions at Harvard forest. For. 473 Ecol. Manage. 196:143-158. Coolon, J.D., K.L. Jones, T.C. Todd, J.M. Blair, and M.A. Herman. 2013. Long-term nitrogen 474 475 amendment alters the diversity and assemblage of soil bacterial communities in tallgrass prairie. PLoS ONE 8(6):e67884. 476 de Clercq, W., N. Jovanovic, R. Bugan, E. Mashimbye, T. du Toit, A. van Niekerk, F. Ellis, N. 477 478 Wasserfall, P. Botha, T. Steudels, J. Helmschrot, and W-A. Flugel. 2013. Management of 479 human-induced salinisation in the Berg River catchment and development of criteria for 480 regulating agricultural land use in terms of salt generating capacity. Water Research 481 Commission Report No. 1849/1/13. De Meyer, S.E., K. Van Hoorde, B. Vekeman, T. Braeckman, and A. Willems. 2011. Genetic 482 diversity of rhizobia associated with indigenous legumes in different regions of Flanders 483 (Belgium). Soil Biol. Biochem. 43:2384-2396. 484 Demoling, F., L.O. Nilsson, and E. Bååth. 2008. Bacterial and fungal response to nitrogen 485 486 fertilization in three coniferous forest soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40:370-379. 487 du Toit, E. 2018 Developing nitrogen fertiliser management strategies for canola (Brassica napus L.) under conservation agriculture practices in the Western Cape. Dissertation, Stellenbosch 488 489 University, South Africa. Fertasa (Fertilizer Association of Southern Africa). 2016. Fertilizer Handbook. 8th Revised 490 Edition, Pretoria, South Africa. 491

- 492 Fierer, N., C.L. Lauber, K.S. Ramirez, J. Zaneveld, M.A. Bradford, and R. Knight. 2012 493 Comparative metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen gradients. ISME J. 6:1007–1017. 494 495 Fog, K., 1988. The effect of added nitrogen on the rate of decomposition of organic matter. Biol. 496 Rev. 63(3):433-462. 497 Gordon, B.R., C.R. Klinger, D.J. Weese, J.A. Lau, P.V. Burke, B.T. Dentinger, and K.D. Heath. 2016. Decoupled genomic elements and the evolution of partner quality in nitrogen-fixing 498 499 rhizobia. Ecol. Evol. 6:1317-1327. 500 Hartman, K, M.G. van der Heijden, R.A. Wittwer, S. Banerjee, J.C. Walser, and K. Schlaeppi. 2018. Cropping practices manipulate abundance patterns of root and soil microbiome 501 502 members paving the way to smart farming. Microbiome 6:14. DOI 10.1186/s40168-017-503 0389-9 Hartmann, M., B. Frey, J. Mayer, P. Mäder, and F. Widmer. 2015. Distinct soil microbial diversity 504 505 under long-term organic and conventional farming. ISME J 9:1177 506 Hasselquist, N.J., D.B. Metcalfe, and P. Högberg. 2012. Contrasting effects of low and high nitrogen additions on soil CO 2 flux components and ectomycorrhizal fungal sporocarp 507 production in a boreal forest. Global Change Biol. 18(12):3596-3605. 508 Hill, T., K. Walsh, J. Harris and B. Moffett. 2003. Using ecological diversity measures with 509 bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 43:1-11. 510 511 Jackson, R. B., K. Lajtha, S.E. Crow, G. Hugelius, M.G. Kramer and G. Piñeiro. 2017. The Ecology of Soil Carbon: Pools, Vulnerabilities, and Biotic and Abiotic Controls. Annual 512 Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 48(1):419–445. 513 514 Janssens, I. A., W. Dieleman, S. Luyssaert, J. A. Subke, M. Reichstein, R. Ceulemans, P. Ciais,
- A. J. Dolman, J. Grace, G. Matteucci, and D. Papale. 2010. Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. Nature Geosci. 3(5):315.

517 Keeney, D.R, RH Miller, AL Page (1982) Chemical and microbiological properties. In: Page AL, 518 Keeney DR, Miller RH (eds) Methods of soil analysis. Part 2: Chemical and microbiological properties, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn, Agronomy Series no. 9. Madison, American Society of Agronomy 519 520 Kennedy, N., E. Brodie, J. Connolly, and N. Clipson. 2004. Impact of lime, nitrogen and plant species on bacterial community structure in grassland microcosms. Environ. Microbiol. 521 6:1070-1080. 522 523 Kuypers, M.M.M., H.K. Marchant, and B. Kartal. 2018. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. 524 Nature Rev. Microbiol. 16(5):263–276. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9 525 Lal, R. 2004. Carbon emission from farm operations. Environ. Int. 30:981–990. Lauber, C.L., M. Hamady, R. Knight, and N. Fierer. 2009. Pyrosequencing-Based Assessment of 526 527 Soil pH as a Predictor of Soil Bacterial Community Structure at the Continental Scale. Appl. 528 Environ. Microbiol. 75:5111–5120. Ledgard, S.F. 2001. Nitrogen cycling in low input legume-based agriculture, with emphasis on 529 530 legume/grass pastures. Plant Soil 228:43–59. Liu, M., E. Klemens, B. Zhang, S.I.J. Holzhauer, Z. Li, T. Zhang, and S. Rauch. 2011. Effect of 531 Intensive Inorganic Fertilizer Application on Microbial Properties in a Paddy Soil of 532 Subtropical China. Agric. Sci. China 10:1758–1764 533 Ma, B., and A. Herath. 2015. Timing and rates of nitrogen fertilizer application on seed yield, 534 quality and nitrogen use efficiency of Canola. Crop Pasture Sci. 67:167–180. 535 536 MacLaren C., J. Storkey., J. Strauss., P. Swanepoel., K. Dehnen-Schmutz. 2019. Livestock in 537 diverse cropping systems improve weed management and sustain yields whilst reducing inputs. J. Appl. Ecol. 56:144-156. 538 539 McDaniel, M.D., L.K. Tiemann., and A.S. Grandy. 2014. Does agricultural crop diversity enhance soil microbial biomass and organic matter dynamics? A meta-analysis. Ecol. Appl. 24:560– 540 570. 541

542 Mengel, K. 1996. Turnover of organic nitrogen in soils and its availability to crops. Plant Soil 181:83-93. 543 Non-Affiliated Soil Analysis Work Committee. 1990. Handbook of Standard Soil Testing 544 545 Methods for Advisory Purposes. Soil Science Society of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa. 546 547 Orr, C.H., A, James., C. Leifert, J.M. Cooper, and S.P. Cummings. 2011. Diversity and activity of 548 free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria and total bacteria in organic and conventionally managed 549 soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:911–919. 550 Plaza, C., D. Courtier-Murias, J.M. Fernández, A. Polo, and A.J. Simpson. 2013. Physical, chemical, and biochemical mechanisms of soil organic matter stabilization under 551 conservation tillage systems: a central role for microbes and microbial by-products in C 552 553 sequestration. Soil Biol. Biochem. 57:124-134. R Core Team. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for 554 555 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/. 556 Ramirez, K.S., C.L. Lauber, R. Knight, M.A. Bradford, and N. Fierer. 2010. Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in contrasting systems. Ecol. 91:3414-557 558 3463. Ranjard, L., F. Poly, J.C. Lata, C. Mougel, J. Thioulouse, and S. Nazaret. 2001. Characterization 559 of bacterial and fungal soil communities by automated ribosomal Intergenic spacer analysis 560 561 fingerprints: Biological and methodological variability. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:4479– 562 4487. Rockström, J., J. Williams, G. Daily, A. Noble, N. Matthews, L. Gordon, and C. de Fraiture. 2017. 563 564 Sustainable intensification of agriculture for human prosperity and global sustainability. Ambio 46:4-17 565

- 566 Seitzinger, S.P., and L. Phillips. 2017. Nitrogen stewardship in the Anthropocene. Sci. 357:350-351. 567 Shibata, H., J.N. Galloway, A.M. Leach, L.R. Cattaneo, L.C. Noll, J.W. Erisman, B. Gu, X. Liang, 568 569 K. Hayashi, L. Ma, and T. Dalgaard. 2017. Nitrogen footprints: Regional realities and 570 options to reduce nitrogen loss to the environment. Ambio 46:129-142. 571 Slabbert, E., R.Y. Kongor, K.J. Esler, and K. Jacobs. 2010a. Microbial diversity and community 572 structure in Fynbos soil. Molecular Ecol. 19:1031–1041. 573 Slabbert E, Van Heerden CJ and K. Jacobs. 2010. Optimisation of automated ribosomal intergenic 574 spacer analysis for the estimation of microbial diversity in fynbos soil. S Afr J Sci. 106:1-4. Swanepoel P.A., P.J.G. le Roux, G.A. Agenbag, J.A. Strauss, and C. MacLaren. 2019. Seed-drill 575 opener type and crop residue load affect canola establishment, b.ut only residue load affects 576 577 yield. Agron. J. 111:1-8 doi: 10.2134/agronj2018.10.0695 Tilman, D., K.G. Cassman, P.A. Matson, R. Naylor, and S. Polasky. 2002 Agricultural 578 579 sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature 418(6898):671. 580 Van Der Bom, F., I. Nunes, N.S. Raymond, V. Hansen, L. Bonnichsen, J. Magid, O. Nybroe, and L.S. Jensen. 2018. Long-term fertilisation form, level and duration affect the diversity, 581 structure and functioning of soil microbial communities in the field. Soil Biol. Biochem. 582
- increased soil microbial activity, nitrogen-sourcing and crop nitrogen, but not soil microbial diversity. S. A. J. Plant Soil 34:371-378.
   Viña A., A.A. Gitelson, A.L. Nguy-Robertson, and Y. Peng. 2011. Remote Sensing of

Venter, Z.S., S.L. Scott, J. Strauss, K. Jacobs, H-J. Hawkins. 2017. Increasing crop diversity

122:91-103.

583

584

Environment Comparison of different vegetation indices for the remote assessment of green leaf area index of crops. Remote Sens. Environ. 115:3468–3478.

Wang J., J. Bao, J. Su, X. Li, G. Chen, and X. Ma. 2015. Impact of inorganic nitrogen additions 590 on microbes in biological soil crusts. Soil Biol. Biochem. 88:303-313. 591 Williams A., G. Börjesson, and K. Hedlund. 2013. The effects of 55 years of different inorganic 592 593 fertiliser regimes on soil properties and microbial community composition. Soil Biol. 594 Biochem. 67:41-46. 595 Zhao X., Y. Zhou, J. Min, S. Wang, W. Shi and G. Xing. 2012. Nitrogen runoff dominates water 596 nitrogen pollution from rice-wheat rotation in the Taihu Lake region of China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 156:1-11. 597

**FIGURES** 

**Figure 1:** Soil mineral nitrogen (N) and canola biomass and leaf area index (LAI) in each treatment at 60, 90 and 120 days after emergence (DAE). LAI was not measured at 120 DAE. Labels along the x-axis refer to the different treatments: 'Cont' = Control, and other labels indicate the total N rate in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (60 or 150) and the number of applications in which this was applied (1, 2 or 3). Soil samples were taken prior to fertilization. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. Lowercase letters above the bars indicate pairwise differences significant at P=0.05, based on contrasts estimated from the ANOVAs in Table 4. Treatments that differ do not share the same letter. Shading denotes the control treatment (pale), the 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments (medium) and the 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments (dark). Note the log scales on the y-axes.

**Figure 2:** Canola yield in response to N fertilizer rates and timing. Labels along the x-axis refer to the different treatments: 'Cont' = Control, and other labels indicate the total N rate in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (60 or 150) and the number of applications in which this was applied (1, 2 or 3). Lowercase letters indicate pairwise differences significant at P=0.05, based on contrasts estimated from the ANOVAs in Table 5. Treatments that differ do not share the same letter. Shading denotes the control treatment (pale), the 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments (medium) and the 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments (dark).

**Figure 3:** The NMS ordination of the OTUs of bacterial DNA extracted from soil in each treatment at the end of the season. The amount of N applied to each plot is denoted by shading, while the number of N applications is not indicated as the community did not differ in relation to application number.

**Figure 4:** Axes 1 and 2 (a) and 1 and 3 (b) of the NMS ordination of carbon source utilization by bacterial communities from soil in each treatment at the end of the season. The amount of N applied to each plot is denoted by shading (see legend), while the number of N applications nor farm are indicated as these did not affect carbon utilization. The blue letters indicate the mean centroid of each N amount treatment (H = high; 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, L = low; 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and N = none; control), and the blue circle indicates the standard error of that mean. The boxed labels refer to the carbon sources most used by sites in that part of the ordination. Labels further away from the centre of the plot indicate a greater change in the use of that carbon source in that direction.

a. acids = amino acids; c. acids = carboxylic acids; carbs = carbohydrates; phos.cpds = phosphorylated compounds

**Table 1:** Soil chemical and physical characteristics of the research sites, Langgewens Research Farm, Altona and Roodebloem. Samples were taken prior to onset of the trial and were taken to a depth of 150 mm.

	Langgewens	Altona	Roodebloem
pH(KCl)	5.8	6.3	5.8
Calcium (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )§	944	1572	1250
Magnesium (mg kg-1)§	160	191	204
Potassium (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )§	230	209	463
Phosphorus (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )§	111	73	116
Sulphur (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) ¶	32	25	8.8
Mineral Nitrogen (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )*	880	3040	2080
Organic Carbon (%)	0.94	1.11	1.58
Textural class	Sandy loam	Sandy loam	Sandy loam
Sand (%)	63	57	71
Slit (%)	30	18	14
Clay (%)	7	25	15

<sup>§</sup>Citric acid extraction (Non-affiliated Soil Analysis Work Committee, 1990)

637

638

633

634

Calcium phosphate extraction (Non-affiliated Soil Analysis Work Committee, 1990)

<sup>\*</sup>Indophenol-blue and salicylic acid methods (Cataldo et al., 1975; Keeney et al., 1982)

**Table 2:** Nitrogen fertilization rates and distribution for canola production at planting, 30 days after emergence (DAE), 60 DAE and 90 DAE. Treatment 0 is the control, which received no nitrogen (N) fertilization throughout the season.

Treatment		Amount of N applied at each time point (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
Rate (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )*	Number of applications**	At planting	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE			
0	0	0	0	0	0			
60	1	20	40	0	0			
60	2	20	20	20	0			
60	3	20	13.3	13.3	13.3			
150	1	20	130	0	0			
150	2	20	65	65	0			
150	3	20	43.3	43.3	43.3			

<sup>\*</sup> Refers to the total amount applied over the whole season

**Table 3:** Summary of variables measured in this trial and the time point(s) at which they were measured. DAE = Days after emergence

Response variables	60 DAE	90 DAE	Physiological maturity/harvest (approximately 150 DAE)
Soil N and	Soil mineral N	Soil mineral N	Soil mineral N
canola growth	Biomass	Biomass	Biomass production
measurements	LAI	LAI	Yield
			Harvest index
			Nitrogen (crude protein)
Bacterial			OTUs (ARISA)
community			Carbon utilization
measurements			nifH gene copies

<sup>\*\*</sup>Refers to the number of applications after planting, as all treatments (except the control) received 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N at planting, following common practice in the region.

**Table 4:** ANOVA F-statistics and P-values for the fixed effects in the mixed models of soil mineral N and canola leaf area index and biomass, and standard deviations for each random effect and the residual. Significant P-values <0.05 for fixed effects are emphasized in bold. Where cells are blank, data was not collected for that response at that time point. DAE=days after emergence.

Time point	Effect type	Log soil	min	eral N	Log leaf	Log leaf area index			biom	ass	
	Fixed effects	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	
	Control vs treated	1.888	66	0.174	4.518	65	0.037	4.064	65	0.048	
60 DAE	N amount	0.488	66	0.487	10.344	65	0.002	11.721	65	0.001	
	N distribution	2.561	66	0.085	1.654	65	0.199	1.89	65	0.159	
	Interaction*	0.847	66	0.433	4.964	65	0.01	6.34	65	0.003	
	Random effects	Standard d	eviat	ion	Standard d	eviati	on	Standard d	eviati	on	
	Replicate	0.078			0.000			0.000			
	Farm	0.285			0.456			0.706			
	Residual	0.356			0.268			0.442			
	Fixed effects	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	
	Control vs treated	26.101	66	<0.001	7.391	65	0.009	3.954	65	0.051	
90 DAE	N amount	3.732	66	0.058	5.772	65	0.019	10.213	65	0.002	
	N distribution	6.884	66	0.002	1.626	65	0.205	1.481	65	0.235	
	Interaction*	0.535	66	0.588	1.253	65	0.293	3.204	65	0.047	
	Random effects	Standard d	eviat	ion	Standard d	Standard deviation			Standard deviation		
	Replicate	0.046			0.104			0.000			
	Farm	0.412			0.249			0.202			
	Residual	0.359			0.400			0.551			
	Fixed effects	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	
Physio-	Control vs treated	37.97	66	<0.001				2.425	65	0.124	
logical	N amount	8.541	66	0.005				1.885	65	0.175	
maturity	N distribution	14.867	66	< 0.001				0.338	65	0.714	
	Interaction*	4.713	66	0.012				0.977	65	0.382	
	Random effects	Standard deviation			Standard deviation			Standard deviation			
	Replicate	0.155						0.059			
	Farm	0.469						0.262			
	Residual	0.334						0.366			

**Table 5:** ANOVA F-statistics and P-values for the fixed effects in the mixed models of canola yield, harvest index and tissue N content, and standard deviations for each random effect and the residual. Significant P-values (< 0.05) for fixed effects are emphasized in bold. Where cells are blank, data was not collected for that response at that time point. DAE=days after emergence.

	Yield			Harv	Harvest index			Tissue N content		
Fixed effects	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	
Control vs treated	20.575	65	<0.001	0.017	65	0.896	1.251	64	0.267	
27	1.058	65	0.308	1.634	65	0.206	1.537	64	0.22	
N amount	0.013	65	0.987	0.557	65	0.576	0.243	64	0.785	
N distribution	0.013	03	0.987	0.557	03	0.570	0.243	04	0.783	
	0.032	65	0.969	1.315	65	0.275	0.138	64	0.871	
Interaction*										
Random effects	Standard de	viation		Standard de	viation		Standard de	viatio	n	
Replicate	0.000			0.000			0.064			
Farm	0.481			0.094			0.187			
Residual	0.956			0.085			0.247			

<sup>\*</sup>The N amount x N distribution interaction.

**Table 6:** ANOVA F-statistics and P-values for the amount and distribution of nitrogen (N) in the mixed models of bacterial richness, Shannon diversity and copies of the nifH gene in the soil when the canola reached physiological maturity. Significant P-values <0.05 are emphasized in bold.

	OTU Shannon diversity			OTU richness			nifH		
<b>Fixed effects</b>	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value	F statistic	df	P value
Control vs									
treated	1.598	58	0.211	1.513	58	0.224	0.002	75	0.969
N amount	0.162	58	0.689	0.224	58	0.638	0.714	75	0.401
N distribution	1.459	58	0.241	2.579	58	0.084	2.324	75	0.106
Interaction*	1.748	58	0.183	3.22	58	0.047	1.513	75	0.228
Random									
effects	Standard d	eviati	ion	Standard deviation			Standard deviation		
Replicate	0.000			0.00			0.0		
Farm	0.796			12.35			629.4		
Residual	1.091			14.98			1059.0		

<sup>\*</sup>The N amount x N distribution interaction.

**Table 7:** PERMANOVA F-statistics and P-values for the dissimilarity matrices of bacterial DNA and carbon source functional composition. The PERMANOVAs were calculated on the same Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices used for the NMDS ordinations (Figures 4 and 5). Significant P-values <0.05 are emphasized in bold.

	<b>Bacterial DNA</b>		Community function			
	PERMANOVA F	P Value	PERMANOV A F	P Value		
Control vs treated	1.167	0.079	1.8	0.124		
N amount	1.552	0.007	2.564	0.051		
N distribution	0.875	0.316	1.248	0.272		
Interaction*	1.185	0.031	1.468	0.169		

<sup>\*</sup>The N amount x N distribution interaction.







Figure 1




1 Figure 2



2 Figure 3





3 Figure 4

2