

Rothamsted Research Harpenden, Herts, AL5 2JQ

Telephone: +44 (0)1582 763133 Web: http://www.rothamsted.ac.uk/

# **Rothamsted Repository Download**

A - Papers appearing in refereed journals

Wu, C. H., Adachi, H., De la Concepcion, J. C., Castells-Graells, R., Nekrasov, V. and Kamoun, S. 2019. NRC4 gene cluster is not essential for bacterial flagellin-triggered immunity. *Plant Physiology.* 

The publisher's version can be accessed at:

- https://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.00859
- <u>http://www.plantphysiol.org/content/early/2019/11/11/pp.19.00859</u>

The output can be accessed at: <u>https://repository.rothamsted.ac.uk/item/96yyx/nrc4-gene-cluster-is-not-essential-for-bacterial-flagellin-triggered-immunity</u>.

© 11 November 2019, Please contact library@rothamsted.ac.uk for copyright queries.

14/11/2019 10:33

repository.rothamsted.ac.uk

library@rothamsted.ac.uk

- 1 Short title: NRC2/3/4 are not essential for flg22 responses
- 2 3

NRC4 gene cluster is not essential for bacterial flagellin-triggered immunity<sup>1</sup>

Chih-Hang Wu<sup>a,2</sup>, Hiroaki Adachi<sup>a,2</sup>, Juan Carlos De la Concepcion<sup>b,2</sup>, Roger Castells-Graells<sup>b</sup>,
 Vladimir Nekrasov<sup>c,3</sup> and Sophien Kamoun<sup>a,3</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7UH, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry, John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7UH, United Kingdom
 <sup>c</sup>Plant Sciences Department, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, United Kingdom

9

One-Sentence Summary: CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation of NRC2/3/4 genes did not affect bacterial flagellin-triggered
 immunity.

12

13

14 Plants utilise cell surface pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and intracellular nucleotide-binding 15 domain leucine-rich repeat containing receptors (NLRs) to fend off invading pathogens (Dodds and 16 Rathjen, 2010; Win et al., 2012). Both types of immune receptors detect pathogen molecules 17 directly or indirectly to activate complex immune responses and disease resistance (Kourelis and van 18 der Hoorn, 2018). Although PRR- and NLR-triggered immunity are generally thought to activate 19 distinct pathways, they can induce similar outputs such as production of reactive oxygen species 20 (ROS) and hypersensitive cell death (Peng et al., 2018). Both PRR- and NLR-activated pathways 21 involve calcium-dependent protein kinases, mitogen-activate protein kinases (MAPKs), 22 phytohormone signalling, and transcriptional reprogramming (Peng et al., 2018). However, whether 23 these two pathways converge at some point to potentiate and strengthen the immune response 24 remains unclear. A recent study suggested that the tomato NLR helper NRC4 positively regulates the 25 ROS burst induced by the bacterial flagellin peptide flg22 (Leibman-Markus et al. 2018b). We took 26 advantage of the CRISPR/Cas9 system to knock out multiple NRC genes in tomato and Nicotiana 27 benthamiana. Although these mutants failed to respond to the NRC-dependent NLRs, they remained 28 unaltered in flg22-induced responses. We conclude that the NRC genes are not essential for flg22-29 induced responses in tomato and N. benthamiana.

30

31 Throughout evolution, a subset of NLR proteins have functionally diversified into sensors that detect 32 pathogen molecules and helpers (also known as executors) that operate genetically downstream of 33 sensor NLRs in mediating the hypersensitive response (HR) and disease resistance (Cesari, 2018; 34 Adachi et al., 2019). The emerging view is that although some singleton NLRs carry both activities, 35 sensor and helper NLRs form receptor complexes that range from pairs to networks (Wu et al., 2018; 36 Adachi et al., 2019). One example of an NLR network is formed by the NRCs (NLR-required for cell 37 death) in asterid plants (Gabriels et al. 2007; Wu et al., 2017). Over the last ~100 million years, the 38 NRC network has dramatically expanded from a pair of sensor and helper genes to form a complex 39 network of phylogenetically related sensor and helper NLRs. In N. benthamiana, the NLR helpers 40 NRC2, NRC3, and NRC4 are partially redundant but display varying degrees of specificity towards 41 sensor NLRs that confer resistance to oomycete, bacterial, and viral pathogens (Wu et al., 2017). 42 Interestingly, a recent study linked the tomato NRC SINRC4a to PRR-triggered immunity (Leibman-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was funded by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, and European Research Council. H.A. is funded by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. J.C.D.C. and R.C.-G. are funded by the John Innes Foundation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These authors contribute equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Author for contact: <u>vladimir.nekrasov@rothamsted.ac.uk</u>; <u>sophien.kamoun@tsl.ac.uk</u>

C.-H.W., H.A., J.C.D.C., R.C.-G., and V.N. performed experiments. All authors designed the research and analysed data. C.-H.W., V.N. and S.K. wrote the letter with contributions from all the authors.

43 Markus et al., 2018b). Leibman-Markus et al. (2018) reported that overexpression of *SINRC4a* in *N.* 44 *benthamiana* enhances ROS production elicited by the bacterial flagellin peptide flg22 and the 45 fungal protein ethylene-inducing xylanase (EIX). Furthermore, SINRC4a associates with the PRRs 46 AtFLS2 and LeEIX in co-immunoprecipitation experiments. These results led Leibman-Markus et al. 47 (2018a and 2018b) to conclude that SINRC4a is a positive regulator of the immune response 48 mediated by PRRs, notably the extensively studied FLS2 receptor.

49

50 Whereas Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of SINRC4a (Solyc04g007070, hereafter 51 referred to as NRC4a) in N. benthamiana can enhance flg22-induced ROS burst (Leibman-Markus et 52 al., 2018b), it remains unclear whether knocking out NRC4a affects flg22-induced responses in 53 tomato. NRC4q occurs in the tomato genome as a gene cluster together with two closely related 54 paralogous genes (SINRC4b, Solyc04g007060, NRC4b; SINRC4c, Solyc04g007030, NRC4c) 55 (Supplemental Fig. S1A). This gene cluster also contains another gene Solyc04g007050, that we 56 named SINRC5 (NRC5), which is phylogenetically related to the NRCs (Wu et al., 2017). In this study, 57 we decided to take advantage of the CRISPR/Cas9 system to generate loss-of-function mutants in 58 the clustered NRC genes. We reasoned that the contribution of NRC4 paralogs in FLS2-mediated 59 responses can be addressed by deleting the entire *NRC4/5* gene cluster.

60

61 To knockout the NRC4 gene cluster in tomato, we designed four guide RNAs based on the conserved 62 sequences in the NRC4 paralogs (Supplemental Fig. S1B). We transformed these guide RNAs 63 together with Cas9 and a kanamycin selection marker into tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) GCR758 64 (Balint-Kurti et al., 1995). We recovered 13 independent transformants that are kanamycin resistant. 65 To determine whether these transformants are mutated in the NRC4 gene cluster, we used gene 66 specific primers to amplify fragments of NRC4a, NRC4b, NRC4c, and NRC5 (Supplemental Fig. S1C, 67 Supplemental Table S1). These primers amplified fragments with expected sizes when genomic DNA 68 from wild-type plants was used as a template in the PCR reaction, but failed to amplify some of the 69 NRCs (such as NRC4c and NRC4a) with genomic DNA from the line T0-1 (Supplemental Fig. S1C). 70 Interestingly, we could not amplify any of the NRC4 and NRC5 fragments from the genomic DNA of 71 the line T0-7, suggesting that this line contained multiple deletions or a large deletion in the locus of 72 NRC4 gene cluster (Supplemental Fig. S1C). To further confirm the genotype of the TO-7 plant, we 73 designed four additional primers based on the sequences adjacent to NRC4c and NRC4a. Due to the 74 distance between the primers (over 50 kb based on the reference sequence), these primer pairs 75 LR1F x 7075F and 7020R x 7075F could not amplify any fragments when the genomic DNA from the 76 wild type plant was used as a template (Supplemental Fig. S2). However, we successfully amplified 77 fragments of 1.3 kb and 3.8 kb with the primer pairs LR1F x 7075F and 7020R x 7075F, respectively, 78 using DNA from T0-7 (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2). Thus, we sequenced the 1.3 kb fragment 79 amplified using the primer pair LR1F x 7075F by Sanger sequencing and confirmed that this plant 80 contains a 53 kb deletion in the NRC4 locus, connecting the open reading frame (ORF) of NRC4c to 81 the ORF of Solyc04g007075 (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S3). In addition to the 53 kb deletion, we also 82 found a 290 bp deletion in NRC4c (Fig. 1C). The remaining sequence resulted in a fusion of ORFs 83 from Solyc04g007075 and NRC4c with multiple frameshift mutations leading to premature stop 84 codons in NRC4c (Supplemental Fig. S3). We further obtained a homozygous T2 line (nrc4\_7.4) and 85 used this line for further experiments (Supplemental Fig. S4).

86



Figure 1. The T0-7 transformant carries a large (>53 kb) deletion spanning across the *NRC4* gene cluster. A. Schematic view of the tomato *NRC4* gene cluster in wild-type (WT) and mutant T0-7. Orange, *NRC4* paralogs; yellow, *NRC5*; blue, Solyc04g007075, which contains incomplete sequence information due to a sequencing gap in the reference genome. The deleted regions in the mutant T0-7 are marked in grey. B. PCR-genotyping for the large deletion. PP1, amplification with primer pair 1:LR1F x 7075F indicated in A; *EF1* $\alpha$  amplification control with *EF1* $\alpha$  primers. The uncropped image is provided in Supplemental Fig. S2B. C. Sequence alignment and chromatograms of Sanger DNA sequencing results. In this region, the mutant T0-7 contains a 290bp deletion based on reference genome and the results of sequencing. D. Sequence alignment and chromatograms of Sanger DNA sequencing results. In this region, the mutant T0-7 contains a 53kbp deletion based on the reference genome and the results of sequencing.

87 We previously reported that the sensor NLR Rpi-blb2, which confers resistance to potato late blight 88 pathogen Phytophthora infestans, depends on NRC4 when expressed in N. benthamiana. To test 89 whether Rpi-blb2 signals through NRC4 in tomato, we expressed Rpi-blb2/AVRblb2, Rpi-90 vnt1/AVRvnt1 (NRC-independent), or GFP in wild type and the NRC4 knockout tomato line using 91 agroinfiltration (see Supplemental methods). Rpi-blb2-mediated cell death was compromised in the 92 NRC4 knockout plants, whereas Rpi-vnt1 triggered strong cell death in both wild type and NRC4 93 knockout plants, consistent with the earlier finding from the N. benthamiana experimental system 94 (Supplemental Fig. S4).

95

96 Leibman-Markus et al. (2018) proposed that NRC4a participates in immunity mediated by FLS2 97 because overexpression of NRC4a in N. benthamiana enhances ROS production after flg22 98 treatment. Leibman-Markus et al. (2018) obtained a CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenized tomato line that 99 expresses a truncated variant of SINRC4a. However, the effect of this mutation on flg22-induced 100 responses was not reported. As NRC4a exists in a gene cluster with the highly homologous NRC4b 101 and NRC4c that are potentially functionally redundant, we reasoned that our NRC4/5 gene cluster 102 deletion would be ideal to test whether the NRC4 genes are required for FLS2-mediated responses. 103 To test the hypothesis, we monitored apoplastic ROS production in response to flg22 peptides. We 104 observed a transient flg22-induced ROS burst with the leaf discs from wild-type tomato plant 105 GCR758. However, we did not observe a notable difference in terms of flg22-induced ROS burst 106 between the wild type and the NRC4 deletion line nrc4 7.4 (Fig. 2A and B). As mitogen-activated



Figure 2. *NRC4* knockout tomato plants are not impaired in flg22-induced defence responses. A. Flg22-triggered ROS bursts were measured for 50 min using leaf discs of the WT and T2 line *nrc4\_*7.4. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD. B. Scatter plot and box plot of total photon counts of each treatment in A. Data C. Flg22-triggered MAPK activation was analysed by immunoblots with  $\alpha$ -pMAPK. Proteins were extracted from tomato leaf tissues of the WT and T2 line *nrc4\_*7.4, 0, 5 or 10 min after treatment with flg22.

protein kinase (MAPK) activation represents another typical output in FLS2-mediated responses, we tested whether MAPK phosphorylation was impaired in the NRC4 knockout plants. We detected increased phosphorylation of MAPKs in the wild-type plants by immunoblot analysis with p-42/44 antibody after flg22 treatment. We also detected increased phosphorylation of MAPKs in the NRC4 knockout mutant and, here too, we did not observe a significant difference between the wild type and the NRC4 deletion mutant (Fig. 2C). Our results indicate that the NRC4 genes are not essential for flg22-induced responses in tomato.

115 Previous studies have suggested that the NRC proteins are involved in immune responses mediated 116 by both intracellular NLR and cell surface PRR immune receptors (Gabriels et al., 2007; Wu et al., 117 2016; Brendolise et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Silencing of NRC2 and NRC3 by virus-induced gene 118 silencing (VIGS) and RNAi reduces Cf4- and Prf-mediated cell death in N. benthamiana, indicating 119 that NRC2 and NRC3 are involved in cell death responses activated in both pathways (Brendolise et 120 al., 2017; Wu et al., 2016). Furthermore, silencing of NRC2, NRC3, and NRC4 together, but not 121 individually, compromises cell death mediated by Rx, Bs2, and some other NLRs in *N. benthamiana*, 122 and this phenotype can be complemented by individual NRCs (Wu et al., 2017). Given that NRC2, 123 NRC3, and NRC4 display degrees of genetic redundancy in NLR- and PRR-mediated cell death in N. 124 benthamiana, we sought to test whether knocking out NRC2/3/4 affects flg22-induced responses. 125 We transformed N. benthamiana with 2-4 guide RNAs targeting NRC2. NRC3. or NRC4 together with 126 Cas9 and a phosphinothricin selection marker and obtained loss-of-function mutants (Supplemental 127 Fig. S5A and Fig S6A). We selected two independent T2 NRC4 knockout lines and two independent 128 T2 NRC2/3/4 knockout lines for further characterisation. Due to the complexity of the N. 129 benthamiana genome and duplications of each NRC gene, these selected lines may express variants 130 of NRC2, NRC3, or NRC4 proteins, ranging from 33 to 123 amino acid truncations to full-length NRCs 131 with a 3 amino acid indel in the coiled-coil domain (Supplemental Fig. S5A and Fig S6A). Consistent 132 with our previous reports with VIGS assays, the two NRC4 knockout lines (nrc4 9.1.3 and nrc4 1.2.1) 133 were found to be defective in Rpi-blb2-mediated cell death, and the two NRC2/3/4 knockout lines 134 (nrc234 4.3.1 and nrc234 5.5.1) were defective in Rpi-blb2-, Prf(Pto)-, and Rx-mediated cell death 135 (Supplemental Fig. S5 and Fig S6). These results confirmed that the selected NRC4 and NRC2/3/4 136 knockout lines do not contain any NRC2, NRC3, or NRC4 variants that are still functional for the 137 tested sensor NLR genes. Next, we tested the degree to which flg22-induced ROS burst and 138 phosphorylation of MAPKs were affected in these NRC knockout N. benthamiana lines. Both the 139 wild-type plants and the NRC knocked out plants vielded similar results for flg22-induced ROS burst 140 and MAPK phosphorylation assays (Supplemental Fig. S7). In conclusion, our results indicate that 141 NRC2, NRC3, and NRC4 are not essential for flg22-induced responses in N. benthamiana.

142

152

154

143 The NRC network is phylogenetically restricted to asterids and caryophyllales, but is missing in 144 Arabidopsis and other rosid species (Wu et al., 2017). Therefore, our results may not be that 145 surprising given that FLS2 belongs to an ancient receptor-like kinase subfamily XII that broadly 146 occurs in angiosperms (Dufayard et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017). In contrast, NRCs may be involved in 147 Cf-4- and LeEIX-mediated immunoresponses considering that these cell surface receptor-like 148 proteins are phylogenetically restricted to some asterid clades (Kang and Yeom, 2018) and, unlike 149 flg22, trigger hypersensitive cell death in plant tissues (Gabriels et al., 2007; Brendolise et al., 2017; 150 Wu et al., 2016). Future work will need to address how cell surface receptors mechanistically engage 151 NLR proteins to induce cell death and other immune responses.

153 Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

- 155 Supplemental Methods
- 156 Supplemental Figure S1. Targeting the *NRC4* gene cluster with CRISPR/Cas9 in tomato.
- 157 Supplemental Figure S2. Primer design and characterisation of the large deletion in mutant T0-7.
- 158 Supplemental Figure S3. Sanger sequencing result of the *NRC4* deletion allele from T0-7.
- 159Supplemental Figure S4. The NRC4 knockout homozygous T2 line (nrc4\_7.4) is impaired in Rpi-blb2-160mediated cell death.
- 161 Supplemental Figure S5. Genotypes and phenotypes of *NRC4* knockout *N. benthamiana*.
- 162 Supplemental Figure S6. Genotypes and phenotypes of *NRC2/3/4* knockout *N. benthamiana*.
- Supplemental Figure S7. Knocking out of *NRCs* in *N. benthamiana* did not affect flg22-induced defence
   responses.
- 165 Supplemental Table S1. Primers used in this study.
- 166

#### 167 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

168

We thank the Tissue Culture and Transformation Team at The Sainsbury Laboratory for performing
tomato transformation, Marta Bjornson for helping with the ROS assays, Bruno Ngou and Hailong
Guo for helping with the MAPK phosphorylation assays.

- 172
- 173
- 174 ORCID IDs:

175 0000-0003-1616-1872 (C.-H.W.); 0000-0002-7184-744X (H.A.); 0000-0002-7642-8375 (J.C.D.C.);
176 0000-0002-3985-6194 (R.C.-G.); 0000-0001-9386-1683 (V.N.); 0000-0002-0290-0315 (S.K.)

177

### 178 Figure Legends

179

#### 180 Figure 1. The T0-7 transformant carries a large (>53 kb) deletion spanning across the *NRC4*

181 gene cluster. A. Schematic view of the tomato NRC4 gene cluster in wild-type (WT) and mutant T0-7. 182 Orange, NRC4 paralogs; yellow, NRC5; blue, Solyc04g007075, which contains incomplete sequence 183 information due to a sequencing gap in the reference genome. The deleted regions in the mutant 184 T0-7 are marked in grey. B. PCR-genotyping for the large deletion. PP1, amplification with primer 185 pair 1:LR1F x 7075F indicated in A; EF122 amplification control with EF122 primers. The uncropped 186 image is provided in Supplemental Fig. S2B. C. Sequence alignment and chromatograms of Sanger 187 DNA sequencing results. In this region, the mutant T0-7 contains a 290bp deletion based on 188 reference genome and the results of sequencing. D. Sequence alignment and chromatograms of 189 Sanger DNA sequencing results. In this region, the mutant T0-7 contains a 53kbp deletion based on 190 the reference genome and the results of sequencing.

191

#### 192 Figure 2. NRC4 knockout tomato plants are not impaired in flg22-induced defence

193 responses. A. Flg22-triggered ROS bursts were measured for 50 min using leaf discs of the

194 WT and T2 line *nrc4*\_7.4. Data are presented as means ± SD. B. Scatter plot and box plot of

total photon counts of each treatment in A. Data C. Flg22-triggered MAPK activation was

analysed by immunoblots with  $\alpha$ -pMAPK. Proteins were extracted from tomato leaf tissues of

the WT and T2 line *nrc4*\_7.4, 0, 5 or 10 min after treatment with flg22.

198

199

200

201

202

## **Parsed Citations**

Adachi H, Derevnina L, Kamoun S (2019) NLR singletons, pairs, and networks: evolution, assembly, and regulation of the intracellular immunoreceptor circuitry of plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 50: 121-131

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Balint-Kurti PJ, Jones DA, Jones JD (1995) Integration of the classical and RFLP linkage maps of the short arm of tomato chromosome 1. Theor Appl Genet 90: 17-26

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Brendolise C, Montefiori M, Dinis R, Peeters N, Storey RD, Rikkerink EH (2017) A novel hairpin library-based approach to identify NBS-LRR genes required for effector-triggered hypersensitive response in Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant Methods 13: 32

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cesari S (2018) Multiple strategies for pathogen perception by plant immune receptors. New Phytol 219: 17-24 Pubmed: Author and Title

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Dodds PN, Rathjen JP (2010) Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant-pathogen interactions. Nature Reviews Genetics 11: 539-548

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Dufayard JF, Bettembourg M, Fischer I, Droc G, Guiderdoni E, Perin C, Chantret N, Dievart A (2017) New Insights on leucine-rich repeats receptor-like kinase orthologous relationships in angiosperms. Front Plant Sci 8: 381

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Gabriels SH, Vossen JH, Ekengren SK, van Ooijen G, Abd-El-Haliem AM, van den Berg GC, Rainey DY, Martin GB, Takken FL, de Wit PJ, Joosten MH (2007) An NB-LRR protein required for HR signalling mediated by both extra- and intracellular resistance proteins. Plant J 50: 14-28

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kang WH, Yeom SI (2018) Genome-wide Identification, classification, and expression analysis of the receptor-like protein family in tomato. Plant Pathol J 34: 435-444

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kourelis J, van der Hoorn RAL (2018) Defended to the nines: 25 years of resistance gene coning identifies nine mechanisms for R protein function. Plant Cell 30: 285-299

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Leibman-Markus M, Pizarro L, Bar M, Coaker G, Avni A (2018a) NRC proteins - a critical node for pattern and effector mediated signaling. Plant Signal Behav 13: e1507404

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Leibman-Markus M, Pizarro L, Schuster S, Lin ZJD, Gershony O, Bar M, Coaker G, Avni A (2018b) The intracellular nucleotidebinding leucine-rich repeat receptor (SINRC4a) enhances immune signalling elicited by extracellular perception. Plant Cell Environ 41: 2313-2327

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Liu PL, Du L, Huang Y, Gao SM, Yu M (2017) Origin and diversification of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) genes in plants. BMC Evol Biol 17: 47

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Peng Y, van Wersch R, Zhang Y (2018) Convergent and divergent signaling in PAMP-triggered immunity and effector-triggered immunity. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 31: 403-409

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Win J, Chaparro-Garcia A, Belhaj K, Saunders DG, Yoshida K, Dong S, Schornack S, Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Hogenhout SA, Kamoun S (2012) Effector biology of plant-associated organisms: concepts and perspectives. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 77: 235-247 Wu CH, Abd-El-Haliem A, Bozkurt TO, Belhaj K, Terauchi R, Vossen JH, Kamoun S (2017) NLR network mediates immunity to diverse plant pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114: 8113-8118

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Wu CH, Belhaj K, Bozkurt TO, Birk MS, Kamoun S (2016) Helper NLR proteins NRC2a/b and NRC3 but not NRC1 are required for Pto-mediated cell death and resistance in Nicotiana benthamiana. New Phytologist 209: 1344-1352

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

#### Wu CH, Derevnina L, Kamoun S (2018) Receptor networks underpin plant immunity. Science 360: 1300-130

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>