

Rothamsted Research Harpenden, Herts, AL5 2JQ

Telephone: +44 (0)1582 763133 Web: http://www.rothamsted.ac.uk/

Rothamsted Repository Download

A - Papers appearing in refereed journals

Chalasani, D., Basu, A., Pullabhotla, S. V. S. R. N., Jorrin, B., Neal, A. L., Poole, P. S., Podile, A. R. and Tkacz, A. 2021. Poor competitiveness of Bradyrhizobium in pigeon pea root colonisation in Indian soils . *Microbiome.* 12 (4), pp. e00423-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00423-21

The publisher's version can be accessed at:

• <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00423-21</u>

The output can be accessed at: <u>https://repository.rothamsted.ac.uk/item/9833z/poor-</u>competitiveness-of-bradyrhizobium-in-pigeon-pea-root-colonisation-in-indian-soils.

© 6 July 2021, Please contact library@rothamsted.ac.uk for copyright queries.

16/08/2021 14:36

repository.rothamsted.ac.uk

library@rothamsted.ac.uk

1	Poor competitiveness of Bradyrhizobium in pigeon pea root colonisation in Indian soils
2	
3	Danteswari Chalasani ^{1†} , Anirban Basu ^{1†} , Sarma V.S.R.N. Pullabhotla ^{1†} , Beatriz Jorrin ² ,
4	Andrew L. Neal ³ , Philip S. Poole ² , Appa Rao Podile ^{1*} and Andrzej Tkacz ^{2*}
5	
6 7	¹ Department of Plant Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad,
/	2Denortment of Plant Sciences, University of Outend, Outend, OX1 2DD, UK
8	² Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, OXT 5RB, UK ³ Department of Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, Bathamated Bassarah, North Walte, EY20.
9 10	^o Department of Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, Romanisted Research, North Wyke, EA20
10	23 B , UK
12	*Corresponding authors
13	Dr. Andrzei Tkacz: andrzei.tkacz@plants.ox.ac.uk
14	Prof. Appa Rao Podile: podilerao@gmail.com. arpsl@uohvd.ernet.in
15	
16	
17	[†] These authors contributed equally to this work
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20 27	
27 28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
20	
38 20	
39 46	
40	
41	
42	

- 43 **Abstract:**
- 44

45 Background

- 46 Pigeon pea, a legume crop native to India, is the primary source of protein for more than a
- 47 billion people in developing countries. The plant can form symbioses with N₂-fixing bacteria,
- 48 however reports of poor crop nodulation in agricultural soils abound. We report here study of
- 49 the microbiota associated with pigeon pea, with a special focus on the symbiont population in
- 50 different soils and vegetative and non-vegetative plant growth.

51 **Results**

- 52 Location with respect to the plant roots was determined to be the main factor controlling the
- 53 microbiota followed by developmental stage and soil type. Plant genotype plays only a minor
- 54 role. Pigeon pea roots have a reduced microbial diversity compared to the surrounding soil
- and select for Proteobacteria and especially for *Rhizobium* spp. during vegetative growth.
- 56 While *Bradyrhizobium*, a native symbiont of pigeon pea, can be found associating with roots,
- its presence is dependent on plant variety and soil conditions. A combination of metagenomic
 survey, strain isolation and co-inoculation with nodule forming *Bradyrhizobium* spp. and
- 50° survey, sum isolation and co-modulation with hold to forming *Dradymicolum* spp. c 59 non-N₂ fixing *Rhizobium* spp. demonstrated that the latter is a much more successful
- 60 coloniser of pigeon pea roots.

61 Conclusions

- 62 Poor nodulation of pigeon pea in Indian soils may be caused by a poor *Bradyrhizobium*
- 63 competitiveness against non-nodulating root colonisers such as *Rhizobium*. Hence, inoculant
- 64 strain selection of symbionts for pigeon pea should not only be based on their nitrogen
- 65 fixation potential but more importantly on their competitiveness in agricultural soils.
- 66
- 67
- 68

69

70 Introduction:

71

72 Pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh] is one of the most important legume crops with 73 diverse uses as food, feed, fodder and fuel, besides enriching soil through biological nitrogen 74 fixation. Globally, the crop is grown on about 7 million hectares (FAOSTAT 2019), mainly 75 as a rain-fed crop in semi-arid tropical and subtropical regions of South Asia, East Africa, 76 Latin America and the Caribbean. It is the primary source of dietary protein for over a billion 77 people in developing countries. Millions of resource-poor smallholder farmers grow this 78 multipurpose crop with minimal inputs to sustain their livelihoods. Domestication of the wild 79 progenitor species *Cajanus cajanifolius* (endemic to the Indian subcontinent) resulted in the 80 origin of the cultivated pigeon pea in central India more than 3,500 years ago, from where it subsequently spread to other parts of the globe (Fuller et al. 2019, Varshney et al. 2017). 81 82 India is the largest producer of pigeon pea, accounting for 72% of global production 83 (FAOSTAT 2019). It is the second-largest cultivated legume crop (after chickpea) in India, 84 contributing 15% by area and 17% by production (Tiwari and Shivhare 2018). The major 85 pigeon pea growing zones in India can be divided into the south zone (Andhra Pradesh,

- 86 Telangana and Karnataka), central zone (Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and Gujarat) and
- 87 northern plain zone (Uttar Pradesh) (Singh 2013). The states of Andhra Pradesh, Madhya
- 88 Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh record the highest yields (Tiwari and Shivhare 2016). The soil
- types in these three states located in the south, central and northern zones are red soil
- 90 (Alfisol), black soil (Vertisol) and alluvial soil (Inceptisol), respectively, based on the United
- 91 States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Taxonomy (Table S1). A large number of
- 92 pigeon pea varieties are cultivated in India exhibiting a vast genetic and phenotypic diversity
- 93 of agro-morphological traits, including variations in plant type, branching pattern, pod and
- 94 seed size, seed colour, protein content, grain yield, resistance/tolerance to abiotic and biotic
- stresses, crop duration, photoperiod sensitivity, days to flowering and maturity (Semwal et al.2018).
- 97 The root-associated microbiomes of many plants and crop species have been extensively
- 98 studied, including those associating with other N_2 -fixing legumes such as soybean, alfalfa,
- 99 red clover, common bean and *Lotus japonicum* (Hartman et al. 2017, Liu et al. 2019, Mendes
- 100 et al. 2014, Mendes et al. 2018, Perez-Jaramillo et al. 2017, Xiao et al. 2017, Zgadzaj et al.
- 101 2016). However, the microbiome of tropical grain legumes such as pigeon pea have not been
- 102 described yet. The root microbiomes of legumes differ from those of non-legumes owing to
- 103 the symbiotic association with diverse rhizobia in the root nodules. The legume hosts exert a
- 104 strong influence on the rhizobial diversity patterns in the soil and different parts of the root
- 105 microbiome (Miranda-Sanchez et al. 2016).
- 106
- 107 Studies based on strain isolation from India suggest that pigeon pea can be nodulated by
- 108 *Rhizobium* spp. (Rajendran et al. 2008, Singh et al. 2018, Singha et al. 2018),
- 109 Bradyrhizobium spp. (Nautiyal et al. 1988), Sinorhizobium/Ensifer (Dubey et al. 2010, Gosai
- 110 et al. 2020, Jain et al. 2020), *Mesorhizobium* (Jain et al. 2020, Singha et al. 2018) or even
- 111 Burkholderia (Singha et al. 2018). However, in other geographical regions including Cote
- 112 d'Ivoire (Fossou et al., 2016), Ethiopia (Degefu et al., 2018), Dominican Republic (Araujo et
- al., 2015) and Brazil (Rufini et al., 2016), pigeon pea is nodulated solely either by
- 114 Bradyrhizobium spp., or Sinorhizobium/Ensifer (López-Baena et al. 2016), suggesting that
- any other species found in the nodulation studies may need to be re-evaluated.
- 116 Apart from rhizobial symbionts, pigeon pea harbours diverse non-rhizobial root colonisers
- 117 belonging to Bacillus, Brevibacillus, Paenibacillus, Lactobacillus, Pseudomonas,
- 118 Agrobacterium, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Chryseobacterium, Streptomyces, Serratia, and
- 119 other genera (Bind and Nema 2019, Dubey et al. 2010, Fossou et al. 2016, Rajendran et al.
- 120 2008).
- 121
- 122 Most studies have concentrated on isolation and characterisation of pigeon pea nodule and
- root bacteria and their use in inoculation assays to promote plant growth. No comprehensive
- 124 study on the root-associated microbiome of pigeon pea or any other legumes grown in the
- 125 Indian soil has been undertaken to date, neither has any throughput screening of common
- 126 pigeon pea symbionts been performed. Genomic tools and high throughput sequencing
- 127 technologies allowed characterisation of the genetic and genomic diversity of pigeon pea,
- 128 including whole-genome sequencing (Varshney et al. 2017), although this did not cover the
- 129 root microbiome. The present study was designed to a) identify microbial taxa associated

- 130 with pigeon pea roots and surrounding soil, b) investigate the factors shaping the pigeon pea
- 131 root-associated microbiome in various Indian soils and c) identify nodule symbionts.
- 132 Pigeon pea is a legume, and is able to obtain nitrogen through symbiosis. However, its
- 133 growth is often supplemented with inorganic and organic fertilisers as there are reports of
- 134 weak nodulation in some parts of India (Arora et al. 2018). Some varieties of pigeon pea have
- 135 a low symbiosis potential, and it is possible to obtain nodulation deficient lines by simply
- 136 crossing less efficient lines between each other (Rupela and Johansen 1995).
- 137 We hypothesise that the reported low nodulation efficiency of pigeon pea is an outcome of
- 138 either the low number of compatible symbionts in the soils and/or their low competitiveness
- 139 in colonising the host plant.
- 140
- 141 To capture the representative microbiome of pigeon pea, we have sampled different parts of
- 142 plant microbiome (root endosphere, rhizoplane, rhizosphere and soil not attached to the roots
- 143 loose soil, representing only a very weak plant influence). We did not separate nodules
- 144 from the roots and sequence them, but rather collected them in a separate experiment for
- 145 isolation study. Based on our previous experience (Tkacz et al. 2020) we know that nodule
- 146 microbiota structure, obtained through next generation sequencing is likely to include data for
- 147 bacteria attached to the outside of the nodules and/ those able to (re)colonise the nodule
- 148 especially in its later developmental stages.
- 149 To separate the effect of actively growing roots secreting photosynthetic products into the
- 150 surrounding soil from plant generally weaker plant secretions (Chaparro et al. 2014) and
- 151 potentially caused only as an attachment point for microbes (Bulgarelli et al. 2012) we have
- 152 sampled plants at two contrasting developmental stages; vegetative and flowering.
- 153 Widely grown pigeon pea cultivars Asha (ICPL 87119), Durga (ICPL 84031) and Mannem
- 154 Konda Kandi (MKK; ICPH 2740) were grown in three soils collected across the Indian
- 155 subcontinent, representing three major geological substrates for soil formation: Alfisols red
- soils originating from highly weathered rocks, typical tropical climate process of leaching
- 157 most soil minerals accumulating insoluble aluminium and iron, Inceptisols alluvial soils
- 158 originating from recent flood deposit and Vertisols black soils originating from older fluvial
- 159 deposits and representing a typical clay-rich tropical agriculture soil (Murthy 1988).
- 160 We compared the Indian pigeon pea data with our previously characterised legume and non-
- legume plant microbiota of British soils. The data is fully comparable as the same methodswere applied for all the samples.
- 162 were applied for all the samples.
- 163 We show in the metagenomic screen, bacterial isolation assay and a gnotobiotic experiment
- 164 that pigeon pea roots are predominantly colonised by non-symbiotic *Rhizobium* spp., rather
- 165 than symbiotic *Bradyrhizobium* spp.
- 166

168 Methodology

- 169 Experimental design
- 170 We collected three different soil types from farmers' fields in the principal pigeon pea
- 171 producing regions of India during the pre-sowing season between June and August 2017.
- 172 Alfisols were collected from Andhra Pradesh (Rompicharla of Guntur district, 16.213900N,

- 173 79.921386 E), Vertisols from Madhya Pradesh (Athner of Betul district, 21.6406552 N,
- 174 77.91300 E), and Inceptisols from Uttar Pradesh (Sitamarhi of Allahabad district, 25.2782289
- 175 N, 82.28691 E) (Fig. S1). Rompicharla has a tropical climate with an average annual
- temperature of 28.5 $^{\circ}$ C (24.1-33.6 $^{\circ}$ C) and average annual precipitation (rainfall) of 906 mm.
- 177 Athner also has a tropical climate with an average annual temperature of 24.6 $^{\circ}$ C (19.1-32.4
- [°]C) and average annual precipitation (rainfall) of 943 mm. Sitamarhi has a subtropical
- 179 climate with an average annual temperature of 25.7 $^{\circ}$ C (16.1-34.2 $^{\circ}$ C) and average annual
- 180 precipitation (rainfall) of 981 mm (Fig. S2).
- 181
- 182 Physicochemical characterisation of collected soils (Table S1) was performed using HiMedia
- 183 Soil Testing Kits (HiMedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India) according to the manufacturer's
- 184 instructions. Three popular pigeon pea cultivars (genotypes) were selected for this study, *viz*.
- Asha (ICPL-87119), Durga (ICPL-84031) and Mannem Konda Kandi (MKK; ICPH-2740)
- 186 (Table S1). The seeds were procured from International Crops Research Institute for the
- 187 Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Hyderabad, India. Seeds were surface sterilised using HgCl₂
- 188 (0.1%) and ethanol (70%) and germinated on MS agar. Three seedlings of each genotype
- 189 were transplanted into pots (pot size=7.5 kg) individually filled with three collected soil
- 190 samples. The plants were grown using six biological replicates in a glasshouse at University
- 191 of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India under identical conditions of light, temperature and humidity
- 192 until flowering stage. Six pots of soil for each soil type (without growing any plant) were
- used as bulk soil control. Plants, as well as control pots, were watered as needed with
- sterilised distilled water every alternate day without adding any other fertilisers.
- 195
- 196
- 197 <u>Sampling of soil and root fractions:</u>
- Plants were harvested at two developmental stages; vegetative (1 month after seedling
 emergence) and flowering stages (3 months after seedling emergence for Durga; 4 months
 after seedling emergence for Asha and MKK). Uprooted plant roots were briefly shaken to
- 201 remove loosely attached soil, collected as 'loose soil' fraction. The soil bound tightly to the
- 202 roots was collected without damaging the root and root nodules by vortexing and
- 203 centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 10 min to yield the 'rhizosphere' fraction. After removing the
- 204 rhizosphere soil, roots were washed and transferred to 15 mL falcon tube (with 10 mL sterile
- water) and sonicated for 5 min at full intensity in an ultrasonic bath. Roots were removed,
- and the falcon tube was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 10 min to collect 'rhizoplane' fraction as a pellet. Washed and sonicated roots were ground to a powder using liquid nitrogen and
- 208 defined as the 'endosphere' fraction.
- 209
- 210

211 DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing:

- 212 Metagenomic DNA was extracted from the bulk and loose soil, rhizosphere, rhizoplane and
- 213 root endosphere samples (0.3 g each) using NucleoSpin® Soil Kit (Machery Nagel,
- 214 Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The V4 hypervariable region of the
- 215 bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified using double-barcoded 515F/806R primer pairs
- 216 (Caporaso et al. 2011). The PCR reaction mixture consisted of Phusion high fidelity (0.2 μ L),

high fidelity buffer (4 µL) (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA), dinucleotide triphosphates 218 (0.4 μ L), primers (1 μ L of each 10 pmol stock), template DNA (1.5 μ L of 5 ng μ L⁻¹) and H₂O 219 up to a 20 µL final volume. For rhizoplane and endosphere fractions, peptide nucleic acid (PNA) for targeting plastid (pPNA, 5'-GGCTCAACCCTGGACAG-3') and mitochondrial 220 221 (mPNA, 5'-GGCAAGTGTTCTTCGGA-3') DNA (PNA Bio, Newbury Park, USA) of 1 µM 222 as PCR clamps (Lundberg et al. 2013) were added. PCR cycles were as follows: 98 °C for 1 223 min, 35 cycles of 98 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 45 s with a final elongation step 224 of 72 °C for 7 min. Each DNA sample was amplified in triplicate, followed by purification using a PCR clean-up kit (D4014, Zymo Research). For each amplification run in 96-well 225 plates, water was used as a negative control (no-DNA control). Samples were pooled and 226

227 sequenced using Illumina MiSeq platform using v3 chemistry of 300PE run at Molecular

228 Research DNA laboratory in Texas, USA.

229

217

230

Processing of sequencing data: Initial quality filter and reads alignment was done using 231

232 Usearch 10 fastq_mergepairs with fastq_maxee using an EE score of 1. After barcode

233 removal only reads of the desired length of 292 bp were used for further analysis. Reads were

234 filtered from plant chloroplast and mitochondria (around 2% of the initial reads were plant

235 origin) using custom-made Bash script (Table S1). Reads were binned into zero-radius

236 Operational Taxonomic Units (zOTUs), including chimera removal according to the

237 Usearch10 pipeline with Unoise3 (Edgar 2016) and annotated using SILVA SSU132 16S

238 rRNA database (Quast et al. 2013).

239

240 241 Statistical analyses:

242 Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA), unconstrained Principal 243 Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) and analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) were based on Bray-

244 Curtis dissimilarity matrices calculated from standardised, square-root transformed

245 abundance data and calculated and/or visualised in Primer 6 software (PRIMER-E, Quest

Research Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand). Factors influencing the microbial community were 246

247 statistically assessed using permutation of residuals under a reduced model, sum of squares

248 type III (partial) with 9,999 permutations using unrestricted permutation of raw data model of

- PERMANOVA. We considered *pseudo-F* values as proxies of a given factor's importance 249
- 250 for sample separation and is based on the ratio of the beta-diversity (variation between two or

more sample groups) to alpha-diversity (variation between individual samples inside each of 251 252 these groups). PCoA plots are designed to visualise distance matrices with maximum sample

- 253 separation along multiple axes (however, for clarity only the first two axes are shown)
- 254 without prior factorial description. One-way ANOSIM tests based upon 9,999 permutations

255 were used to calculate the difference (the ratio of beta- to alpha-diversity) between each set of

256 data for a given factor. Similarity percentage (SIMPER) was also run in PRIMER 6 on

257 standardised and square-rooted transformed abundance data and aimed to identify microbial

258 taxa with the greatest influence on sample groups separation.

- 259 Shannon entropy plot, Volcano plot and taxonomic bar plots were visualised in PRISM 8
- 260 (GraphPad, San Diego, USA). Shannon entropy was calculated for each sample as (-1) * sum

- 261 of (each zOTU value * ln of each zOTU value), where the sum of zOTU values for each
- sample equals to 1. For Volcano and taxonomic plots, the taxonomic affiliations of zOTUs
- 263 were summed into phyla, families and genera. Volcano plots present genera location on XY
- 264 matrix as a result of their fold change against bulk soil (X-axis) and statistical significance of
- this change (Y-axis) corrected for multiple testing with false rate discovery (FDR).
- 266
- 267
- 268 Isolation of bacteria from the rhizosphere, roots and nodules
- 269 Pigeon pea plants (three cultivars each in three soil types) were harvested at the vegetative
- 270 stage for isolation of bacteria. Harvested nodules were surface sterilised with 0.1% HgCl₂,
- crushed and serially diluted in saline (0.86% NaCl), streaked onto CRYEM (Congo red yeast
- extract mannitol) plates, and incubated at 25 °C for up to 7 days. Colonies were selected from
- 273 CRYEM plates and streaked to new plates to obtain pure cultures. Rhizosphere and root
- samples were collected as described above, diluted in saline, streaked onto yeast extract
- mannitol (YEM) plates and incubated at 30 °C for up to 4 days. Single colonies were purified
 further, their BOX-PCR obtained using the BOX-A1R primer 5'-
- 277 CTACGGCAAGGCGACGCTGACG-3' (Versalovic et al. 1994) and their 16S rRNA gene
- 278 sequenced after PCR amplification using 27F (5'-GTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1494R
- 279 (5'-ACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') primers.
- 280
- 281
- 282 WGS of pigeon pea isolates
- Eighteen pigeon pea isolates were selected based on their different BOX-PCR pattern.
- 284 Culture samples were provided to Microbes NG for Illumina sequencing (MiSeq v2, PE
- 285 2x250 bp). The closest available reference genome for each sample was identified with
- Kraken v2 (Wood and Salzberg 2014), and reads were mapped to this using bwa-mem v07.17
- 287 (Li and Durbin 2009) to assess the quality of the data. *De novo* assembly was performed with
- 288 SPAdes v 3.14.1 (Bankevich et al. 2012). An automated annotation was performed using
- Prokka v1.12 (Seemann 2014). Strains were annotated using their whole genomes with
 EzBioCloud to the species level.
- A local BLAST database of these genomes was generated in Geneious R10 (v10.2.6), and
- 292 *nodC* and *nifH* sequences from *Bradyrhizobium cajani* AMNPC 1010^T (PRJNA593773) and
- 293 Ensifer fredii NBRC 14780^T (PRJDB6002) were used to assess the presence of these genes in
- bacteria belonging *Burkholderia/Paraburkholderia* spp. (4), *Microbacterium* spp. (3) and
- 295 Rhizobium/Agrobacterium spp. (11).
- 296 297
- 298 Gnotobiotic inoculation assay
- 299 Seeds were surfaced sterilised using ethanol (70%) for 1 min and bleach (4%) for 3 min and
- 300 placed on water agar until the seedling emergence. Plants were moved to pots (1 L) with
- 301 vermiculate with N-free rooting solution (400 mL) (Allaway et al. 2000) in a controlled
- 302 growth chamber and inoculated either with a single *Rhizobium* or *Bradyrhizobium* strain, a
- 303 community of *Rhizobium* spp. or a community of *Bradyrhizobium* spp. or double inoculated
- 304 with both the *Rhizobium* spp. and *Bradyrhizobium* spp. communities. *Rhizobium* strains were

- 305 isolated from the roots, while *Bradyrhizobium* strains come from pigeon pea nodules.
- 306 *Rhizobium* strains come from this work, while *Bradyrhizobium* strains were isolated from
- 307 pigeon pea nodules grown in various Indian soils. Characterisation of *Bradyrhizobium* strains
- 308 will be covered in a separate publication.
- 309 Four-week old pigeon pea plants were harvested, their roots (rhizoplane and endosphere
- 310 combined) and nodules (if any) crushed using pestle and mortar, the crushed nodule macerate
- 311 plated in dilution series on AG agar plates and left for 3 days to allow the growth of both
- 312 *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* spp. DNA from individual colonies from highly diluted
- 313 treatments was isolated and used for ribosomal intergenic spacer (RISA) fingerprinting for
- 314 species identification using RISA primers; ITSF: 5'-GTCGTAACAAGGTAGCCGTA-3' and
- 315 ITSReub: 5'-GCCAAGGCATCCACC-3' and PCR conditions of 95 °C for 7 min, 30 cycles
- 316 of 95 °C for 30 sec, 55 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C for 1 min and final elongation of 72 °C for 7
- 317 min). All *Bradyrhizobium* strains used have a RISA band of ~900 bp, while all *Rhizobium*
- 318 strains have the RISA band of approximately 1200 bp allowing for a quick species
- 319 identification.
- 320
- 321

322 **Results:**

- 323 Fraction, developmental stage, soil and genotype shape the pigeon pea microbiome
- 324 To understand what is shaping pigeon pea microbiome we used multi-factor PERMANOVA
- 325 of the following factors: plant fraction (root endosphere, rhizoplane, rhizosphere and loose
- soil), soil type (Alfisol, Inceptisol and Vertisol), plant genotype (Asha, MKK and Durga) and
- 327 plant developmental stage (vegetative and flowering) as factors.
- 328 We found that the main factor controlling the assembly of pigeon pea microbiome is the plant
- 329 fraction followed by developmental stage, soil type and the least important, yet still a
- 330 significant factor is the plant genotype (Fig. 1A and Fig. S3). However, when we look at each
- fraction separately, the soil is more important than the developmental stage for loose and
- attached soil, while the developmental stage is the dominant factor for rhizoplane and
- endosphere (Fig. S3B). Comparing each soil separately, fraction is more important than
- developmental stage for Alfisol, and they are of approximately the same importance for
- 335 Inceptisol while developmental stage is more important for Vertisol (Fig. S3C). All factors
- exert a similar influence for individual plant genotypes (Fig. S3D). Analysing the data with
- the separation for vegetative and flowering stages, we uncovered that while fraction and plant
- 338 genotype are of similar importance for both these stages, soil type factor is more important
- 339 for the flowering plants (Fig. S3E).
- 340 We have also compared the major factors of pigeon pea microbiota assembly with our
- 341 previous findings using legume and non-legume plants grown in the United Kingdom (Tkacz
- et al. 2020). Even the strongest factor as fraction is dwarfed by the importance of the sample
- 343 origin (India vs. UK). Some of this difference can be explained by the plant species influence.
- However, distantly related plants grown in United Kingdom (pea, *Medicago*, wheat and
- 345 *Arabidopsis*) have a relatively similar microbiota comparing to the Indian-grown pigeon pea
- 346 suggesting that plant species effect is small.

- 347 At the zOTU-level, the origin is approximately ten-fold times more influential than fraction,
- 348 while this ratio decreases with increasing taxonomic level (6x for genus, 5x for family and 3x
- 349 for phyla). This change is probably caused by a reduction of alpha diversity with an increase 350 in taxonomic level.
- 350 351

Visualising the microbiota community using PCoA we confirm PERMANOVA results where fraction (Fig. 1B and Fig. S4A) then developmental stage (Fig. S4D), soil (Fig. S4B) and plant genotype (Fig. S4C) can shape the pigeon pea microbiota. PCoA plots demonstrate that plants of all three genotypes shift their microbiota between vegetative and flowering stages, an effect especially observed for Vertisol grown plants (Fig. S4B and D). This observation is a plausible mechanism behind the increase of soil type factor strength for flowering plants already reported using PERMANOVA (Fig. S3E).

359

360 ANOSIM and PCoA plots were used to assess the differences between samples based on

- 361 specific factors. For the fraction factor, the major community shift happens between
- 362 rhizosphere and rhizoplane (ANOSIM's R=0.301, p < 0.01), followed by rhizoplane and
- endosphere (R=0.269, p < 0.01), while there is less difference between loose soil and
- rhizosphere (R=0.176, p < 0.01) or bulk soil and loose soil (R=0.096, not significant). PCoA
- 365 plot clearly illustrates it with a gentle sample location shift between all fractions, while the
- 366 main boundary can be drawn between bulk soil-loose soil-rhizosphere cluster and rhizoplane-
- 367 endosphere cluster (Fig. S4A).
- 368 For the soil type, ANOSIM separates plants grown in Vertisol from ones grown in Alfisol
- 369 (R=0.289, p < 0.01) and Inceptisol (R=0.287, p < 0.01), while the difference between Alfisol
- and Inceptisol grown plants is weaker, yet significant (R=0.199, p < 0.01) (Fig. S4B). Plant
- 371 genotype as a factor is a significant factor shaping the microbiota (based on PERMANOVA –
- Fig. 1A) when the influence of all the three genotypes is considered. However, ANOSIM
- 373 reveals that no genotype-to-genotype comparison is significant (Asha-Durga, R=0.028, Asha-
- 374 MKK R=0.018 and Durga-MKK R=0.018, p > 0.05 for all). PCoA plot visualises the lack of
- separation for samples based on their plant genotype factor (Fig. S4C). ANOSIM confirms
 PERMANOVA findings that developmental stage is one of the strongest factors (R=0.211, p)
- 377 <0.01), while PCoA plot clearly separates samples based on their developmental stage and as
- 378 expected, loose soil is not affected by the plant developmental stage (a cluster of red-labelled
- 379 points in the top right corner of Fig. S4D).
- 380
- 381 To confirm the observed PCoA sample-spread pattern and the main factors driving the
- 382 community assembly we re-analysed the data originally based on zOTU assignment
- 383 (sequencing reads similarity) at three higher taxonomical levels of genera, family and phyla
- 384 (Fig. S5). Irrespective of the taxonomic level, the fraction, followed by developmental stage,
- 385 soil type and genotype are the main factors controlling the community structure. To no
- 386 surprise, the higher taxonomic levels due to the reduced number of categories have lower
- 387 alpha diversity leading to a better separation of different sets of samples. This effect can be
- 388 observed with an increased PCoA axis (PCO 1 and PCO 2) contribution in explaining the
- data variation (i.e., PCO 1 axis for genus level explains 18.9, for family 24% and for phyla

- 390 43.9%). Moreover, PERMANOVA pseudo-F value also increases for the higher taxonomic
- 391 level data separation (apart from soil type at the phylum level).
- 392

393 To analyse the Indian pigeon pea microbiome in a wider context, we have supplemented the 394 data with our previous legume and non-legume soil and root assay of plants grown in the 395 United Kingdom (Tkacz et al. 2020). For consistency, we analysed the data at four taxonomic 396 levels: zOTU, genus, family and phyla. However, irrespectively of the taxonomic level used, 397 we see that PCoA plots clearly separate the Indian from United Kingdom samples on their 398 first axis (PCO1), while the fractions within each origin group are separated on the second 399 axis (PCO2) (Fig. 1C and Fig. S6A-D). The fraction separation pattern is similar for both 400 Indian and United Kingdom samples. Root samples (endosphere and rhizoplane) in both 401 cases are separated from the soil fractions (loose soil and rhizosphere) and with a higher 402 taxonomic level root microbiota becomes similar across both geographical locations,

- 403 irrespective of the plant species or genotype origin.
- 404
- 405 This community convergence was analysed further with PCA, and both United Kingdom and
- 406 Indian root communities are highly influenced by Alphaproteobacteria and Bacteroidetes
- 407 (Fig. S6E). As we analyse legume plants, we present the data with *in-silico* removal of
- 408 potential symbionts, such as *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium*. However, such removal does
- 409 not change the main PCA-based sample separation and overall pattern (Fig. S6F). Pigeon pea
 410 roots at the flowering stage (with or without potential symbionts removed) when the
- 411 rhizodeposition may be reduced have enriched their microbial community to
- 412 Gammaproteobacteria and Actinobacteria. As we have sampled only vegetative stage plants
- in United Kingdom samples, we cannot confirm here whether this process is uniform or
- 414 specific only to the Indian pigeon pea samples.
- 415
- 416 There is a significant reduction of alpha diversity expressed as Shannon entropy associated
- 417 with the rhizoplane and endosphere of pigeon pea, irrespective of their developmental stage.
- 418 However, alpha diversity is higher during the flowering rather than vegetative stage. There is
- 419 no consistent soil or genotype influence on alpha diversity (Fig. 1D and Fig. S7)
- 420
- 421
- 422 Pigeon pea roots are colonised by Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes
- 423 Taxonomic profiles of the rhizoplane and root endosphere are different from that of the loose
- 424 soil and rhizosphere. Root fractions are colonised by Alpha-, Beta- and
- 425 Gammaproteobacteria, as well as Bacteroidetes, especially during vegetative growth. The
- 426 Proteobacteria replace Acido-, Actinobacteria and Archaea found in soil (Fig. 2A and Fig.
- 427 S8).
- 428 Comamonadaceae, Sphingomonadaceae and Xanthomonadaceae abundance increases in the
- 429 rhizosphere and root fractions while Rhizobiaceae are more prevalent in roots of vegetative
- 430 plants. The separation of soil and root fractions is clearer during vegetative growth than at the
- 431 flowering stage (Fig. 2B and Fig. S8).
- 432 The main genera in the roots of vegetative stage plants are *Rhizobium*, *Pseudoxanthomonas*
- 433 and *Sphingopyxis*. Some plant roots also have a high abundance of *Bradyrhizobium*

- suggesting an active endosymbiosis. Vegetative plants allow *Sphingopyxis* root colonisation,
 while being replaced by *Brachymonas* in the flowering stage (Fig. 2C and Fig. S8). SIMPER
 run on the endosphere samples from vegetative and flowering stages places these two genera
 as the most influential taxa for the community separation between these developmental stages
 (Table S1).
- 439

440 As Bacteroidetes (along with Proteobacteria) increases its abundance in the root fraction, we 441 analysed this phylum in more details. Bacteroidetes and especially *Chitinophaga* spp. and 442 *Flavobacterium* spp. were found to reduce pathogen load inside the plant roots of sugar beet 443 with target antibiotic production by overexpressing polyketide synthases and non-ribosomal 444 peptide synthetase genes (Carrión et al. 2019). In our study, we found these two 445 Bacteroidetes genera more abundant in the roots than in the rhizosphere or soil, with their 446 abundance especially high in older plants (Fig. 2D).

447

We investigated genera abundance in more details using Volcano plots. Here we present the increase and decrease microbial abundance with statistical power. For clarity, we compared plant selection in each soil type at the vegetative and flowering stages, where each genus is represented by a dot of a different size according to its total abundance and located on the Xaxis according to its abundance in a given fraction against the bulk soil control. The Y-axis indicates the statistical confidence for suppression (if on the left-hand side of the graph) or selection (if on the right-hand side of the graph) (Fig. S9-10).

455

456 Loose soil community becomes different from the bulk soil over time, while there are almost 457 no genera either suppressed or selected in the vegetative stage, they do appear during the 458 flowering time signifying at least some plant roots influence over the microbiota thriving in 459 the more distant soil. For both plant developmental stages, the rhizosphere is a place of 460 suppression of Bradyrhizobium and Rhizobium in Alfisol and Bacillus in Vertisol. For the 461 vegetative stage, rhizoplane and endosphere selection are clear, especially for *Rhizobium*, 462 Pseudoxanthomonas and Sphingopyxis (genera belonging to Alphaproteobacteria). There is 463 soil type specificity in the suppression/selection pattern; plants grown in Alfisol suppress 464 Bradyrhizobium, in Vertisol Bacillus, while in Inceptisol plants strongly select for Rhizobium. 465 In general, plant roots exert a weaker influence in their flowering comparing with the 466 vegetative stage, while Hydrogenophaga, Sphingomonas, Opitutus and Brachymonas replace 467 Rhizobium, Pseudoxanthomonas and Sphingopyxis as efficient root colonisers (Fig. S9-10).

468 469

470 <u>High rate of *Rhizobium* in pigeon pea roots</u>

471 During sampling, we kept the nodules attached to the roots. We therefore expected to observe

- 472 a spike of nodule symbionts' abundance in the root samples. Bulk soil, as well as loose soil,
- 473 contain relatively high proportions of *Bradyrhizobium*, while pigeon pea rhizosphere and
- 474 roots are colonised predominantly by *Rhizobium* (Fig. 3A). The proportion of *Rhizobium* is
- 475 reduced in the flowering stage (av. 3.5%) relative to the vegetative one (av. 8.7%), while the
- 476 Bradyrhizobium and other Rhizobiales abundance is relatively stable with only
- 477 Bradyrhizobium reduction in loose soil over plant lifetime. Focusing on plant roots only, we

- 478 observe both the soil type and plant genotype specificity in Rhizobiales selection (Fig. 3B
- and C). In general, plants (all the biological replicates for a given condition) are either highly
- 480 colonised by *Bradyrhizobium* (as Asha in Inceptisol), or by *Rhizobium* (Durga in Inceptisol
- and Vertisol). Soil type influence on Rhizobiales community inside plant roots is comparable
- to the general soil type influence (PERMANOVA *pseudo-F* = 17.5 for all microbial taxa and
- 483 16.3 for Rhizobiales only), the importance of genotype increases almost two-fold (3.4 to 6.3
- 484 for all microbial community and Rhizobiales community, respectively), while developmental
- stage still being important has reduced *pseudo-F* value from 21.9 to 10.6 (Fig. 3D and Fig.
 1A). This signifies that Rhizobiales are more influential than other microbial taxa inside
- 486 1A). This signifies that Rhizobiales are more influential than other microbial taxa inside
 487 legume plant roots, but their community stays relatively stable over plant lifetime.
- 488
- In order to explain the higher abundance of *Rhizobium* over the pigeon pea native symbiont *Bradyrhizobium* (and *Ensifer*) we isolated bacteria from the soil and roots of pigeon pea
- 491 grown in Indian soils.
- 492 We isolated and purified 60 colonies from the rhizosphere and 272 colonies from the root
- 493 endosphere and nodules, of which 13 and 43, respectively were found to be unique strains.
- 494 Isolates of root-inhabiting *Rhizobium* contribute to 28% abundance, followed by
- 495 Burkholderia, Microbacterium, Paenibacillus and Pseudomonas with 7% each.
- 496 Bradyrhizobium isolates make 5%, while Ensifer represents only 2% of the isolated
- 497 community (Table S1). These values are broadly consistent with the root metagenomic screen498 output.
- 499
- 500 We sequenced the genomes of *Rhizobium/Agrobacterium* (11), *Burkholderia* and
- 501 Paraburkholderia (4) and Microbacterium (3) isolates: none were associated with any nod or
- 502 *nif* genes suggesting these strains are not symbionts. We also sequenced many
- 503 Bradyrhizobium nodule isolates and confirmed their nodulation ability. However, a detailed
- 504 discussion of nodule isolated strains will be presented in a separate publication.
- 505 We confirmed that *Bradyrhizobium* rather than *Rhizobium* nodulates pigeon pea by growing
- 506 plants in controlled conditions of growth chambers in Oxford in sterile vermiculate. Plants
- 507 inoculated with *Rhizobium* isolates (either single or in a mixed inoculation) were not
- nodulated, while their roots contain a high bacterial presence of $\sim 10^7$ colony forming units
- 509 per root. Plants inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* (either single or in a mixed inoculation) were
- 510 nodulated (2-5 nodules per plant) and contained a similar bacterial presence to plants
- 511 inoculated with *Rhizobium* isolates only. Plants inoculated with both *Rhizobium* and
- 512 Bradyrhizobium strains (strain mixtures) formed nodules, but only Rhizobium was recovered
- 513 from the roots (rhizoplane and endosphere combined) (at least 100-fold dominance of
- 514 *Rhizobium* over *Bradyrhizobium*), while all the visible nodules harboured *Bradyrhizobium*
- 515 strains only.
- 516
- 517

518 **Discussion:**

- 519 We identified that the principal factor controlling the assembly of pigeon pea microbiome
- 520 was the plant fraction followed by developmental stage, soil type and the least important, but

- 521 a significant factor is the plant genotype (Fig. 1A). In previous work using legumes and non-
- 522 legume plants grown in soils from the United Kingdom, we have also observed fraction,
- 523 followed by soil and plant species to be the main factors (Tkacz et al. 2020). Similar
- importance of fractions, soil type, developmental stage and genotype was also observed for 524
- 525 rice grown in the United States (Edwards et al. 2015, Edwards et al. 2018). This indicates that
- 526 the plant presence itself influences the surrounding microbiota, while its exact profile is
- 527 influenced by other factors as soil type.
- 528 We can also conclude that soil type is more important for loose soil and rhizosphere fractions,
- 529 while plant fractions as rhizoplane and root endosphere are greatly affected by the plant
- 530 developmental stage and genotype. (Fig. S3B). All our statistical analyses indicate that the
- 531 plants exert a gradient of influence, greatest towards root and decreasing towards surrounding
- 532 soil (Fig. 1B).
- 533 Irrespective of sample's geographical origin (India or UK), plant species or soil type,
- 534 rhizoplane and root endosphere is colonised by Alphaproteobacteria and Bacteroidetes (Fig.
- 2A, Fig. S6 and Fig. S8). Proteobacteria, and especially their Alpha class are common root 535
- 536 colonisers found across multiple soils and plant species as Arabidopsis (Bulgarelli et al.
- 537 2012), Lotus (Zgadzaj et al. 2016), barley (Garrido-Oter et al. 2018) and rice (Edwards et al.
- 538 2015). Alphaproteobacteria harbours bacterial taxa that are likely to be quick in metabolising
- 539 plant-derived nutrients (Reinhold-Hurek et al. 2015), and many of them may have genomic
- 540 traits similar to plant symbionts (Pini et al. 2011).
- 541 Bacteroidetes among Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were found to contain
- 542 many genetic adaptations to interact with plant host (Levy et al. 2018). However, their
- 543 abundance is also correlated with pathogen presence. Cytophaga spp. and Flavobacterium
- 544 spp. reduce pathogen load inside the infected plant roots by antibiotic production (Carrión et
- 545 al. 2019). While we have not tested any Bacteroidetes isolates for antifungal properties, we
- 546 found three pigeon pea varieties to have an increased abundance of these genera in various soil types.
- 547
- 548
- 549 The main root-inhabiting genera were Rhizobium, Pseudoxanthomonas and Sphingopyxis for
- 550 vegetative stage plants, suggesting that these genera are especially attracted by young plants
- 551 possibly by an active plant secretion. Some plant genotypes, when grown in a specific soil,
- 552 also had a high abundance of *Bradyrhizobium* suggesting an active endosymbiosis.
- 553 Vegetative plants were associated with Sphingopyxis root colonisation, which was replaced
- 554 by Brachymonas in the flowering stage (Fig. 2C).
- 555 Sphingopyxis and Brachymonas genera are rarely found in plant microbiomes. A
- Sphingopyxis isolate was found to be an inconsistent root coloniser in competition with a 556
- 557 synthetic community (Voges et al. 2019). However, in our case, this genus was consistently
- associated with roots, irrespectively of the soil type or plant genotype. Conversely, we 558
- 559 consider Brachymonas to be an opportunistic root coloniser of older plants that no longer
- 560 invest resources in interaction with its microbiota (Mougel et al. 2006). In general, young
- plants strongly associate with only a part of surrounding microbiota, as the bacterial diversity 561
- 562 is lower on and inside the root comparing to the surrounding soil. However, over time with
- 563 the flowering stage, the plant loses its selective pressure allowing various other bacteria to
- 564 colonise the roots (Fig. 1D).

- 565
- 566 We confirmed elevated *Bradyrhizobium* presence for selected genotypes grown in selected
- 567 soil types (i.e., Asha in Inceptisol and Durga in Vertisol Fig. 3 B and C), however, contrary
- to our expectations, *Bradyrhizobium* presence was generally low in the root fraction, while
- they can be a dominant genus in the surrounding soil. This genus contains free-living, non-
- 570 symbiotic strains, which can dominate soil *Bradyrhizobium* community in forest soils
- 571 (Vaninsberghe et al. 2015) and were also found in agricultural soils (Jones et al. 2016). Here
- 572 we have not established what proportion of soil *Bradyrhizobium* contain symbiotic properties,
- 573 a question worth investigating in the future.
- 574 *Rhizobium* was the most abundant root colonising species in our metagenomic assay (Fig.
- 575 3A) and we confirmed its dominance over *Bradyrhizobium* with isolation studies from native
- 576 Indian soils (Fig. 2F). While these *Rhizobium* isolates lack *nod* and *nif* genes and are unable
- 577 to nodulate pigeon pea, they can outcompete *Bradyrhizobium* in native soils and in
- 578 gnotobiotic conditions. A similar effect of *Bradyrhizobium* being outcompeted was observed
- 579 for soybean seedlings containing natural seed epiphytes (Oehrle et al. 2000).
- 580 Moreover, the case of pigeon pea is not alone as roots of soybean, the *Bradyrhizobium* host
- 581 plant, were found to be colonised with a microbial community where this symbiont
- 582 contributes to only ~1% of the population (Liu et al. 2019, Rascovan et al. 2016). Such low
- abundance are in contrast with pea plants with ~10-20%, *Medicago* with ~10-60% or *Lotus*
- 584 with ~10% root presence of their respective symbiotic genus i.e., *Rhizobium*, *Ensifer* or
- 585 *Mesorhizobium*, respectively (Brown et al. 2020, Cordero et al. 2020, Horner et al. 2019,
- 586 Tkacz et al. 2020, Zgadzaj et al. 2016).
- 587

588 Hence, we conclude that *Bradyrhizobium*, while being abundant in Indian soils, is either a 589 poor root coloniser of its host plant under competition from other soil-dwelling bacteria or 590 contain many non-symbiotic strains. Despite that, plants can still be nodulated. We speculate 591 that symbiotic *Bradyrhizobium* colonises the emerging root hairs directly from the soil, where 592 its number is high rather than actively colonising the root and moving towards the emerging 593 nodule regions. This feature may explain poor pigeon pea nodulation in native soils where 594 either their soil population is low or are outcompeted in root colonisation. Hence, any 595 selection for pigeon pea inoculants should not only be based on their N₂-fixing potential, but also on their competitiveness against other root-colonising bacteria. 596

597 598

599 Availability of data and materials

- 600 16S rRNA gene sequencing data and associated metadata were deposited to EBI SRA
- 601 repository under accession code PRJEB39218. Genome data is stored in GenBank database
- as Bioproject PRJNA693523. Detailed documentation of the bioinformatic pipeline and data
- analysis output used for figure preparation and statistical analysis can be found in Table S1.
- 604 605

606 Abbreviations

607 ANOSIM: Analysis of similarities

- 608 MKK: Mannem Konda Kandi
- 609 **PCA:** Principal component analysis
- 610 **PCoA:** Principal coordinate analysis
- 611 **PERMANOVA:** Permutational multivariate analysis of variance
- 612 **SIMPER:** Similarity percentage analysis
- 613 **zOTU:** zero-radius operational taxonomic unit
- 614
- 615

616 **Contributions**

- AT, PP and ARP conceived and planned the study. AT, DC, AB and SVSRNP designed the
- 618 study and conducted the experiments, AT, BJ and ALN analysed the data, AT, AB, DC,
- 619 SVSRNP, BJ and PP drafted the manuscript. All authors have read, critically revised and
- approved the final version of the manuscript.
- 621
- 622

623 Funding

- This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Counil
- 625 [grant number BB/N013387/1]. This work was financially supported by the Department of
- 626 Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India (GoI) under project No: BT/IN/UK-
- 627 VNC/41/DLN/2015-16 and Department of Science and Technology (DST), GoI under project
- No: EMR/2017/002658, granted to ARP. The funders had no role in study design, data
- 629 collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
- 630 631

632 Acknowledgements

- The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. DLN Rao, ICAR-Emeritus Scientist, Indian Institute
 of Soil Science, Bhopal, India, for his critical inputs in the study. The authors acknowledge
- 635 DST, GoI for J.C. Bose Fellowship to ARP [JCB/2017/000053] and University Grants
- 636 Commission (UGC) for research fellowships to AB and DC. ARP, DC, AB and SVSRNP
- 637 acknowledge DST Funds for Infrastructure in Science and Technology (DST-FIST), Level II
- and UGC Special Assistance Programme (UGC-SAP) support to the Department of Plant
- 639 Sciences at University of Hyderabad. DC would like to thank Department of Biotechnology
- 640 (DBT), GoI, for Newton Bhabha Ph.D. Placement Award [BT/IN/NBPP/CD/28/2018-19].
- 641 DC would like to thank Dr. David Hughes, Computational and Analytical Sciences,
- 642 Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK, for technical support.
- 643

644

645 **Ethical declarations**

- 646 Ethics approval and consent to participate
- 647 Not applicable.
- 648

649 **Competing interests**

650 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

- 651
- 652

653 **References**

- Allaway, D., E. M. Lodwig, L. A. Crompton, M. Wood, R. Parsons, T. R. Wheeler and P. S.
- 655 Poole (2000). "Identification of alanine dehydrogenase and its role in mixed secretion of
- ammonium and alanine by pea bacteroids." <u>Mol Microbiol</u> **36**(2): 508-515.
- Arora, N. K., E. Khare, S. Singh and S. Tewari (2018). "Phenetic, genetic diversity and
- 658 symbiotic compatibility of rhizobial strains nodulating pigeon pea in Northern India." <u>3</u> 659 <u>Biotech</u> $\mathbf{8}(1)$: 52.
- 660 Bankevich, A., S. Nurk, D. Antipov, A. A. Gurevich, M. Dvorkin, A. S. Kulikov, V. M.
- 661 Lesin, S. I. Nikolenko, S. Pham, A. D. Prjibelski, A. V. Pyshkin, A. V. Sirotkin, N. Vyahhi,
- G. Tesler, M. A. Alekseyev and P. A. Pevzner (2012). "SPAdes: a new genome assembly
- algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing." <u>J Comput Biol</u> **19**(5): 455-477.
- Bind, M. and S. Nema (2019). "Isolation and Molecular Characterization of Endophytic
- 665 Bacteria from Pigeon Pea along with Antimicrobial Evaluation against *Fusarium udum*."
- Brown, S. P., M. A. Grillo, J. C. Podowski and K. D. Heath (2020). "Soil origin and plant
- 667 genotype structure distinct microbiome compartments in the model legume *Medicago*
- 668 *truncatula*." <u>Microbiome</u> **8**(1): 139.
- 669 Bulgarelli, D., M. Rott, K. Schlaeppi, E. Ver Loren van Themaat, N. Ahmadinejad, F.
- 670 Assenza, P. Rauf, B. Huettel, R. Reinhardt, E. Schmelzer, J. Peplies, F. O. Gloeckner, R.
- 671 Amann, T. Eickhorst and P. Schulze-Lefert (2012). "Revealing structure and assembly cues
- 672 for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota." <u>Nature</u> **488**(7409): 91-95.
- 673 Caporaso, J. G., C. L. Lauber, W. A. Walters, D. Berg-Lyons, C. A. Lozupone, P. J.
- Turnbaugh, N. Fierer and R. Knight (2011). "Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a
- 675 depth of millions of sequences per sample." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **108**(Supplement 1):
- 676 4516-4522.
- 677 Carrión, V. J., J. Perez-Jaramillo, V. Cordovez, V. Tracanna, M. de Hollander, D. Ruiz-Buck,
- L. W. Mendes, W. F. J. van Ijcken, R. Gomez-Exposito, S. S. Elsayed, P. Mohanraju, A.
- Arifah, J. van der Oost, J. N. Paulson, R. Mendes, G. P. van Wezel, M. H. Medema and J. M.
- Raaijmakers (2019). "Pathogen-induced activation of disease-suppressive functions in the
- 681 endophytic root microbiome." <u>Science</u> **366**(6465): 606-612.
- 682 Chaparro, J. M., D. V. Badri and J. M. Vivanco (2014). "Rhizosphere microbiome
- assemblage is affected by plant development." <u>ISME J</u> 8(4): 790-803.
- 684 Cordero, J., J. R. d. Freitas and J. J. Germida (2020). "Bacterial microbiome associated with
- the rhizosphere and root interior of crops in Saskatchewan, Canada." <u>Can J Microbiol</u> 66(1):
 71-85.
- 687 Dubey, R. C., D. K. Maheshwari, H. Kumar and K. Choure (2010). "Assessment of diversity
- and plant growth promoting attributes of rhizobia isolated from *Cajanus cajan* L." <u>Afr J</u>
 <u>Biotech</u> 9(50): 8619-8629.
- 690 Edgar, R. C. (2016). "UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS
- 691 amplicon sequencing." <u>bioRxiv</u>: 081257.
- 692 Edwards, J., C. Johnson, C. Santos-Medellín, E. Lurie, N. K. Podishetty, S. Bhatnagar, J. A.
- Eisen and V. Sundaresan (2015). "Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated
 microbiomes of rice." PNAS 112(8): E911-E920.
- 695 Edwards, J. A., C. M. Santos-Medellín, Z. S. Liechty, B. Nguyen, E. Lurie, S. Eason, G.
- 696 Phillips and V. Sundaresan (2018). "Compositional shifts in root-associated bacterial and
- archaeal microbiota track the plant life cycle in field-grown rice." <u>PLOS Biol</u> 16(2):
 e2003862.
- 699 FAOSTAT. (2019). "Online Agriculture Statistics." 2020, from <u>http://www.faostat.org</u>.

- 700 Fossou, R. K., D. Ziegler, A. Zeze, F. Barja and X. Perret (2016). "Two Major Clades of
- Bradyrhizobia Dominate Symbiotic Interactions with Pigeonpea in Fields of Cote d'Ivoire."
 <u>Front Microbiol</u> 7: 1793.
- Fuller, D. Q., C. Murphy, E. Kingwell-Banham, C. C. Castillo and S. Naik (2019). "Cajanus
- 704 cajan (L.) Millsp. origins and domestication: the South and Southeast Asian archaeobotanical
- 705 evidence." <u>Genet Res Crop Evol</u> **66**(6): 1175-1188.
- 706 Garrido-Oter, R., R. T. Nakano, N. Dombrowski, K. W. Ma, A. C. McHardy and P. Schulze-
- 707 Lefert (2018). "Modular Traits of the Rhizobiales Root Microbiota and Their Evolutionary
- Relationship with Symbiotic Rhizobia." <u>Cell Host Microbe</u> **24**(1): 155-167.e155.
- 709 Gosai, J., S. Anandhan, A. Bhattacharjee and G. Archana (2020). "Elucidation of quorum
- sensing components and their role in regulation of symbiotically important traits in *Ensifer* nodulating pigeon pea." <u>Microbiol Res</u> 231: 126354.
- 712 Hartman, K., M. G. van der Heijden, V. Roussely-Provent, J. C. Walser and K. Schlaeppi
- (2017). "Deciphering composition and function of the root microbiome of a legume plant."
 Microbiome 5(1): 2.
- 715 Horner, A., S. S. Browett and R. E. Antwis (2019). "Mixed-Cropping Between Field Pea
- 716 Varieties Alters Root Bacterial and Fungal Communities." <u>Sci Rep</u> 9(1): 16953.
- Jain, D., A. Kumari, H. Saheewala, S. Sanadhya, D. Maheshwari, R. H. Meena, A. Singh, R.
- 718 Gera and S. R. Mohanty (2020). "Biochemical, functional and molecular characterization of
- pigeon pea rhizobia isolated from semi-arid regions of India." <u>Arch Microbiol</u> 202(7): 18091816.
- Jones, F.P., I.M. Clark, R. King, L.J. Shaw, J. Woodward and P.R. Hirsh (2016). "Novel
- European free-living, non-diazotrophic Bradyrhizobium isolates from contrasting soils that
- 123 lack nodulation and nitrogen fixation genes a genome comparison." <u>Sci Rep 6</u>: 25858.
- Levy, A., I. Salas Gonzalez, M. Mittelviefhaus, S. Clingenpeel, S. Herrera Paredes, J. Miao,
- 725 K. Wang, G. Devescovi, K. Stillman, F. Monteiro, B. Rangel Alvarez, D. S. Lundberg, T.-Y.
- Lu, S. Lebeis, Z. Jin, M. McDonald, A. P. Klein, M. E. Feltcher, T. G. Rio, S. R. Grant, S. L.
- 727 Doty, R., E. Ley, B. Zhao, V. Venturi, D. A. Pelletier, J. A. Vorholt, S. G. Tringe, T. Woyke
- and J. L. Dangl (2018). "Genomic features of bacterial adaptation to plants." <u>Nat Genet</u> 50(1):
 138-150.
- Li, H. and R. Durbin (2009). "Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
 transform." <u>Bioinformatics</u> 25(14): 1754-1760.
- Tiu, F., T. Hewezi, S. L. Lebeis, V. Pantalone, P. S. Grewal and M. E. Staton (2019). "Soil
- indigenous microbiome and plant genotypes cooperatively modify soybean rhizosphere
 microbiome assembly." <u>BMC Microbiol</u> 19(1): 201.
- Liu, F., T. Hewezi, S. L. Lebeis, V. Pantalone, P. S. Grewal and M. E. Staton (2019). "Soil
- indigenous microbiome and plant genotypes cooperatively modify soybean rhizosphere
 microbiome assembly." <u>BMC Microbiol</u> 19(1): 201.
- 738 López-Baena, F. J., J. E. Ruiz-Sainz, M. A. Rodríguez-Carvajal and J. M. Vinardell (2016).
- "Bacterial Molecular Signals in the *Sinorhizobium fredii*-Soybean Symbiosis." <u>Int J Mol Sci</u> **17**(5): 755.
- 741 Lundberg, D. S., S. Yourstone, P. Mieczkowski, C. D. Jones and J. L. Dangl (2013).
- 742 "Practical innovations for high-throughput amplicon sequencing." <u>Nat Methods</u> 10(10): 999743 1002.
- 744 Mendes, L. W., E. E. Kuramae, A. A. Navarrete, J. A. van Veen and S. M. Tsai (2014).
- 745 "Taxonomical and functional microbial community selection in soybean rhizosphere." <u>ISME</u>
 746 <u>J</u> 8(8): 1577-1587.
- 747 Mendes, L. W., J. M. Raaijmakers, M. de Hollander, R. Mendes and S. M. Tsai (2018).
- 748 "Influence of resistance breeding in common bean on rhizosphere microbiome composition
- 749 and function." <u>ISME J</u> **12**(1): 212-224.

- 750 Miranda-Sanchez, F., J. Rivera and P. Vinuesa (2016). "Diversity patterns of Rhizobiaceae
- communities inhabiting soils, root surfaces and nodules reveal a strong selection of rhizobial
 partners by legumes." <u>Environ Microbiol</u> 18(8): 2375-2391.
- 753 Mougel, C., P. Offre, L. Ranjard, T. Corberand, E. Gamalero, C. Robin and P. Lemanceau
- 754 (2006). "Dynamic of the genetic structure of bacterial and fungal communities at different
- developmental stages of *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. cv. Jemalong line J5." <u>New Phytol</u> **170**(1): 165-175.
- 757 Murthy, A. S. P. (1988). Distribution, Properties, and Management of Vertisols of India. In:
- 758 Stewart B.A. (eds) Advances in Soil Science. vol 8. Springer, New York, NY.
- 759 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-8771-8_4</u>.
- 760 Nautiyal, C. S., S. V. Hegde and P. van Berkum (1988). "Nodulation, Nitrogen Fixation, and
- Hydrogen Oxidation by Pigeon Pea *Bradyrhizobium* spp. in Symbiotic Association with
 Pigeon Pea, Cowpea, and Soybean." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 54(1): 94-97.
- 763 Oehrle, N. W., D. B. Karr, R. J. Kremer and D. W. Emerich (2000). "Enhanced attachment of
- 764 *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* to soybean through reduced root colonization of internally
- 765 seedborne microorganisms." <u>Can J Microbiol</u> **46**(7): 600-606.
- 766 Perez-Jaramillo, J. E., V. J. Carrion, M. Bosse, L. F. V. Ferrao, M. de Hollander, A. A. F.
- Garcia, C. A. Ramirez, R. Mendes and J. M. Raaijmakers (2017). "Linking rhizosphere
- 768 microbiome composition of wild and domesticated *Phaseolus vulgaris* to genotypic and root
- 769 phenotypic traits." <u>ISME J</u> **11**(10): 2244-2257.
- Pini, F., M. Galardini, M. Bazzicalupo and A. Mengoni (2011). "Plant-bacteria association
- and symbiosis: are there common genomic traits in alphaproteobacteria?" <u>Genes (Basel)</u> 2(4):
 1017-1032.
- 773 Quast, C., E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz, J. Gerken, T. Schweer, P. Yarza, J. Peplies and F. O.
- 774 Glöckner (2013). "The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data
- processing and web-based tools." <u>Nucl Acids Res</u> **41**(Database issue): D590-D596.
- Rajendran, G., F. Sing, A. J. Desai and G. Archana (2008). "Enhanced growth and nodulation
- of pigeon pea by co-inoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Rhizobium* spp." <u>Bioresour Technol</u> **99**(11): 4544-4550.
- 779 Rascovan, N., B. Carbonetto, D. Perrig, M. Díaz, W. Canciani, M. Abalo, J. Alloati, G.
- González-Anta and M. P. Vazquez (2016). "Integrated analysis of root microbiomes of
 soybean and wheat from agricultural fields." Sci Rep 6: 28084.
- 782 Reinhold-Hurek, B., W. Bünger, C. S. Burbano, M. Sabale and T. Hurek (2015). "Roots
- 783 Shaping Their Microbiome: Global Hotspots for Microbial Activity." <u>Ann Rev Phytopathol</u>
- **53**(1): 403-424.
- Rupela, O. P. and C. Johansen (1995). "Identification of non-nodulating, and low and high
 nodulating plants in pigeonpea." Soil Biol Biochem 27(4): 539-544.
- Seemann, T. (2014). "Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation." <u>Bioinformatics</u> 30(14):
 2068-2069.
- 789 Semwal, D. P., S. P. Ahlawat and K. Pradheep (2018). "Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.)
- Millsp.] and its wild spp. germplasm collection status, diversity distribution and trait-specific germplasm mapping using GIS tools in India." Legum Res **41**(5): 656-662.
- 792 Singh, K., S. Dhull and R. Gera (2018). "Genetic Diversity of Diazotrophs Nodulating Pigeon
- 793 Pea in Arid and Semi-Arid Zones of Haryana, India." <u>Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci</u> 7(3):
- 794 3447-3467.
- Singh, R. P. (2013). Status paper on pulses. Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture &
- 796 Farmers Welfare, Directorate of Pulses Development.
- 797 Singha, B., P. B. Mazumder and P. Pandey (2018). "Characterization of Plant Growth
- 798 Promoting Rhizobia from Root Nodule of Two Legume Species Cultivated in Assam, India."
- 799 Proc Natl Acad Sci India B **88**(3): 1007-1016.

- 800 Tiwari, A. K. and A. K. Shivhare (2016). "Pulses in India: retrospect and prospects."
- 801 Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Directorate of Pulses
 802 Development.
- Tiwari, A. K. and A. K. Shivhare (2018). "Pulses in India: retrospect and prospects" 2018.
- 804 Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Directorate of Pulses805 Development.
- 806 Tkacz, A., E. Bestion, B. Zhiyan, M. Hortala and P. S. Poole (2020). "Influence of Plant
- Fraction, Soil, and Plant Species on Microbiota: a Multikingdom Comparison." <u>mBio</u> 11(1):
 e02785-02719.
- 809 Varshney, R. K., R. K. Saxena, H. D. Upadhyaya, A. W. Khan, Y. Yu, C. Kim, A. Rathore,
- 810 D. Kim, J. Kim, S. An, V. Kumar, G. Anuradha, K. N. Z. Yamini, W., S. Muniswamy, J.
- 811 Kim, R. V. Penmetsa, E. von Wettberg and S. K. Datta (2017). "Whole-genome resequencing
- of 292 pigeonpea accessions identifies genomic regions associated with domestication and
 agronomic traits." <u>Nat Genet 49(7)</u>: 1082-1088.
- 814 Vaninsberghe, D., K. R. Maas, E. Cardenas, C. R. Strachan, S. J. Hallam and W. M Mohn
- 815 (2015). "Non-symbiotic *Bradyrhizobium* ecotypes dominate North American forest soils."
- 816 <u>ISME J</u> **9**(11): 2435-2441.
- 817 Versalovic, J., M. Schneider, F. J. de Bruijn and L. J.R. (1994). "Genomic fingerprinting of
- bacteria using repetitive sequence-based polymerase chain reaction." <u>Methods Mol Cell Biol</u>
 5: 25-40.
- 820 Voges, M. J. E. E. E., Y. Bai, P. Schulze-Lefert and E. S. Sattely (2019). "Plant-derived
- coumarins shape the composition of an *Arabidopsis* synthetic root microbiome." <u>Proc Natl</u>
 <u>Acad Sci U S A</u> 116(25): 12558-12565.
- 823 Wood, D. E. and S. L. Salzberg (2014). "Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence
- 824 classification using exact alignments." <u>Genome Biol</u> **15**(3): R46.
- Xiao, X., W. Chen, L. Zong, J. Yang, S. Jiao, Y. Lin, E. Wang and G. Wei (2017). "Two
- cultivated legume plants reveal the enrichment process of the microbiome in the
 rhizocompartments." <u>Mol Ecol</u> 26(6): 1641-1651.
- 828 Zgadzaj, R., R. Garrido-Oter, D. B. Jensen, A. Koprivova, P. Schulze-Lefert and S. Radutoiu
- 829 (2016). "Root nodule symbiosis in *Lotus japonicus* drives the establishment of distinctive
- rhizosphere, root, and nodule bacterial communities." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> 113(49):
 E7996-E8005.
- 831 E795
- 032
- 833
- 834 Figures



Fig. 1 Community structure and diversity of pigeon pea associated microbiota. A) Influence of different factors on microbiota using PERMANOVA *pseudo-F* value as a proxy, B) PCoA plot representing pigeon pea microbiota and shown with the visual separation fractions, C) PCoA plot representing pigeon pea microbiota and British soils-grown *Arabidopsis thaliana*, wheat, *Medicago truncatula* and pea, D) Shannon entropy shown for each fraction. The outcome of *t*test for bulk soil against each fraction with Bonferroni correction is indicated above the bar plots; * *p* <0.05, ****p* <0.001. For panels A, B and D, *n* = 449, while for panel C, *n* = 713.



Fig. 2 Taxonomic profile of pigeon pea microbiota at A) phylum, B) family, and C) genus
level. Top seven phyla, top four families and top five genera are shown as a percentage of the
total community. D) potential beneficial genera belonging to Bacteroidetes phylum and E) a
detailed profile of the Rhizobiales community in relation to the whole microbiota. F)
Taxonomic profile of the bacterial isolates from roots of pigeon pea grown in native soils



Fig 3. *Rhizobium* spp., *Bradyrhizobium* spp. and other Rhizobiales community taxonomic
metagenomic profile associated with pigeon pea plants, A) for all fractions, B) for vegetative
stage endosphere showing all biological replicates, C) for flowering stage endosphere
showing all biological replicates and D) PERMANOVA output for the relative strength of
Rhizobiales on the endosphere community with the separation for the soil type, plant
genotype and developmental stage influence (P <0.001 for all comparisons). Soils
abbreviated as A – Alfisol, I – Inceptisol, V – Vertisol.

862

863 Supplementary figures



Fig S1: Left: Map of India showing GPS location of pigeon pea sampling sites at

- Rompicharla (Andhra Pradesh), Athner (Madhya Pradesh) and Sitamarhi (Uttar Pradesh).
 Map drawn with ArcGIS software.
- 868 Right: Photographs depicting the texture and size of seeds of the pigeon pea cultivars Asha
- 869 (ICPL 87119), Mannem Konda Kandi or MKK (ICPH 2740) and Durga (ICPL 84031).



Fig. S2. Soil types used in the study. Top panel (L to R): Inceptisol, Vertisol and Alfisol
samples for soil physicochemical characterisation. Bottom panel (L to R): Pigeon pea fields in
Mirzapur (Uttar Pradesh), Betul (Madhya Pradesh), and Rompicharla (Andhra Pradesh),
having Inceptisol, Vertisol and Alfisol, respectively. Soil samples were collected from the
fields pictured above.



882

Fig. S3 PERMANOVA output measuring the influence of factors on microbiota using *pseudo-F* value as a proxy. A) All pigeon pea data, B) Pigeon pea data split by each fraction,
C) Pigeon pea data split by soil, D) Pigeon pea data split by genotype, E) Pigeon pea data

split by developmental stage, F) A comparison of Indian pigeon pea samples and Britishplants at different prokaryotic taxonomic units.

888



Fig. S4. PCoA plots representing pigeon pea microbiota and shown with the visual separation

by A) fractions, B) soil type, C) plant genotype and D) plant developmental stage, n = 449.

893



896Fig. S5. PCoA plots representing pigeon pea microbial community structure at phyla, family897and genus taxonomical level data using fraction, soil type, genotype and developmental stage.898The same colours are used as in Fig. S4. For each panel the number in the bottom right899indicates PERMANOVA pseudo-F value for a given factor sample separation. All900comparisons p < 0.01. n = 449 samples.





Fig. S6. Microbial community structure visualised with PCoA plots at different taxonomic levels; A) zOTU level, B) genus level, C) family level and D) phyla level, of Indian pigeon pea and British Arabidopsis thaliana, bread wheat, Medicago truncatula and Pisum sativum. E) PCA plot with rhizoplane and root endosphere samples showing six dominant phyla abundance shaping the community (Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma- stand for Proteobacteria classes. F) as E) with Rhizobium and Bradyrhizobium species in-silico removed. For PCoA plots n = 713, for PCA plot n = 303.



Fig. S7. Shannon entropy associated with each fraction (indicated by colour) and presentedfor each soil; from left Alfisol, Inceptisol and Vertisol and each genotype inside the soil

for each soil; from left Alfisol, Inceptisol and Vertisol and each genotype inside the soil
cluster; from left to right hand side: Asha, Durga and MKK. The outcome of *t*-test for initial

soil against each fraction with Bonferroni correction is indicated above the bar plots; * p

921 <0.05, ****p* <0.001.

922

916







- 926 developmental stage, fraction, soil and genotype. Soils abbreviated as A Alfisol, I -
- 927 Inceptisol, V Vertisol and each genotype inside the soil cluster; from left to right: Asha,
- 928 Durga and MKK.
- 929





Fig S9. Volcano plots for the microbial community during plant's vegetative stage. Each genus is presented as a dot where X-axis indicates the fold difference between the bulk soil and the respective fraction (in logarithmic scale) and Y-axis indicates the significance according to *t*test *p*-value corrected with false rate discovery and presented as -log scale (-log(0.05) = 1.301 and presented as a dotted grey line on plots). Selected genera are annotated.





Fig S10. Volcano plots for the microbial community during plant's flowering stage. Each genus is presented as a dot where X-axis indicates the fold difference between the initial and the respective fraction (in logarithmic scale) and Y-axis indicates the significance according to *t*-test *p*-value corrected with false rate discovery and presented as -log scale (-log(0.05) = 1.301 and presented as a dotted grey line on plots). Selected genera are annotated.