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Summary

Oilseed rape (Brassica napus) is the third largest source of vegetable oil globally. In addition to food uses, there are industrial applications that exploit the ability of the species to accumulate the very-long-chain fatty acid (VLFA) erucic acid in its seed oil, controlled by orthologues of FATTY ACID ELONGASE 1 (Bna.FAE1.A8 and Bna.FAE1.C3). The proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) in rapeseed oil is predicted to affect its thermal stability and is controlled by orthologues of FATTY ACID DESATURASE 2, particularly Bna.FAD2.C5. Our aim was to develop rapeseed lines combining high erucic and low PUFAs to assess the impact on thermal stability of the oil they produce. The new type of rapeseed oil (high erucic low polyunsaturated; HELP) contained a substantially greater proportion of erucic acid (54%) compared with high erucic rapeseed oil (46%). Although the total VLCFA content was greater in oil from HELP lines (64%) than from high erucic rapeseed (57%), analysis of triacylglycerol composition showed negligible incorporation of VLCFAs into the sn-2 position. Rancimat analysis showed that the thermal stability of rapeseed oil was improved greatly as a consequence of reduction of PUFAs content, from 3.8 and 4.2 h in conventional low erucic and high erucic rapeseed oils, respectively, to 11.3 and 16.4 h in high oleic low PUFa (HOLP) and HELP oils, respectively. Our results demonstrate that engineering of the lipid biosynthetic pathway of rapeseed, using traditional approaches, enables the production of renewable industrial oils with novel composition and properties.
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Introduction

Oilseed rape (OSR) is the world’s third largest source of vegetable oil, after palm and soybean (FAO, 2018). It is a crop type of Brassica napus, which is a polyploid species (AACC, 2n = 38) formed by the spontaneous hybridization of B. rapa (AA, 2n = 20) and B. oleracea (CC, 2n = 18) (Nagaharu, 1935; Palmer et al., 1983; Parkin et al., 1995). Brassica is the crop genus most closely related to the widely used model plant species Arabidopsis thaliana (Yang et al., 1999), in which the lipid biosynthesis pathways leading to the complex suite of triacylglycerol (TAG) species found in storage oil have been extensively characterized (Li-Beisson et al., 2013). An overview of key components of the pathway that are responsible for fatty acid synthesis and modification is provided in Figure 1. Rapeseed oil is an edible oil, but due to the presence of very-long-chain fatty acids (VLCFAs, carbon chain length ≥20), particularly erucic acid, it also has a wide range of industrial applications (Röbbelen, 1991; Zanetti et al., 2012). Feeding studies involving rats raised false concerns about adverse health effects of erucic acid (Beare et al., 1959; Charlton et al., 1975; Thomasson and Boldingh, 1955; de Wildt and Speijers, 1984), resulting in the breeding of low erucic acid rapeseed (LEAR) varieties for human consumption (Stefansson et al., 1961). High erucic acid rapeseed (HEAR) cultivars contain >45% erucic acid in their oil and are used as a ‘green feedstock’ for the oleochemical industry (Knutsen et al., 2016; Meakin, 2007). Erucic acid containing oils have high degree of lubricity and substantivity (ability to cling to the surfaces) than other oils (Piazza and Foglia, 2001). Erucic acid is derived into products such as erucamide, behenyl alcohol, brassicic acid, pelargonic acid, behenic acid, brassinolide and erucyl erucate (Caballero, 2006; Carlson et al., 1977; Molnar, 1974; Neischlak et al., 1967), which are used in the production of a wide range of industrial products, such as lubricants, slip additives, biofuels, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, jet fuels, plasticizers, soaps, detergents, surfactants, textiles, recording material, rubber, nylon production and many more (Chang et al., 2013; Getachew et al., 2016; lakoviieva et al., 2017; Johnson and Fritz, 1989; Neischal et al., 1967; Nieschlag and Wolff, 1971; Pennick et al., 2012; Piazza and Foglia, 2001; Van Dyne and Blasé, 1991; Zanetti et al., 2012). Although other species have been evaluated for the production of erucic acid, the main source remains OSR (Hebard, 2016; Lalas et al., 2012; Sanjal et al., 2015).

Studies in A. thaliana have shown the β-ketoacyl-CoA synthase encoded at the FATTY ACID ELONGASE1 (FAE1) locus to be rate limiting for the conversion of oleic acid (C18:1) to VLCFAs, and its orthologues have the same function in B. napus (Cassagne et al.,...
Further elaboration of acyl chains is catalysed by microsomal enzymes that either extend the acyl chains, for example to produce the very-long-chain fatty acids (VLCFAs) C20:1 and C22:1, or increase the extent of desaturation, that is the number bonds, for example to produce the polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) C18:2 and C18:3.

Figure 1 A simplified overview of the fatty acid biosynthesis pathway in A. thaliana seeds. In the Brassicaceae, the predominant product of fatty acid biosynthesis in the plastid is oleic acid (denoted C18:1 to indicate an acyl chain comprising 18 carbon atoms and containing 1 double bond). Further elaboration of acyl chains is catalysed by microsomal enzymes that either extend the acyl chains, for example to produce the very-long-chain fatty acids (VLCFAs) C20:1 and C22:1, or increase the extent of desaturation, that is the number bonds, for example to produce the polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) C18:2 and C18:3.

1987, 1994; James and Dooner, 1990; James et al., 1996; Kunst et al., 1992; Lemieux et al., 1990; Millar and Kunst, 1997; Roscoe et al., 2001; Stumpf and Pollard, 1983; Sunjea et al., 1991). The same enzyme is required for two elongation steps: oleic to eicosenoic (C20:1) and eicosenoic to erucic acid (C22:1) (Kondra and Stefansson, 1965). B. napus has two orthologues of FAE1: Bna.FAE1.A8 and Bna.FAE1.C3. They act in an additive manner, with both being functional in HEAR varieties and nonfunctional in LEAR varieties (Ecke and Breeding, 1995; Harvey and Downey, 1964; Howell et al., 1996; Jourdren et al., 1996; Qiu et al., 2006; Thormann et al., 1996). The proportion of VLCFAs that can be accumulated in storage oil in B. napus is limited by the poor affinity of its native lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAAT) for acyl groups with more than 18 carbon atoms, meaning that they are not incorporated into the sn-2 position of the glycerol backbone during TAG biosynthesis (Frentzen, 1993; Katavic et al., 2001; Lassner et al., 1996). This results in a hypothetical limit of 66.7% VLCFAs. Up to 72% erucic acid has been achieved in B. napus by using a LPAAT transgene from Limnanthes douglasii to enable incorporation into the sn-2 position (Nath, 2008; Nath et al., 2007).

Studies in A. thaliana have shown the oleate desaturase encoded at the FATTY ACID DESATURASE 2 (FAD2) locus to control the conversion of oleic acid (C18:1) to linoleic acid (C18:2) PUFAs (Miquel and Browne, 1992; Okuley et al., 1994). B. napus has four orthologues of FAD2: Bna.FAD2.A1, Bna.FAD2.A5, Bna.FAD2.C1 and Bna.FAD2.C5, corresponding to BnaA.FAD2.b, BnaA.FAD2.a, BnaC.FAD2.b and BnaC.FAD2.a, respectively, in previous studies (Hu et al., 2006; Scheffler et al., 1997; Schierholt et al., 2000; Smooker et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2012). A recent study (Wells et al., 2014) showed that, in rapeseed variety Cabriole, only Bna.FAD2.C5 is functional. Thus, Bna.FAD2.C5 was targeted for chemical mutagenesis, resulting in population JbnaCAB_E, from which an allelic series of mutations of this gene was characterized. Several mutant lines produced seeds containing greatly reduced content of PUFAs, which was the aim of the study as polyunsaturation is anticipated to confer thermal instability (Browse et al., 1998; Durrett et al., 2008). However, this and a subsequent study (Bai et al., 2019) stopped short of confirming the predicted impact on thermal stability of rapeseed oil. An improvement in thermal stability improves the shelf life of edible oils (Kinney and Clemente, 2005) but would be transformative for industrial applications where greater thermal stability is required, such as feedstock for lubricants and hydraulic fluids, whilst minimizing environmental impact by retaining biodegradability (which mineral oil lacks).

In this study, we aimed primarily to characterize the impact on seed oil fatty acid composition of combining the low PUFA trait with the high erucic acid trait and thereby improving our understanding of the factors that limit erucic acid content. Having developed such rapeseed lines, we could address our secondary aim of assessing the impact of reducing PUFAs on the thermal stability of oil. Importantly, we used a strategy for development of the material that used genomics to accelerate ‘traditional’ mutation breeding, resulting in cultivars that are not considered genetically modified (GM) organisms.

Results

HELP development

We combined low PUFA and high erucic acid seed oil traits by crossing the low PUFA donors K0472 and K0047 (which had been generated by chemical mutagenesis of the low erucic acid variety Cabriole as reported by Wells et al. (2014)) onto the current high erucic acid rapeseed cultivar Maplus. In F1 progeny (successful crosses assessed by Bna.FAD2 genotyping), two lines were randomly selected from each cross-combination, grown and self-pollinated to produce F2 progeny. Marker-assisted selection was used in F2 generation to identify plants inheriting appropriate alleles at the two loci in this cross conferring the low PUFA trait (the inactive allele Bna.fad2.A5 and mutated versions of Bna.FAD2.C5 denoted either Bna.fad2.C5K0472 or Bna.fad2.C5K0047, depending on donor) along with the two alleles conferring the high erucic acid trait (Bna.FAE1.A8 and Bna.-FAE1.C3). PCR primers and allele sequences are shown in Table S1, and sequence alignments of the amplicons of F2 plants are shown in Appendix S1. We had been unable to amplify the third orthologue of FAD2 reported previously as being required in inactive form for manifestation of the low PUFA trait (Bna.-fad2.C1), and all individuals selected as inheriting low PUFA alleles Bna.fad2.A5 and Bna.fad2.C5 had inherited the low PUFA trait. From this, we deduce that variety Maplus already has the inactive (deleted) allele Bna.fad2.C1 as well as the inactive allele Bna.fad2.A1 that appears common in European rapeseed (Wells et al., 2014). Out of 192 F2 plants, one HELP plant ‘2-91’ from cross Maplus x K0472 (K0472-HE) and another HELP plant ‘4-87’ from cross Maplus x K0047 (K0047-HE) were selected. These were self-pollinated up to F5 progeny, and the seeds were collected for fatty acid analysis. The summary of the development of HELP lines is shown in Figure S1.
Seed fatty acid composition of HELP lines

Fatty acid profile was analysed from F3 seeds of two HELP lines, 2-91 (K0472-HE) and 4-87 (K0047-HE), and high erucic acid control, Maplus as shown in Table 1. In comparison with Maplus, both HELP lines showed a slight increase in the very-long-chain fatty acids (sum of C20:1, C22:1 and C24:1) but showed a large decrease in the polyunsaturates (C18:2 and C18:3) percentages. As there were no biological replicates available for both HELP lines, F3 progeny was multiplied to F4 generation to assess the stability of fatty acid composition.

Fatty acids were analysed for F3 seeds from 10 individual plants from each of the crosses, Maplus x K0472 and Maplus x K0047 (designated HELP lines K0472-HE and K0047-HE, respectively), along with parental lines as controls. The results are summarized in Table 2, and detailed fatty acid profiles for individual plants are provided in Appendix S2. Compared with Maplus, both HELP lines showed large increases in content of the main VLCFAs eicosenoic (C20:1), erucic (C22:1) and nervonic acid (C24:1) as shown in Figure 2. These increased from 55.7% of total fatty acids in Maplus to 63.3% in each of K0472-HE and K0047-HE HELP lines. Erucic acid increased from 46.1% in Maplus to 53.7% in K0047-HE and 54.4% in K0472-HE. One-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) was calculated on five Maplus to 53.7% in K0047-HE and 54.4% in K0472-HE. One-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) was calculated on five genotypes (having 10 replicates each)—Maplus, K0472, K0047, K0472-HE and K0047-HE. The P-values for each of VLCFAs, PUFAs, OA and SAFAs were found to be <2 × 10−16 (highly significant), and thus, these fatty acid groups differ significantly from each other. To know the difference in the individual genotypes, post hoc Tukey’s test was performed. The P-values for the genotype groups, Maplus-K0047 and Maplus-K0472 were found to be highly significant. Thus, HELP lines differ significantly from HEAR, Maplus for these fatty acid groups. The relative proportions of the two most abundant VLCFAs (C20:1 and C22:1) varied between the individual plants representing the HELP lines and showed a strong inverse correlation (R2 = 0.795), as shown in Figure S2. It shows that in some instances, the elongation from oleic acid (C18:1) goes up to eicosenoic acid and is not elongated to erucic acid, but the overall VLCFA composition remains constant.

In addition to the anticipated increase in the content of VLCFAs, the introduction of the low PUFA trait affected the content of other fatty acids as shown in Figure 2. As expected, compared with Maplus, both HELP lines showed large decreases in content of the main PUFA linoleic (C18:2) and linolenic acid (C18:3). These decreased from 24.9% of total fatty acids in Maplus to 5.4% in K0472-HE and 7.4% in K0047-HE. The proportion of saturated fatty acids (SAFAs) also reduced, with the total of all SAFAs reducing from 6.5% in Maplus to 4.1% in K0472-HE and 4.2% in K0047-HE. Both PUFAs and SAFAs are lower in the HELP lines than they are in the low erucic acid donors of the PUFA trait (6.6% and 7.0% SAFAs in K0472 and K0047, respectively; 7.0% and 8.9% PUFAs in K0472 and K0047, respectively). In order to assess the stability of the HELP profile in further generations, 169 F2 plants were grown on, and selfed seed (F3 generation) was collected and analysed for fatty acid composition. The detailed fatty acid profiles for individual plants are provided in Appendix S3. These showed that the HELP profile is stably inherited. The relative proportions of erucic and eicosenoic acid were analysed. As illustrated in Figure S3, this showed correlation between the F3 and F4 generations. This suggests a
Seed fatty acid composition (% by weight, mean ± SD) of HELP F4 progeny and parental controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Palmitic</th>
<th>Palmitoleic</th>
<th>Stearic</th>
<th>Oleic</th>
<th>Linoleic</th>
<th>Arachidic</th>
<th>Eicosenoic</th>
<th>Behenic</th>
<th>Lignoceric</th>
<th>Line C24:1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K0472</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0472-HE</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0047-HE</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maplus</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0472-HE (F2 2-91-1 to 10)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0047-HE (4-87-1 to 10)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maplus</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0472-HE (F2 2-91-1 to 10)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0047-HE (4-87-1 to 10)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maplus</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0472-HE (F2 2-91-1 to 10)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0047-HE (4-87-1 to 10)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each fatty acid value is the mean of 10 biological replicates.

Discussion

Despite the opportunities for exploiting high erucic rapeseed oil as a renewable feedstock, growing demand from the oleochemical industry and its widespread cultivation, there has been relatively little progress increasing the proportion of erucic acid in oil produced commercially (Iakovlieva et al., 2017; Johnson and Fritz, 1989; Knutzen et al., 2016; Meakin, 2007; Nieschlag and Wolff, 1971; Röbbelen, 1991; Zanetti et al., 2012). Transgenic approaches have enabled exploration of one limitation in the accumulation of erucic acid in storage lipid, that imposed by the Brassica LPAAT enzyme, which cannot incorporate VLCFAs at the sn-2 position of TAG (Bernerth and Frentzen, 1990; Brockerhoff, 1971; Cao et al., 1990; Frentzen, 1993; Katavic et al., 2001; Nath, 2008; Nath et al., 2007; Nath et al., 2009; Sasonko and Möllers, 2005). The analysis we undertook of FA composition at TAG sn-2 positions (Table S2) confirmed expectations that even in the presence of additional substrate, VLCFAs are not incorporated at this position. Our studies do, however, provides insights into limitations imposed by the availability of monounsaturated 18-carbon moieties for elongation to VLCFAs. Recapitulation of the combination of Bna.fad2 mutations shown previously to greatly restrict the conversion of oleic acid to PUFAs.

Pattern of very-long-chain fatty acid incorporation into triacylglycerol

The increased proportion of VLCFAs in the HELP lines (63.3%) approaches the hypothetical limit for TAG in Brassica species (66.7%) imposed by the inability of Brassica LPAAT to incorporate such moieties at the sn-2 position of the TAG molecule. We therefore tested whether, given the large proportion of VLCFAs that are synthesized and accumulated, incorporation had taken place at this position. Total lipids were extracted from HELP (K0472-HE, F2 seeds) and its progenitors (Maplus and K0472) and TAGs analysed for fatty acids incorporated at the sn-2 position (van Erp et al., 2010). The results, illustrated in Table S2, show negligible incorporation at the sn-2 position, meaning that VLCFA occupation at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions can be calculated as ~95% (i.e. 63.3% observed of the 66.7% available).

Thermal stability of low polyunsaturated rapeseed oils

The reduced PUFA content of HELP rapeseed oil is predicted to improve thermal stability. To test this, we produced oil from field-grown low PUFA lines K0472-HE and K0472. The crude oil was subjected to Rancimat stability testing (Läubli et al., 1988), along with field-grown conventional high and low erucic rapeseed oils (HEAR variety Maplus and LEAR variety Nikita, respectively). The fatty acid compositions of the crude oils are shown in Table S3, and the results of the stability test are shown in Table 3. As predicted, thermal stability improved greatly with the reduction in PUFA content. The stability of low erucic oil (variety Nikita) improved from 3.8 h for conventional LEAR rapeseed oil to 11.3 h for HOLP rapeseed oil. The stability of high erucic oil (variety Maplus) improved from 4.2 h for conventional HEAR to 16.4 h for HELP. The oxidative capacity of the oil types was assessed (shown in Table S4) and was not correlated with thermal stability.

Pattern of very-long-chain fatty acid incorporation into triacylglycerol

The increased proportion of VLCFAs in the HELP lines (63.3%) approaches the hypothetical limit for TAG in Brassica species (66.7%) imposed by the inability of Brassica LPAAT to incorporate such moieties at the sn-2 position of the TAG molecule. We therefore tested whether, given the large proportion of VLCFAs that are synthesized and accumulated, incorporation had taken place at this position. Total lipids were extracted from HELP (K0472-HE, F2 seeds) and its progenitors (Maplus and K0472) and TAGs analysed for fatty acids incorporated at the sn-2 position (van Erp et al., 2010). The results, illustrated in Table S2, show negligible incorporation at the sn-2 position, meaning that VLCFA occupation at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions can be calculated as ~95% (i.e. 63.3% observed of the 66.7% available).

Thermal stability of low polyunsaturated rapeseed oils

The reduced PUFA content of HELP rapeseed oil is predicted to improve thermal stability. To test this, we produced oil from field-grown low PUFA lines K0472-HE and K0472. The crude oil was subjected to Rancimat stability testing (Läubli et al., 1988), along with field-grown conventional high and low erucic rapeseed oils (HEAR variety Maplus and LEAR variety Nikita, respectively). The fatty acid compositions of the crude oils are shown in Table S3, and the results of the stability test are shown in Table 3. As predicted, thermal stability improved greatly with the reduction in PUFA content. The stability of low erucic oil (variety Nikita) improved from 3.8 h for conventional LEAR rapeseed oil to 11.3 h for HOLP rapeseed oil. The stability of high erucic oil (variety Maplus) improved from 4.2 h for conventional HEAR to 16.4 h for HELP. The oxidative capacity of the oil types was assessed (shown in Table S4) and was not correlated with thermal stability.
et al. (2001). In contrast, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that elongase activity is limiting the content of erucic acid (Katavic et al., 2012). HELP lines are expected to be superior to existing HEAR varieties because they contain a higher proportion of erucic acid in their oil (i.e. the yield of the primary product is greater) and due to anticipated reduction in processing costs. There is also an increasing global demand for more ‘environmental-friendly’ alternatives to mineral oil. The key drawback of rapeseed oil (and, indeed for vegetable oils in general) is the thermal instability that is a consequence of their high content of PUFAs. To test our prediction that low PUFA rapeseed oil (both HOLP and HELP types) would have substantially greater thermal stability than conventional rapeseed oil, we tested this using an industry standard test (Rancimat; Lassner et al., 1988). The results confirmed a dramatic improvement compared with conventional rapeseed oil. For HOLP, the oil is suitable for edible uses such as repeated high-temperature frying and retains the beneficial properties of erucic acid. For HELP, the oil is a ‘non-GM green feedstock’ for the production of large volumes of low-cost, biodegradable products such as lubricants and hydraulic fluids, enabling more widespread use in sensitive environments. The development of non-GM varieties even for industrial crops is important as the cost of deregulation (a requirement due to the release of the organisms into the environment) is prohibitive and many countries do not permit the cultivation of GM crops at all.

**Experimental procedures**

**Plant material and growth conditions**

Donors of the low PUFA trait were selected from the ethyl methanethiol sulphonate-mutagenized population JBNacAB_E, K0472, K0047, Maplus, K0472-HELP and K0047-HELP, respectively. Standard deviation is shown as error bars.

**Table 3** Rancimat analysis of oil thermal stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oil type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Induction time at 120 °C (h) Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low erucic rapeseed (LEAR)</td>
<td>LEAR (variety Nikita)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High erucic rapeseed (HEAR)</td>
<td>HEAR (variety Maplus)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High oleic low polyunsaturated</td>
<td>HOLP (line K0472)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELP</td>
<td>HELP (line K0472-HELP)</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each value is mean of four technical replicates.

(Wells et al., 2014), but, in a high erucic acid background, increases the availability of C18:1 for extension by the Brassica elongases (controlled by the Bna.FAE1 loci). The observed impact is to increase the proportion of erucic acid in seed oil (by ~17% of its original value), demonstrating that the availability of C18:1 is, indeed, an important limitation for erucic acid content. To increase erucic acid content further, the introduction of an LPAAT capable of incorporation of VLCFAs at the sn-2 position would be required. However, the pull through to VLCFAs already observed decreases the content of both saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids (by ~38% and ~23%, respectively, of their values in the low PUFA donor line K0472), resulting in the oil produced by K0472-HELP containing ~90% monounsaturated fatty acids.

The same enzyme complex extends oleic (C18:1) to eicosenic (C20:1) and eicosenoic to erucic acid (C22:1) (Kondra and Stefansson, 1965; Lassner et al., 1996). We observed variability in the content of eicosenoic, along with a negative correlation between contents of eicosenoic and erucic acids (Figure S2). Similar observations have been made previously in the progeny produced by crossing high erucic acid rapeseed with high oleic acid rapeseed (Sasongko and Möllers, 2005). It has been suggested that different alleles of B. napus orthologues of FAE1 have a different potential of producing erucic acid (Mahmood et al., 2003). This would not be unprecedented as, for example, the elongase encoded by the A. thaliana FAE1 locus uses oleic as a preferred substrate, resulting in the accumulation of eicosenoic rather than erucic acid (Katavic et al., 2001). In contrast, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that elongase activity is limiting the content of erucic acid in low PUFA lines, K0472-HELP and K0047-HELP. The observation of heritability to the F5 generation, as shown by correlation of the relative proportions of erucic and eicosenoic in F4 individuals and their progeny, indicates a genetic basis. As there is no variability for the active alleles of Bna.FAE1 in our experiments (these being inherited from Maplus), our observations can be attributed to the presence of ‘modifier’ loci for erucic acid content. An associative transcriptomics analysis of seed erucic acid content in a genetic diversity panel of B. napus indicated such a locus may be present on chromosome A5, with a gene encoding a fatty acid hydroxylase 1 identified as a candidate (Havlíčková et al., 2018).

As an increased content of erucic acid in HELP would undoubtedly be beneficial for industries producing compounds such as erucamide, for which rapeseed is the major source of erucic acid (Zanetti et al., 2012), HELP lines are expected to be superior to existing HEAR varieties because they contain a higher proportion of erucic acid in their oil (i.e. the yield of the primary product is greater) and due to anticipated reduction in processing costs. There is also an increasing global demand for more ‘environmental-friendly’ alternatives to mineral oil. The key drawback of rapeseed oil (and, indeed for vegetable oils in general) is the thermal instability that is a consequence of their high content of PUFAs. To test our prediction that low PUFA rapeseed oil (both HOLP and HELP types) would have substantially greater thermal stability than conventional rapeseed oil, we tested this using an industry standard test (Rancimat; Läubli et al., 1988). The results confirmed a dramatic improvement compared with conventional rapeseed oil. For HOLP, the oil is suitable for edible uses such as repeated high-temperature frying and retains the benefit of low saturate content. For HELP, the oil is a ‘non-GM green feedstock’ for the production of large volumes of low-cost, biodegradable products such as lubricants and hydraulic fluids, enabling more widespread use in sensitive environments. The development of non-GM varieties even for industrial crops is important as the cost of deregulation (a requirement due to the release of the organisms into the environment) is prohibitive and many countries do not permit the cultivation of GM crops at all.
derived from the winter oilseed rape variety Cabriole (Wells et al., 2014). These lines carried mutations in Bna.FAD2.C5: K0472 and K0047. The donor of the high erucic acid trait was the current, open-pollinated high erucic acid winter rapeseed variety Maplus (breeder: NPZ-Lemnke, Germany; https://www.npz.de/).

Seeds were sown in the medium-grade compost (Scotts Levinton F2 + S) and kept in the glasshouse under long-day conditions of 16-h photoperiod and temperatures of 20 °C/14 °C for day/night. At four-leaf stage (after ~3 weeks of sowing), the plants were vernalized for 6 weeks with 8-h photoperiod at 4 °C (vernalization being necessary as all lines are winter habit).

**Development of HELP lines**

K0472 and K0047 were crossed, as pollen donor, onto Maplus. F1 progeny were genotyped by PCR amplification and sequencing, with primers and alleles as indicated in Supplementary Table 1, to confirm the crosses. Two lines were randomly selected from each cross-combination, grown and self-pollinated. Ninety-six F2 plants were grown for each and analysed for alleles of Bna.FAD2.A5, Bna.FAD2.C5, Bna.FAE1.A8 and Bna.FAE1.C3 by PCR amplification and sequencing, with primers and alleles as indicated in Table S1. Trace files were analysed using software Mutation Surveyor® (SoftGenetics LLC, State College, PA). One HELP plant '2-91' from cross Maplus x K0472 (K0472-HE) and another HELP plant '4-87' from cross Maplus x K0047 (K0047-HE) were confirmed to have inherited the required combination of homozygous alleles: Bna.FAE1.A8, Bna.FAE1.C3, Bna.fad2.A5 and Bna.fad2.C5. The sequence alignment files are provided in Appendix S1. The plants were self-pollinated and the lines amplified to F4 for analysis.

**DNA extraction and PCR amplification**

One young leaf per plant was sampled at three-leaf stage, and ‘DNeasy Plant 96 Qiagen Kit for 96 samples’ was used for the automated isolation of the DNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen, UK). The details of the primer pairs used for the amplification of Bna.FAD2.C5, Bna.FAD2.A5, Bna.FAE1.A8 and Bna.FAE1.C3 copies are shown in Table S1. DNA amplification was carried out in volumes of 25 µL with 50 ng of gDNA, 0.4 µM each of forward and reverse primers and 1x master mix (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE). For Bna.FAD2 orthologues amplification, the PCR profile used was as follows: initial denaturation of 94 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles each with 94 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. For Bna.FAE1 orthologues, the touchdown PCR profile was used: initial denaturation of 94 °C for 5 min; 15 cycles each with 94 °C for 30 s, 63 °C for 30 s (decrease by 1 °C every cycle) and 72 °C for 1 min; and 30 cycles each with 94 °C for 30 s, 53 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and final extension of 72 °C for 15 min. 1.5% agarose gel was used at 100 volts for 30 min for the separation of the PCR products, and these were observed under UV gel viewer.

**PCR product purification, sequencing and analysis**

PCR products were purified using the ‘Mag-Bind® RXNPurePlus’ by following manufacturer’s protocol. Geneviz (https://www.geneviz.com) was used for the Sanger sequencing of the purified PCR products. Mutation surveyor® v5.0 software (SoftGenetics LLC, State College, PA) was used for the detection of the mutations from trace files.

**Fatty acid composition analysis**

Four milligrams of tripentadecanoin (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, Dorset, UK) internal standard was added to 30 mg of seeds, followed by the addition of 400 µL of cold hexane: isopropanol (3:2 v/v) solution. The seeds were ground using a Tissuelyser and incubated for 1 h on ice. The samples were centrifuged at ~9600 g for 5 min, and supernatant was transferred to a new tube. The pellet was washed another two times with 400 µL of cold hexane: isopropanol solution, vortexed, centrifuged and supernatants were pooled to the same tube. Then, 600 µL of 6.7% Na2SO4 was added, vortexed and centrifuged for 30 s. The upper phase was removed into a new tube and dried in the Genevac™ (EZ-2.3 Elite model). These were reconstituted in 750 µL chloroform. 1/15th part of the sample (2–3 technical replicates) was transferred to a 2-mL screw cap Supelco® glass vial. It was followed by the addition of 750 µL hexane and 500 µL 1N methanolic hydrochloric acid; incubation at 85 °C for 3 h; and addition of 250 µL of 0.9% KCl. The vials were vortexed, and upper hexane layer containing fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) was used the gas chromatography (GC) analysis. FAMEs were analysed on Thermo Scientific’s Trace GC Ultra-FID with GC column (specifications: BP × forte 10 m × 0.1 mm ID × 0.2 µm film thicknesses) with a run time of ~5 min per sample. Supelco® 37 component FAME mix (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as an external standard, and hexane was used as a blank. Data were analysed with the Thermo Scientific’s ChromQuest™ software (version 4.2.34) platform.

**Lipid extraction for TAG analysis**

One millilitre of isopropanol containing butylated hydroxy toluene (BHT) was added to 4–6 seeds of each sample and heated in the oven at 85 °C for 15 min. Heat-quenched samples were homogenized in a homogenizer, then, 2 mL of chloroform and 3 mL of methanol were added to each sample. It was followed by the addition of 1.6 mL of water, 2 mL of chloroform and 2 mL of 0.88% (w/v) KCl and gentle mixing. The tubes were centrifuged for 2 min at 400 g. The bottom phase was collected carefully in another tube and dried under N2. The lipid was re-suspended in 500 µL of toluene containing 0.005% BHT for further analysis. FAMEs were derived using 10 µL of this sample, and total lipid content was calculated using GC results.

**Positional distribution analysis of TAGs**

Total lipid (1500 µL) was loaded on the thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plate (20 × 20 cm, silica), and the mixture was separated using hexane: diethylther: acetic acid (70:30:1, v/v). TAGs were eluted from TLC silica twice by washing with 5 mL of chloroform: methanol (4:1, v/v). A phase separation was induced by adding 2 mL of methanol and 4 mL of 0.88% (w/v) potassium chloride. The chloroform phase was collected, and the aqueous phase was back extracted with 5 mL of chloroform. The chloroform phase was dried under N2 and re-suspended in 500 L of toluene containing 0.005% BHT. TAGs were derivatized to FAMEs by using 10 µL of this sample and analysed by GC. Samples were dried down using N2, and 1 µg of TAG was re-suspended in: 1 mL of diethylther, 0.8 mL of buffer (50 mM NaBr, 5 mM CaCl2, pH 7.6) and 200 µL of lipase (Rhizomucor miehei lipase; Sigma-Aldrich). It was vortexed for 40 min, and the reaction was stopped by the addition of 2 mL of methanol: chloroform (1:1, v/v). The chloroform layer was collected, dried down and re-suspended in 200 µL of chloroform. The mixture was...
separated by TLC using hexane: diethyl ether: acetic acid (35:70:1.5, v/v/v). 2-monoacylglycerols (2-MAGs) and TAGs were scrapped from the plate, and FAMES were derived and analysed by GC.

**Oxidative stability analysis**

HOLP and HELP plants were grown in Moravian-Silesian region of Czech Republic (49°56’N, 17°54’E), sown September 2017, harvested July 2018. Conventional low and high erucic rapeseed was sourced from the breeders (location grown not specified). Oil pressing was carried out using a small capacity Komet screw press (Model CA 59 G; IBG Monforts, Mönchengladbach, Germany), with a 6-mm press nozzle die and a screw speed of 20 rpm. The oxidative stability of the pressed oils was determined using a ‘Metrohm Rancimat model 743’, according to AOCS Official Method Cd 12b-92. Briefly, the induction times (n = 4) for portions of oil (3.0 g) was determined at 120 °C with 10 L/h air throughput. The induction point, as a measure of the oil stability index (OSI), is the time point of maximal change in the rate of oxidation.

**Antioxidant properties of oil samples**

The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of oils was determined using a commercial kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Catalogue number MAK187) to measure the concentration of the small molecule and protein antioxidants. This method is based on the detection of reduced Cu²⁺ ion chelates with colorimetric probe at absorbance 570 nm, which is proportional to the total antioxidant capacity in Trolox equivalents (a water-soluble vitamin E analogue used as an antioxidant standard). The absorbance at 570 nm was measured using spectrophotometric plate reader (Clariostar, BMG LABTECH Ltd. Otterberg, Germany). The concentrations of the samples were then calculated with the value obtained from trolox standards.
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