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Characterization of an antimicrobial and phytotoxic ribonuclease secreted by the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*
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**Introduction**

In order to become a successful plant pathogen or parasite, a micro-organism must not only evolve strategies to manipulate host defences and acquire nutrients, but must also interact with numerous other potential microbial competitors or antagonists present within its environmental niche. Control over these latter interactions is likely to be important for a pathogenic microbe to benefit fully from its own efforts to parasitize, and induce disease symptoms, on host plants.

For bacterial, fungal, viral and invertebrate pathogens, there exists considerable knowledge on how they manipulate their plant hosts. Studies of effectors from many different plant pathogens have revealed the important roles played by these secreted proteins in the modification of host physiology and immunocompetence (Win et al., 2012; Giraldo & Valent, 2013). The mechanisms by which pathogens resist host counter-defense measures and interact with other environmental microorganisms have been less fully explored by comparison. How plant-pathogenic microorganisms interact with other microorganisms during infection has often been studied in the area of biological plant-pathogenic microorganisms interact with other microbes have been less fully explored by comparison. How pathogens resist host counter-defense measures and interact with other environmental microorganisms have been less fully explored by comparison.

The dothideomycete fungus *Zymoseptoria tritici* is a host- and tissue-specific pathogen of wheat leaves. Following spore germination on the leaf surface, there is a long phase of symptomless infection (typically at least 6–7 d), whereby the fungus invades leaves through stomata and then colonizes the mesophyll tissue (Kema et al., 1996). During this phase, there is minimal activation of host defences. Shortly afterwards, there is a transition to the necrotrophic phase of the life cycle. This is accompanied by massive host defence reactions, host programmed cell death, release of nutrients and the development of asexual fungal reproductive structures (pycnidia) (Kema et al., 1996; Keon et al., 2007).

The effector repertoire of *Z. tritici* has been partially characterized (Kettles & Kanyuka, 2016). Numerous bioinformatic and transcriptomic analyses have attempted to define the *Z. tritici* secretome through the infection cycle using several *Z. tritici* isolates (Mirzadi Gohari et al., 2015; Rudd et al., 2015; Palma-Guerrero et al., 2016, 2017). However, to date, only a small number of candidate effector proteins have been functionally characterized. A Lysin domain effector (LysM effector), Zt3LysM (previously Mg3LysM), has been demonstrated to have chitin-binding properties (Marshall et al., 2011) and is a functional orthologue of the *Cladosporium fulvum* Ecp6 effector (Bolton et al., 2008; de Jonge et al., 2010; Sánchez-Vallet et al., 2013). The *Z. tritici* ΔMg3LysM mutant is severely compromised in virulence (Marshall et al., 2011) and this effector plays a crucial role in minimizing host defence induction during early colonization through the suppression of chitin-triggered immunity (Lee et al., 2014). The Necrosis and Ethylene-inducing Peptide 1 (NEP1)-like (NLP) family is widespread amongst plant
pathogens. 

\[ \text{Zymoseptoria tritici} \] produces a single NLP effector (MgNLP) (Motteram et al., 2009) which induces cell death in Arabidopsis, but does not induce defence genes or cell death in wheat. Recently, it has been found that numerous \( \text{Z. tritici} \) effectors are recognized in the non-host tobacco species \( \text{Nicotiana benthamiana} \) (Kettles et al., 2017), and that recognition of several of these probably occurs at the plasma membrane–apoplast interface. Recently, the first avirulence gene AvrStb6, which also encodes a small cysteine-rich effector, likely to be recognized by a wheat plasma membrane receptor-like protein has been described (Zhong et al., 2017). However, in all cases to date, these examples of \( \text{Z. tritici} \) effector proteins have roles only associated with the direct or indirect manipulation of plant defences in host or non-host plants.

In contrast with the examples above, a new concept of effector multifunctionality has recently gained traction based on data from other systems. The effector SnTox1 from the necrotrophic wheat pathogen \( \text{Parastagonospora nodorum} \) has recently been demonstrated to show dual functionality during wheat leaf infection (Liu et al., 2016). First, it induces a cell death pathway on wheat cultivars harbouring the \( \text{Snr1} \) sensitivity gene (Liu et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2016) and can then subsequently protect fungal hyphae from digestion by plant chitinases (Liu et al., 2016), presumably activated as a consequence of the wheat cell death reaction. It has been speculated that pathogen effectors may have additional roles apart from direct host interactions, specifically with regard to the manipulation of local microbial communities (microbiomes) (Rovenich et al., 2014). However, to date, there have been no published examples of single effector proteins with the capability to impact on the host as well as on host-associated microbial communities.

Secreted ribonucleases (sRNases) fulfil numerous roles in many different aspects of host–pathogen interactions in both animal and plant systems. Defensive RNases are secreted by human skin cells and their inhibition is essential for protection of the producing cells (Harder & Schröder, 2002; Thomas et al., 2016). Two classes of sRNase of particular note are the highly toxic ribosome-interacting proteins (RIPs) and fungal ribotoxins (Lacadena et al., 2007; Walsh et al., 2013). The toxicity of these RNases is primarily a result of their ability to cross cell membranes and to interact with ribosomes. They specifically attack the sarcin–ricin loop (SRL) of the larger eukaryotic ribosomal RNA (rRNA), irreversibly blocking protein synthesis and ultimately leading to cell death. For the fungal ribotoxins, much progress has been made to elucidate the modifications that confer potent toxicity to these proteins in comparison with non-toxic RNases (Olobrada et al., 2017). In plant–pathogen interactions, it has been demonstrated recently that several RNase-like effectors are secreted by the barley powdery mildew fungus \( \text{Blumeria graminis} \) \( \text{f. sp. hordei} \). Although these secreted proteins are not functional RNases, they do contribute significantly to the disease progression of this biotrophic pathogen (Pedersen et al., 2012; Pliego et al., 2013).

All studies into the role of \( \text{Z. tritici} \) effectors to date have focused on their function in wheat colonization or potential recognition in non-host plants, whereas the potential for multifunctional effectors with roles in microbe–microbe interactions has not yet been explored. Here, we describe an sRNase (Zt6) from \( \text{Z. tritici} \) which is expressed specifically during distinct phases of wheat leaf infection, and which possesses highly potent cytotoxic activity against plants as well as various prokaryotic and eukaryotic microbes. This activity appears to be reliant on an N-terminal region of the protein which may facilitate cellular uptake into target cells. Conversely, Zt6 was found to be non-toxic to \( \text{Z. tritici} \) itself, suggesting the existence of an unknown self-protection mechanism.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant growth conditions**

Seeds of \( \text{Nicotiana benthamiana} \) (tobacco) were sown and transplanted as described previously (Kettles et al., 2017) and maintained in a glasshouse at 16 h 22°C : 8 h 18°C, day : night. Seeds of \( \text{Triticum aestivum} \) (wheat) cultivar (cv) Riband were sown directly in half-trays of Rothamsted Prescription Mix and maintained in a glasshouse at a constant 17°C with a 16 h day length.

**Fungal growth and inoculations**

All \( \text{Z. tritici} \) strains were grown on yeast extract–peptone–dextrose (YPD) agar plates at 16°C for 5 d. Conidiospores were harvested in distilled H₂O containing 0.01% Tween-20 and plant inoculations were performed as described previously (Kettles et al., 2017).

**Agrobacterium-mediated targeted deletion of Zt6**

Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of the \( \text{Z. tritici} \) IPO323 Δku70 strain (Bowler et al., 2010) was performed as described previously (Motteram et al., 2009). A construct designed to replace the Zt6 (Mygr3G38105) gene with the hygromycin resistance gene was produced using the vector pCHYG and validated by diagnostic PCR. All primers used in the production of the gene deletion construct and in sequence validation are available in Supporting Information Table S1.

**Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of Zt6 in \( \text{N. benthamiana} \)**

Gateway cloning of Zt6 into the binary vectors pEAQ-HT-DEST3 and pPEARLEYGATE101 was conducted as described for other \( \text{Z. tritici} \) candidate effectors (Kettles et al., 2017).

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Mature Zt6 amino acid sequence (lacking secretion signal peptide (SP)) was used as input for blastp analysis against all Dothideomycete sequences at NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information). The 20 closest homologues (Table S2) were aligned to Zt6 using ClustalW, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the maximum likelihood (ML) method with 100 bootstrap replicates in MEGA6. Amino acid
sequence representations of the predicted N-terminal ‘loop’ and downstream ribonuclease (RNase) domains were produced using WebLogo3 (http://weblogo.threeplusone.com) employing the same ClustalW alignment as input.

Site-directed mutagenesis

Generation of the Zt6-H70A mutant was performed using the QuikChange Lightning Multi Site Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers were designed using the QuikChange Primer Design program and are included in Table S1.

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Expression analysis of Zt6 was performed using sample material generated from Z. tritici IPO323 grown in YPD medium and at 1 d post-inoculation (dpi) of susceptible wheat cv Riband. Total RNA was recovered using Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with a double chloroform extraction step. RNA preparations were treated with RQ1 DNase (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA-free RNA was recovered by ethanol precipitation, and 2 μg of RNA was used as template for cDNA synthesis employing SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and an oligo(dT)20 primer. cDNA was diluted 1 : 2 with distilled H2O and 1 μl of diluted cDNA was used in each reaction with SYBR Green Jumpstart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma). Triplicate reactions were prepared for each sample–primer pair combination and all reactions were performed using the following thermocycle on a Bio-Rad CFX384 real-time system (3 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C, 30 s at 72°C, followed by melt curve analysis of 10 s at 95°C, then 65–95°C in 0.5°C increments, 5 s at each). Three biological replicate samples were included for each treatment. Relative expression values were calculated using the 2^{-ΔCt} method with Z. tritici beta-tubulin as the reference gene. Expression values were rescaled for presentation such that the YPD treatment for each effector is equal to 1. All primer sequences are provided in Table S1.

Wheat leaf sheath biolistic bombardment

Zt6 variants were cloned into the pRRes14_RR.1m201_125 biolistic bombardment vector linearized by double digestion with NcoI and SpeI restriction endonucleases. Corresponding NcoI and SpeI recognition sites were added to Zt6 fragments by PCR amplification, followed by double digestion with the corresponding restriction endonucleases. Zt6 fragments were incorporated into linearized pRRes14_RR.1m201_125 using T4 DNA ligase and transformed into One Shot TOP10 electrocompetent Escherichia coli (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using standard procedures. Constructs were sequence verified by Sanger sequencing. All primer sequences used are available in Table S1.

For bombardments, young tillers were collected from c. 4-wk-old wheat cv Apogee plants. A section c. 10 cm in length containing the immature inflorescence was cut from each tiller and the ends were sealed with parafilm. The trimmed stems were surface sterilized using 70% v/v ethanol for 3 min and 10% v/v domestic thin bleach (sodium hypochlorite content of 4–6%) for 3 min, followed by several repeat washes with sterile water. Leaf sheaths were isolated by cutting away the outer layers to expose the immature inflorescence, and c. 1–1.5 cm of the young leaf sheath closest to the inflorescence was removed. The isolated leaf sheaths were plated on L7 medium supplemented with 3% w/v sucrose, 0.5 mg l^{-1} 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and 10 mg l^{-1} AgNO_{3} (Sigma-Aldrich, UK), and solidified with 5 g l^{-1} agar (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) in 9-cm Petri dishes, placing sufficient leaf sheath sections to cover the central 2 cm^{2}. The prepared leaf sheaths were transformed on the same day as isolation. Particle bombardment was carried out according to Sparks & Jones (2014). Test constructs were precipitated onto 0.6-μm gold particles (Bio-Rad, UK) alongside a construct which contained the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter gene under the control of the maize ubiquitin gene promoter with an Arabidopsis thaliana histone H2B-like nuclear targeting sequence and nopaline synthase (nos) gene terminator. The particles were delivered into target tissues using a Bio-Rad PDS-1000/He™ particle gun with a rupture pressure of 650 psi and a vacuum of 28–29 inches Hg. Treated leaf sections were incubated at c. 22°C in the light (12 h photoperiod) for 2 d before visualization of GFP using a Leica M205 FA stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) with a fluorescence filter suitable for GFP.

RNase activity assays

Wild-type (FL) and mutant Zt6 (Δ19–40, H70A, Δ19–40 + H70A) were recombined into the Gateway-compatible pDEST17 expression vector (Invitrogen) using LR clonase II enzyme mix following the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequence-verified constructs were linearized by digestion with EcoRV restriction endonuclease and 1 μg of linearized plasmid was employed as template for in vitro transcription reactions (carried out for 3 h at 37°C) using the MEGAscript T7 transcription kit (Ambion). Transcription products were purified using the RNA cleanup protocol of the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). In vitro translation reactions were assembled using the Rabbit Reticulocyte Lysate/Wheat Germ Extract Combination System (Promega) employing 1 μg RNA as template. The provided luciferase control RNA was used as negative control for RNase activity. Reactions were incubated for 10–90 min at 30°C (rabbit reticulocyte lysate) or 25°C (wheat germ extract). Ribosomal RNA was recovered following the method of Kao et al. (2001) before resolving on 2% semi-denaturing agarose gels.

Tobacco rattle virus (TRV)-mediated virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in N. benthamiana

This method has been fully described previously (Kettles et al., 2017). Briefly, 2–3-wk-old N. benthamiana seedlings were agroinfiltrated with a 1 : 1 mix of Agrobacterium strains
harbouring PTV00 (TRV RNA2)-derived constructs and Agrobacterium GV3101 carrying pBINTRA6 (TRV RNA1) at a final optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) = 1 to initiate silencing. After 2–3 wk, full-length Zt6 was expressed in systemically infected leaves by agroinfiltration of GV3101, carrying pEAQ-HT-DEST3 Zt6, at OD_{600} = 1.2. Leaves were visually assessed for cell death symptoms at 7 dpi.

Recombinant protein expression and purification
Zt6 variants were cloned into the p75 protein expression vector (Franco-Orozco et al., 2017) linearized by digestion with *PstI* using yeast recombination cloning in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using yeast recombination cloning in HT-DEST3 Zt6, at OD_{600} = 0.6. The *Z. tritici* IPO323 Δku70 strain was grown for 5 d at 16°C on YPD agar plates supplemented with geneticin. Conidia were resuspended to a density of 1 × 10^{7} spores ml^{-1} in distilled H_{2}O + 0.01% Tween-20. Reaction mixes were prepared by combining 10 µl cells at the stated OD_{600} or spore density with recombinant Zt6, restrictocin (Sigma) or RNaseA (Qiagen) at 20 µM final concentration, with distilled H_{2}O added to a final reaction volume of 30 µl. Reactions were incubated for 24 h with gentle agitation. Serial dilutions of 10-µl aliquots of each reaction were prepared and plated on appropriate selection media and grown at 30°C (*S. cerevisiae*, *P. pastoris*, *E. coli*, or *Z. tritici*) until colonies appeared.

**Results**

A *Z. tritici* gene Zt6, encoding a small sRNase, shows biphasic upregulation during infection of susceptible wheat

A recent transcriptomic analysis of *Z. tritici* isolate IPO323 infection of the susceptible wheat cv Riband (Rudd et al., 2015) revealed *in planta* expression of an sRNase (Mycgr3G38105, hereafter referred to as Zt6) of the N1/T1 class. Expression at all time points during wheat infection was significantly higher than during growth in Czapek–Dox broth (CDB) (Fig. 1a; Table S3). Zt6 exhibited a double-peak expression pattern during wheat infection, with maximal expression at 1 dpi and a secondary peak at 14 dpi (Fig. 1a; Table S3). These time points coincide with spore germination immediately following leaf surface inoculation (1 dpi) and the necrotrophic phase of the fungal life cycle (14 dpi), respectively. The expression of Zt6 and several other genes encoding effector proteins (Kettles et al., 2017) in an *in vitro* culture of *Z. tritici* IPO323 grown in nutrient-rich (YPD) medium vs *in planta* at 1 dpi on susceptible wheat cv Riband was also analysed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 1b). In agreement with our previous data (Rudd et al., 2015), Zt6 expression was considerably higher at 1 dpi during wheat infection relative to *in vitro* growth in YPD culture. Furthermore, the induction of Zt6 was more rapid than the induction of several other *Z. tritici* candidate effectors tested (Fig. 1b). Collectively, these data indicate that Zt6 is rapidly induced following spore germination on the wheat leaf surface in comparison with growth *in vitro*.

**Zt6 is widely conserved within the Dothideomycetes**

Zt6 encodes a protein of 137 amino acids, with residues 1–18 corresponding to a secretion signal peptide (SP) predicted using SignalP and TargetP. The predicted RNase domain represents most of the mature protein sequence and is characterized by a histidine–glutamic acid–histidine (HEH) catalytic triad at amino acid positions 70, 88 and 122, respectively. Blastp analysis using the mature Zt6 protein sequence identified no paralogous genes in *Z. tritici* and revealed that homologues (between one and three per species) are present in many Ascomycete fungi, and in all species with sequenced genomes within the class

**Microbial toxicity assays**

Lysogeny broth (LB) or YPD liquid cultures with appropriate antibiotics were inoculated with *E. coli* Top10 (pEAQ-HT-DEST3 Avr4), *P. pastoris* GS115 (p75 EV) or *S. cerevisiae* FY834 and grown overnight at 30°C. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4000 g (JA20 rotor) for 5 min, washed once in distilled H_{2}O and recovered as above. *E. coli* cells were diluted with distilled H_{2}O to a final OD_{600} = 0.01, with *P. pastoris* and *S. cerevisiae* diluted to OD_{600} = 0.6. The *Z. tritici* IPO323 Δku70 strain was grown for 5 d at 16°C on YPD agar plates supplemented with geneticin. Conidia were resuspended to a density of 1 × 10^{7} spores ml^{-1} in distilled H_{2}O + 0.01% Tween-20. Reaction mixes were prepared by combining 10 µl cells at the stated OD_{600} or spore density with recombinant Zt6, restrictocin (Sigma) or RNaseA (Qiagen) at 20 µM final concentration, with distilled H_{2}O added to a final reaction volume of 30 µl. Reactions were incubated for 24 h with gentle agitation. Serial dilutions of 10-µl aliquots of each reaction were prepared and plated on appropriate selection media and grown at 30°C (*S. cerevisiae*, *P. pastoris*, *E. coli*, or *Z. tritici*) until colonies appeared.
Dothideomycetes (Fig. 1c). The closest homologues were found in species with a pathogenic lifestyle, although singular examples were also found in fungi described as epi/endophytic, saprophytic or symbiotic. Mature Zt6 protein (residues 19–137) contains two cysteine residues (residues 36 and 133) which are not predicted to form a disulfide bridge (DiANNA, SCRATCH protein predictor DIpro). This two-cysteine form of sRNase is also found in the majority of most closely related plant-pathogenic fungal species, including *Zymoseptoria brevis* and *Pseudocercospora musae*, which, like *Z. tritici*, are also members of the Mycosphaerellaceae (Fig. 1c). However, many pathogens, including important wheat leaf-infecting species, such as *P. nodorum*, *Bipolaris sorokiniana* and *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis*, encode a four-cysteine form which may impact protein folding. Analysis of the overall sequence diversity between Zt6 homologues indicated that most variation is seen at the N-terminal end of the mature protein (residues 19–40 shown), whereas there is a high level of conservation within the RNase functional domain (H70–H122 shown) (Fig. 1d).

**Zt6 encodes a functional cytotoxic protein active against wheat leaf cells**

To assess potential Zt6 toxicity in the natural host (wheat), we used biolistic bombardment to coexpress a GFP transgene alongside mature Zt6 (−SP) (Fig. 2b,c) within host epidermal cells. In comparison with the GFP + empty vector (EV) control treatment, GFP + Zt6 (− SP) almost completely abolished GFP fluorescence, thus indicating potent cellular toxicity when expressed in wheat leaf cell cytoplasm. These data also established that the wheat bombardment assay was a suitable and high-throughput system for the further characterization of the Zt6 protein activity.

The N-terminal loop region of the mature Zt6 sRNase likely functions in enabling protein re-entry into wheat cells

It is known that the N-terminal region of secreted RNases can play an important role in cellular toxicity. The ribotoxin class of RNases, for example, possesses elongated N-terminal loops in a β-sheet–hinge–β-sheet structure that have been demonstrated to play a role in ribosome binding and have been postulated to be involved in cellular uptake (García-Ortega *et al.*, 2002; García-Mayoral *et al.*, 2005), both important features that contribute to the cytotoxicity of these molecules. By contrast, non-toxic RNases have comparatively short N-terminal loops (Lacadena *et al.*, 2007). A structural prediction for Zt6 using Phyre2 (Kelley *et al.*, 2015) suggests that an N-terminal loop region exists with similarity to the atypical ribotoxin hirsutellin A from the mite

---

*Fig. 1* Transcriptomic and phylogenetic analysis of *Zymoseptoria tritici* effector Zt6. (a) RNAseq expression profile of Zt6 (Mycgr3G38105) from *Z. tritici* IPO323 infection time course (1–21 d post-inoculation, dpi) of susceptible wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cv Riband in comparison with growth in Czapek–Dox broth (CDB) (Rudd *et al.*, 2015). Letters indicate significant differences at $q < 0.05$. (b) Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) expression profile of Zt6 from *Z. tritici* IPO323 grown in yeast extract–peptone–dextrose (YPD) broth in comparison with 1 dpi growth on susceptible wheat cv Riban. Bars represent mean ± SE. (c) Phylogenetic representation of the closest 20 Zt6 orthologues within the Dothideomycota. Coloured circles represent the lifestyle of each species in which orthologues are present. Sequences were obtained by blastp (NCBI) and aligned using CLUSTALW. The tree was constructed using the maximum likelihood (ML) method with 100 bootstrap replicates (MEGA6). (d) WebLogo representations of the 22-amino-acid N-terminal (residues 19–40) and ribonuclease (RNase) core (residues 70–122) domains of Zt6 and the 20 closest Dothideomycete orthologues.
fungal pathogen *Hirsutella thompsonii* (Herrero-Galán et al., 2008) (Fig. 2a). We speculate that this region may be important for Zt6 function and that Zt6 may exhibit some characteristics of a ribotoxic RNase.

To investigate the contribution of the N-terminal loop to cytotoxicity, a Zt6 \(\Delta 19-40\) mutant missing the first 22 amino acids of the mature protein (Fig. 2a, shown in red) was coexpressed with GFP in the wheat biolistic system. This resulted in a near-complete absence of GFP fluorescence, indicating that this truncation mutant retains near-wild-type activity when expressed intracellularly (i.e. without the secretion signal sequence). By contrast, coexpression of an RNase catalytic mutant (H70A) or the double mutant (\(\Delta 19-40\), H70A) permitted GFP fluorescence at levels similar to those of the EV control (Fig. 2b,c), indicating
a near-complete absence of toxicity. Together, this indicates that RNase activity is required for Zt6 toxicity, but that an N-terminal loop is dispensable for this activity when the protein is retained (or expressed) intracellularly.

To test whether the N-terminal loop region might instead be important for protein uptake into wheat cells, a complementary set of bombardments was conducted with both mature Zt6 protein and the mutants described above, with a re-introduced secretion signal to direct the Zt6 protein to the apoplastic space of wheat cells, and its effects on intracellular GFP fluorescence were monitored (Fig. 2d,e). Full-length Zt6 (containing its secretion signal) was still able to strongly inhibit GFP fluorescence during co-bombardment, suggesting that this secreted fungal protein can re-enter the host cell cytoplasm, where presumably it exerts its cytotoxic activity. Interestingly, and in stark contrast, Zt6 Δ19–40 expressed with the secretion signal induced no or very little host cell death as GFP expression was not compromised. Indeed, the activity of the Δ19–40 mutant was approximately equivalent to the EV control and the Zt6 H70A catalytic mutant (Fig. 2d,e).

These data indicate that the N-terminal 22 amino acids of mature Zt6 are important for toxicity against wheat cells only when the protein is initially directed to the apoplastic space of wheat leaves. The most likely explanation for this effect is that the N-terminal loop may be required for Zt6 re-entry into host cells.

Both mature Zt6 and the Δ19–40 mutant protein are fully functional RNases active against rRNA

Cytotoxic RNases, classed as ribotoxins, are known to exert cytotoxicity by disruption of rRNA–protein complexes or cleavage of rRNA with varying degrees of specificity. Despite numerous attempts, we were unable to successfully express FL recombinant Zt6 in E. coli or P. pastoris protein expression systems. We therefore utilized in vitro transcription coupled with a cell-free protein expression system to assess ribotoxin activity of mature Zt6 and its mutant versions Δ19–40, H70A and Δ19–40 + H70A towards native, functional ribosomes isolated from animal and plant cells (Fig. 3). Full-length Zt6 showed a ribonuclease-like activity, cleaving both rabbit (Fig. 3a) and wheat (Fig. 3b) rRNA in an apparent semi-specific manner, yielding distinct cleavage fragments from both substrates, with greater degradation apparent from rabbit rRNA relative to wheat rRNA. However, although Zt6 H70A and Zt6 Δ19–40 + H70A displayed no catalytic activity against either substrate, Zt6 Δ19–40 activity was indistinguishable from that of wild-type Zt6 (Fig. 3a,b). Therefore, by contrast with the bona-fide ribotoxins, the N-terminal loop appears to be dispensable for Zt6 RNase activity towards native rRNA. To further assess the catalytic activity of Zt6 FL and Zt6 Δ19–40, assays were conducted against both rabbit and wheat rRNA with shortened reaction times (Fig. 3c,d). These experiments indicated that, against rabbit rRNA, reactions containing either Zt6 FL or Zt6 Δ19–40 progressed to completion within 10 min. Longer incubation did not result in further rRNA degradation (Fig. 3c). By contrast, degradation of wheat rRNA was slower for both Zt6 FL and Zt6 Δ19–40 (Fig. 3d), with reactions progressing to completion at between 30 and 60 min of incubation. The fragments produced from the degradation of wheat rRNA by Zt6 FL and Zt6 Δ19–40 were examined by Bioanalyzer (Fig. S1). This analysis confirmed that, similar to ribotoxins, both Zt6 FL and Zt6 Δ19–40 primarily degrade (or attack) the 28S rRNA subunit in a cell-free expression system.

To further confirm this RNase activity, we used a P. pastoris expression system to produce and purify a small quantity of Zt6 Δ19–40 protein. This was tested for RNase activity against both rabbit and wheat ribosomes, alongside the highly specific ribotoxins from Aspergillus restrictocin and the non-specific RNase A from Bos taurus (Fig. S2). These experiments revealed that purified Zt6 Δ19–40 had an activity similar to that of Zt6 Δ19–40 produced in the cell-free system. The activity of Zt6 Δ19–40 was dissimilar to both the activity of restrictocin, which specifically generates the 5′-fragment from 28S rRNA, and RNase A, which non-specifically degrades all RNA (Fig. S2). Zt6 Δ19–40 displayed non-specific RNase activity against denatured wheat total RNA, similar to RNase A (Fig. S3). The results indicate that ribosomal structure confers specificity for Zt6 cleavage activity.

The cytotoxic activity of Zt6 is conserved in the non-host model plant N. benthamiana

To assess whether Zt6 activity extended beyond the host range of Z. tritici, we used Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression (agroexpression) to express Zt6 FL and mutant forms in the non-host tobacco (N. benthamiana) (Fig. 4). These experiments revealed that Zt6 is a highly potent inducer of cell death in this plant species with Zt6-induced symptoms first visible as early as 2 dpi, which progressed to complete necrosis by 4 dpi (Fig. 4a). In comparison, symptoms induced by other previously characterized Z. tritici cell death-inducing effectors, for example Zt9 (Kettles et al., 2017), did not develop until 4 dpi, with widespread cell death in the infiltrated zone not clearly pronounced until 6 dpi (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, Zt6 induced rapid cell death irrespective of whether it was directed to the cytosol or the apoplast, i.e. in the absence or presence of its native SP, respectively (Fig. 4a). By contrast, the previously described Zt9 effector induced cell death only when directed to the apoplast, thus indicating that the mechanism by which Zt6 induces cell death is distinct. Indeed, by contrast with several other previously characterized Z. tritici effectors (Kettles et al., 2017), which induced light- and expression level-dependent cell death, Zt6 induced cell death in a light- and expression level-independent manner (Fig. 4b,c). Several Z. tritici effectors are also known to induce N. benthamiana cell death dependent on the Brassinosporoid Insensitive 1 (BR1)-Associated Receptor Kinase 1 (BAK1) and Suppressor of BIR1-1 (SOBIR1) receptor-like kinases (RLKs). By contrast, we found that Zt6 induced cell death independently of these two RLKs (Fig. S4).

Zt6 is toxic to prokaryotes and yeasts, but not to Z. tritici

To assess the contribution of Zt6 to Z. tritici virulence on wheat, we created targeted gene deletion mutants using Agrobacterium-
mediated transformation. When tested in a wheat infection bioassay, three independent Δz6 transformants displayed similar virulence to the background control strain (Δku70) on wheat cv Riband (Fig. S5). This suggests that Zt6 is not required for wheat infection, although we cannot exclude the possibility of functional redundancy shared with other Z. tritici secreted proteins.

That Zt6 may be dispensable for wheat infection, coupled with the unusual double-peak expression pattern during in planta infection (Fig. 1a, b) and the potent cytotoxicity against plant cells (Figs 2, 4), led us to speculate that Zt6 may play an alternative role in microbial competition and niche protection. That Zt6 might also exhibit broad antimicrobial toxicity was initially indicated by our failure to produce full-length recombinant protein in all tested strains of E. coli and P. pastoris. All colonies recovered as transformants from either system failed to produce protein, and resequencing revealed that they contained mutated, inactivated copies of Zt6 (data not shown). However, we were able to produce small amounts of the Zt6 Δ19–40 mutant in P. pastoris. This protein was therefore used in in vitro microbial toxicity assays alongside the cytotoxic ribotoxin restrictocin. Restrictocin exhibited potent toxicity against all microorganisms tested: the prokaryote E. coli, the yeasts S. cerevisiae and P. pastoris, and the filamentous fungus Z. tritici (Fig. 5a–d). By contrast, Zt6 Δ19–40 exhibited toxic activity against E. coli, S. cerevisiae and P. pastoris, but had no activity against Z. tritici. Collectively, these results indicate that Zt6 is cytotoxic against bacteria and the lower yeasts, but not towards Z. tritici itself.

**Discussion**

Here, we describe the characterization of Zt6, a small sRNase with a ribotoxin-like activity from the wheat pathogen Z. tritici which exhibits potent cytotoxicity towards wheat, tobacco, bacterial and yeast cells. No other secreted protein from this pathogen has been demonstrated to date to have such broad-spectrum cytotoxicity. The MgNLP effector from Z. tritici induces rapid cell death in dicotyledonous plants (A. thaliana, N. benthamiana), but does not have the same effect in wheat (Motteram et al., 2009; Kettles et al., 2017). In addition, the secreted proteins ZtNIP1 and ZtNIP2 have been reported to induce cultivar-specific necrosis/chlorosis in wheat (M’Barek et al., 2015). We have recently described a set of Z. tritici effectors that induce cell death in N. benthamiana (Kettles et al., 2017). However, Zt6 has a cytotoxicity spectrum that goes beyond that demonstrated against plant cells in these previous studies to include yeasts and bacteria.

In our recent work, we demonstrated the cell death-inducing activity of 14 Z. tritici secreted proteins in the non-host plant N. benthamiana. Cell death induction by these effectors appeared to be a result of an active recognition in the apoplast by cell...
surface immune receptors, as it was shown to be light dependent and required the BAK1 and SOBIR1 RLKs. By contrast with those data, we show here that Zt6-mediated cell death is both light and BAK1/SOBIR1 independent (Fig. S4) and that Zt6 can induce cell death when directed either to the apoplast or the cytosol in both the non-host N. benthamiana (Fig. 4a,d,e) and the natural host plant wheat (Fig. 2b–e). This distinguishes the functionality of Zt6 from that of all previously described effector candidates from Z. tritici.

The Z. tritici Δzt6 mutants displayed no loss of virulence during glasshouse infection assays on the susceptible wheat cultivar Riband (Fig. S5). A similar observation was made during infection of other susceptible wheat cultivars with the same strains (data not shown). This was surprising given the cytotoxic potency demonstrated for Zt6. Given that Zt6 is the only RNase identified in the Z. tritici secreome, it seems unlikely that functional redundancy with another secreted RNase can account for the absence of a loss-of-virulence phenotype. However, it is possible that other fungal proteins may also contribute to cell death induction, perhaps using a distinct mechanism. However, from the data provided, it is equally likely that the primary function of Zt6 may be to exert cytotoxic activity towards other microorganisms rather than host plant cells during natural Z. tritici infections.

Several studies (Mirzadi Gohari et al., 2015; Rudd et al., 2015; Palma-Guerrero et al., 2016, 2017) have described numerous putative Z. tritici effectors based on increased expression in planta. Particular focus has been made on those effectors with peak expression shortly before or during the fungal transition to necrotrophy. By contrast, Zt6 displays a rapid induction on spore germination on the leaf surface at 1 dpi (Fig. 1a,b). This induction is more rapid and stronger than for several other previously characterized effectors (Fig. 1b). Given the high level of expression, the phase of early fungal growth on the leaf surface would represent the most likely time for Zt6-induced host cell death to occur. As it does not (Kema et al., 1996; G. Kettles, pers. obs.), it suggests that the Zt6 protein is unlikely to penetrate the waxy leaf cuticle to gain access to the exterior of leaf epidermal cells. This distinguishes Zt6 from, for example, the SnTox1 effector protein from P. nodorum, which has recently been shown to be capable of direct penetration of the wheat leaf cuticle to elicit cell death in sensitive cultivars (Liu et al., 2016). Therefore, at this point, we hypothesize that the function of Zt6 may be to clear the immediate area around the germinating spore of other potentially harmful or competing microorganisms. By contrast, the period of post-penetration asymptomatic colonization represents the period of most likely Zt6 entry into leaf mesophyll cells. Given the reduction in Zt6 expression during this phase (4–9 dpi) (Fig. 1a), it suggests that Zt6 activity might be detrimental to fungal colonization were it to induce significant host cell death during what is normally the asymptomatic phase of infection. Finally, the second peak of expression observed during the necrotrophic phase (14–21 dpi) (Fig. 1a) might be interpreted as the niche protective role of Zt6, minimizing colonization of the nutrient-rich necrotic tissue by opportunistic saprophytic microorganisms.

Structural predictions suggest that Zt6 displays some similarity to the ribotoxin hirsutellin A (Fig. 2a). Ribotoxins have long been known for their potent cytotoxicity and exquisite specificity for cleavage of the phosphodiester backbone at a single nucleotide within the SRL of the 28S rRNA in eukaryotic ribosomes. Although Zt6 also displays considerable potency against numerous eukaryotic cells (Figs 2, 4, 5), the mode of action appears to be different as Zt6 does not generate the characteristic x- fragment diagnostic of ribotoxin activity (Figs 3, S2, S3). Although this distinction is clear, it is possible that Zt6 may share
other features with ribotoxins in order to exert its cytotoxicity. In particular, this may relate to how Zt6 gains access to intracellular RNA when it is initially produced on the exterior of cells. This is exemplified by the requirement of a 22-amino-acid N-terminal loop region for full potency. The classical ribotoxin α-sarcin also requires N-terminal amino acids 7–22 for full potency (Garcia-Ortega et al., 2002). The α-sarcin Δ7–22 mutant retains ribonuclease activity against denatured RNA, but is unable to interact with intact ribosomes to generate the α-fragment. In addition, the Δ7–22 mutant is less efficient at interacting with cell membranes, thus impairing uptake by endocytosis (Garcia-Ortega et al., 2017). It is speculated that other surface-exposed loops are important for full ribotoxin activity, either through interaction with lipid vesicles or the ribosome itself (Olombrada et al., 2017). Given the similarity between Zt6 and α-sarcin in terms of the requirement for a short N-terminal region for full potency, these other regions may also function in the ability of Zt6 to both gain access to cells and to exert ribonucleolytic activity.

Given the broad-spectrum toxicity of Zt6 against plant, yeast and bacterial cells, it is logical to assume that there must exist some mechanism of self-protection in Z. tritici. In bacteria, there exist examples of bacteriocins displaying RNase activity (Riley & Wertz, 2002). Bacterial toxin–antitoxin systems are often based on toxic RNases, immunity to which is often conferred by the presence of dedicated immunity genes carried on the same plasmid (Cook et al., 2013). The loss of membrane-localized susceptibility determinants in the producing cell can also confer RNase immunity (Riley & Wertz, 2002). Mammalian cells secrete RNases with antimicrobial function (Harder & Schröder, 2002; Pulido et al., 2013) and are protected themselves by the well-characterized RNase Inhibitor (RI) protein (Dickson et al., 2005). Human cells deficient in RI are themselves more vulnerable to their own sRNases (Thomas et al., 2016). It is therefore plausible that an analogous system exists to protect Z. tritici. However, the specific inhibitor involved is unknown, as homologues of RI do not exist in the genomes of filamentous fungi. In addition, it is feasible that fundamental differences in lipid composition or physical structure between Z. tritici cell membranes and those of bacterial, yeast and plant cells do not permit Zt6 transit. Mechanisms of active efflux that confer xenobiotic tolerance may also offer a measure of self-protection to any toxic RNase that is able to re-enter the producing cell. However, at this point, it remains completely unknown how filamentous fungi protect themselves against their own cytotoxic RNases, and this represents a fertile area for future study.
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