

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348711651>

The Arabidopsis pattern recognition receptor EFR enhances fire blight resistance in apple

Preprint · January 2021

DOI: 10.1101/2021.01.22.427734

CITATIONS

0

READS

75

8 authors, including:



Stefano Piazza

Fondazione Edmund Mach - Istituto Agrario San Michele All'Adige

24 PUBLICATIONS 106 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Manuela Campa

Stellenbosch University

18 PUBLICATIONS 348 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Valerio Pompili

Università degli Studi di Torino

35 PUBLICATIONS 85 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Lorenza Dalla Costa

Fondazione Edmund Mach - Istituto Agrario San Michele All'Adige

69 PUBLICATIONS 317 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Identification of candidate genes for monoterpene accumulation [View project](#)



I'm involved in projects concerning RRI and public engagement in science. One of these is NANO2ALL and another is SPARKS. The projects SYNENERGENE is just ended. [View project](#)

The Arabidopsis pattern recognition receptor EFR enhances fire blight resistance in apple

Stefano Piazza¹, Manuela Campa^{1,4}, Valerio Pompili¹, Lorenza Dalla Costa¹, Umberta Salvagnin¹, Vladimir Nekrasov^{2,5}, Cyril Zipfel^{2,3}, Mickael Malnoy¹

¹Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele a/Adige, 38010, Italy.

²The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, NR4 7UH, Norwich, United Kingdom.

³Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology and Zurich-Basel Plant Science Center, University of Zurich, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland.

⁴Genetics Department, Faculty of AgriSciences, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, 7602, South Africa

⁵Current address: Plant Sciences Department, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, AL5 2JQ, UK

Correspondence: Malnoy Mickael (mickael.malnoy@fmach.it)

Abstract

Fire blight disease, caused by the bacterium *Erwinia amylovora* (*E. amylovora*), is responsible for substantial losses in cultivated apple worldwide. An important mechanism of plant immunity is based on the recognition of conserved microbial molecules, named pathogen- or microbe-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs or MAMPs), through pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), leading to pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). The interspecies transfer of PRRs represents a promising strategy to engineer broad spectrum and durable disease resistance in crops. EFR, the *Arabidopsis thaliana* PRR for the PAMP elf18 derived from the elongation factor thermal unstable (EF-Tu) proved to be effective in improving bacterial resistance when expressed into Solanaceae and other plant species,. In this study, we tested whether EFR can affect the interaction of apple with *E. amylovora* by its ectopic expression in the susceptible apple rootstock M.26. Stable *EFR* expression led to the activation of PAMP-triggered immune response in apple leaves upon treatment with supernatant of *E. amylovora*, as measured by production of reactive oxygen species and the induction of known defense genes. The amount of tissue necrosis associated with *E. amylovora* infection was significantly reduced in the *EFR* transgenic rootstock compared to the wild-type. Our results show that the expression of *EFR* in apple rootstock may be a valuable biotechnology strategy to improve the resistance of apple to fire blight.

Introduction

Despite being constantly exposed to a wide range of pathogens in their immediate environment, plants are resistant to most microbes. Each plant cell can trigger an immune response autonomously by employing pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) for sensitive and rapid detection of potential threats caused by pests or pathogens (Zipfel 2014). This mechanism is based on the recognition of conserved microbial molecules (pathogen- or microbial-associated molecular patterns, PAMPs or MAMPs) and is known as pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). PAMPs are important for microbes (both pathogenic and non-pathogenic), and are widely conserved across microorganisms. When these molecules are recognized by plants, a series of defense reactions are induced such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, callose deposition, expression of defense genes and activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades (Wu and Zhou 2013; Lu *et al.*, 2014). Several PAMPs have been described and their recognition investigated in plants (Schwessinger and Ronald, 2012; Kawano and Shimamoto, 2013; Wu and Zhu, 2013; Boutrot & Zipfel, 2017) such as beta-glucan (Klarynski *et al.*, 2000), chitin (Kaku *et al.*, 2006), flagellin (Felix *et al.*, 1999) and the elongation factor thermal unstable (EF-Tu) (Kunze *et al.*, 2004).

EF-Tu is one of the most abundant bacterial proteins and acts in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (hereafter, *Arabidopsis*) as a very potent PAMP (Kunze *et al.*, 2004). *Arabidopsis* recognizes the N-terminus of the protein and specifically the N-acetylated peptide comprising the first 18 amino acids of EF-Tu, called elf18. EF-Tu is recognized by EF-TU RECEPTOR (EFR), a *Brassicaceae*-specific PRR (Zipfel *et al.*, 2006). EFR is related to FLAGELLIN SENSING 2 (FLS2), the receptor for the flagellin-derived PAMP flg22, and belongs to the leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase (LRR-RK) XII sub-family. Elf18 perception by EFR is specific to the *Brassicaceae* family. However, expression of the *Arabidopsis EFR* gene in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and in tomato conferred elf18 responsiveness and increased anti-bacterial resistance to these species, indicating that the downstream elements of PRR activation are conserved between *Arabidopsis* and other plants (Lacombe *et al.* 2010; Kunwar *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, expression of *EFR* has been also reported to increase resistance to bacterial diseases in other plants such as *Medicago truncatula* (Pfeilmeier *et al.*, 2019), potato (Boschi *et al.*, 2017), rice (Schwessinger *et al.*, 2015; Lu *et al.*, 2014), and wheat (Schoonbeek *et al.*, 2015).

Fire blight, caused by the Gram-negative bacterium *Erwinia amylovora* (*E. amylovora*), is a destructive disease that strongly affects the *Rosaceae* family, including the economically important species apple and pear (Malnoy *et al.*, 2012). *E. amylovora* is classified as a member of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, and, as such, is closely related to many important human and animal pathogens, such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp. and *Yersinia* spp.

Control of the fire blight pathogen is difficult and is typically achieved through the eradication of entire trees displaying symptoms or via preventive spraying of copper compounds or antibiotics. However, these methods are not completely effective when used in isolation (Malnoy *et al.* 2012), and the application of antibiotics is not authorized in some countries due to the evolution of antibiotic resistance in populations of plant pathogens (Sundin *et al.* 2006). Within this framework, classical breeding and genetic engineering have been widely applied for the production of resistant cultivars and for targeted bacterial disease management (Emeriewen *et al.*, 2014a/b, 2017; Gardiner *et al.*, 2012; Malnoy *et al.* 2006, 2008, Kost *et al.*, 2015; Broggin *et al.*, 2014). However, on the one hand classical breeding is time-consuming and the quality of the obtained new cultivars is often inferior to that of commercially available apples, thus hindering consumer acceptance. On the other hand, the use of genetically modified plants is still forbidden in many countries worldwide. Due to its destructive character and to the lack of effective control mechanisms, *E. amylovora* is capable of dispersing rapidly within susceptible plants and between trees in orchards, which could result in great economic losses.

In this study, we generated transgenic apple plants expressing the *AtEFR* gene under the control of the *AtFLS2* promoter in the susceptible apple rootstock M.26. Transgenic plants were characterized by molecular and phenotypic analyses to evaluate EFR functionality and its ability to confer increased resistance to *E. amylovora*.

Materials and Methods

Evaluation of elf18 peptides

Nineteen elf18 peptide sequences were retrieved from Lacombe *et al.* (2010) and from the *E. amylovora* genome. Phylogenetic trees (maximum likelihood model) for these sequences were constructed using MEGA7 software (Kumar *et al.* 2006) after sequence alignment via clustalW (Larkin *et al.* 2007).

Plant materials and growth conditions

For apple, wild-type and transgenic plantlets of the rootstock M.26 were grown *in vitro* following the procedure described by Malnoy *et al.* (2007), and acclimated to soil according to Bolar

et al. (1998). *In vitro* and glasshouse plant material was cultivated with a 16/8-h light/dark period at 20-24 °C.

Arabidopsis thaliana plantlets of ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) and *efr* mutant lines were grown in soil as one plant per pot or in plates containing half MS salts medium, 1% sucrose and 1% plant agar, at 20-22, °C with a 16/8-h light/dark period.

Plant transformation and evaluation of ploidy level

The binary vector pBin19 used for plant transformation carries the *AtEFR* open reading frame flanked by the *Arabidopsis FLS2* promoter and the *octopine synthase* terminator (pBin19/FLS2p:EFR-FLAG). This vector was transferred into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 by electroporation and used to transform the apple rootstock M.26.

Plant transformation, regeneration, propagation and acclimatization were carried out as described by Malnoy *et al.* (2006). Regenerated transgenic plants were confirmed by PCR with primers *efrF* (5'-CTTGAATTTATTGGGGCTGTGGCG-3') and *efrR* (5'-CCTGCAAGTTCAAAGCTTCCCGA-3') for the presence of the transgene. Following transformation, the ploidy level of the transgenic and untransformed clones was also estimated by flow cytometry as described by Malnoy *et al.* (2005).

Preparation of the bacterial supernatant

Overnight culture of *E. amylovora* (strains Ea273 and Ea1430) was centrifuged at 4000 g for 5 minutes. The obtained pellet was suspended in 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7,8) and boiled at 100 °C for 15 minutes. The bacterial suspension was then centrifuged at 4000 g for 5 minutes and the supernatant was collected for further experiments.

Reactive oxygen species measurement

Leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 plants or *efr* mutants were treated with the supernatant of two strains of *E. amylovora* (strains Ea273 and Ea1430). ROS measurement was performed as previously described by Zipfel *et al.* (2006).

The detection of superoxide anions in apple leaves was performed by staining the leaves with nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT). The youngest leaves of actively growing plants (control and transgenic lines) were transferred to NBT staining solution containing 5 mM NBT in 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6,5 (Muller *et al.*, 2009). The leaves were incubated in staining solution under constant vacuum until dark color appeared on the surfaces of the stained leaves. Stained leaves were washed with 100 % ethanol for a better visualization of the staining.

Quantification of nptII copy number by Taqman real-time PCR

The quantification of the *nptII* copy number was used to calculate the number of T-DNA insertion events in the transformed apple plants (Supplemental Data 1) according to the Taqman real-time PCR method developed by Dalla Costa *et al.* (2019). Primers and probes used in the analysis are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Determination of fire blight resistance

The evaluation for fire blight symptoms was carried out in three independent experiments, as described by Malnoy *et al.* (2008). In brief, 20 vigorously growing shoots of M.26 transgenic plants and untransformed control plants (M.26 susceptible and M7 resistant cultivars) were inoculated by cutting the two youngest expanded leaves with scissors previously dipped in an *E. amylovora* suspension (strain Ea273, 5×10^7 cfu mL⁻¹). Only actively growing plants that showed a shoot length of at least 13.0 cm were considered for the experiments. Disease severity was rated 1 month after inoculation as percentage of the length of the necrosis/total length of the shoot growth, as described by Campa *et al.*, 2018. Data interpretation and statistical analysis were performed according to Pompili *et al.*, 2020.

Inoculated shoot tips became orange-brown and eventually dark brown, often with production of cloudy ooze droplets which darkened with time. These symptoms proceeded basipetally on the inoculated shoot, and eventually terminated depending on the susceptibility of the shoot resulting from its genetics and the vigor of its growth. Three to fifteen biological replicates for each plant line were inoculated with *E. amylovora* strain Ea273 (10^7 cfu mL⁻¹) in each of the three independent experiments performed. Only actively growing plants that showed a shoot length of at least 13 cm were considered for the experiments. Data collection was performed according to Campa *et al.* (2018). Statistical analysis was performed using the Dell™ Statistica™ Software version 13.1. As

the three experiments showed the same trend, measures of each plant line were merged and analysed as a single experiment. Nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare groups as data did not show a normal distribution. Subsequently, all groups were compared simultaneously by multiple comparisons of mean rank. Statistical analysis was performed with probability level (α) = 0.05.

Bacterial concentration in plants

Fifteen days post inoculation, three sections of inoculated leaf or healthy tissue from *EFR* transgenic plants and control M.26 were disrupted with two metal balls (5 mm) in 500 mL King B media in a GenoGrinder (2920 s, 1250 rpm) to release bacteria from the leaf apoplast. A 10^{-1} – 10^{-6} dilution series was made in LB medium and 100 μ L from each dilution were spotted on Kado media and incubated at 28 °C for 24 h. The number of colonies was counted to estimate the number of colony forming units (cfus) per inoculation site. Four independent experiments were performed.

Total RNA isolation and gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted from apple leaves with the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Two micrograms of total RNA were treated with DNase (Ambion) and then reverse transcribed with SuperScript VILO cDNA SynthesisKit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), according to manufacturer instructions. Real time quantitative PCR was conducted using Biorad CFX96 System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) as described in Campa *et al.* (2019). Each reaction was performed in triplicate. Gene-specific primers are reported in Table S1. Apple *Actin* (*actin2*), *Ubiquitin* (*Ubi*) and *Elongation Factor 1* (*EF1*) were used as internal reference genes for normalization (Table S1). The Biorad CFX manager software version 3.0 was used to analyse the relative expression level. Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica software version 9.1 (StatSoft Inc., 2010). Gene expression variance was analysed by One-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's test. All analyses were performed using three biological replicates.

Results

Evaluation of E. amylovora EF-Tu eliciting activity

Based on the EF-Tu sequence from *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) (Kunze *et al.* 2004), the sequence of elf18 was retrieved from different *Erwinia* species (Figure 1A, B). Alignment of the *Erwinia*

sequences with 14 selected elf18 sequences revealed that all of the elf18 sequences derived from *Erwinia* species are grouping with the *E. coli* elf18 sequence (Figure 1 B). A strong conservation of the consensus in the elf18 peptides can be observed (Figure 1A). Indeed, 9 of the 18 amino acids of elf18 conserved domains are preserved in all the bacteria species (Figure 1A), while specific amino acid substitution allows to define specific clades of bacteria (Figure 1B).

To verify the activity of *E. amylovora* EF-Tu elicitor, heat-killed *E. amylovora* extracts were applied to wild-type and *efr* mutant *A. thaliana* leaf discs. Extracts from *E. amylovora* strains were able to elicit ROS production in wild-type Arabidopsis leaves; in contrast, this response was abolished in *efr* mutant leaves (Figure 1 C). These results indicate that the main elicitor present in the extract derives from EF-Tu.

Generation of transgenic apple, molecular evaluation of the transgenic events and ploidy level

The susceptible apple rootstock M.26 was transformed to express *EFR* (see methods for details). A total of 6 transgenic lines were obtained from one transformation experiment. All the transgenic lines were confirmed by PCR for the presence of the *EFR* gene and then characterized for the T-DNA integration copy number into the genome. The lines TM-2017, TM2108 and TM2114 had one T-DNA insertion, while the lines TM2111, TM2113 and TM2112 had 3, 5 and 8 copies of inserted T-DNA, respectively. Moreover, the ploidy level determined by flow cytometry showed that all of the transformed lines were diploid, like the non-transformed M.26. Thus, expression of *EFR* was evaluated in plants grown at greenhouse conditions. RT-qPCR analysis showed that *EFR* was expressed in leaves of all the transgenic lines before *E. amylovora* infection and significantly increased 10- to 20-fold 24 h post-infiltration with *E. amylovora* bacterial suspension or supernatant, respectively (Figure 2).

EFR expression in apple induces oxidative burst and defense gene upon *Erwinia* inoculation

To test the effect of the expression of *EFR* on the production of ROS in the transgenic lines (untreated and treated with *E. amylovora* or supernatant of *E. amylovora*), the production of superoxide anions was examined using NBT staining in those lines showing only one insertion copy. As shown in Figure 3A, 48 h after infiltration with bacteria or supernatant of *E. amylovora* (strain Ea273), a significant accumulation of superoxide anions can be observed in the two M.26 transgenic lines (TM-2107 and TM-2108) tested. In the control M26, a small superoxide anion staining can be

noticed after treatment with *E. amylovora* supernatant compared with infection with *E. amylovora*. In the M.26 *EFR* transgenic lines a low superoxide anion staining can be observed after water treatment, demonstrating that ROS burst is effectively due to the induction of *EFR* after treatments.

PAMPs elicit the rapid and strong expression of *MPK3*, *MPK6* and other defense genes in *Arabidopsis* (Pitzschke *et al.* 2009). This observation led us to examine whether ROS marker genes were induced in our transgenic lines after bacterial infection. Transcript levels of *MdMPK3-2* and *MdWRKY25* increased in the transgenic lines after infiltration with bacteria or supernatant of *E. amylovora* (strain Ea273), whereas a small increase can be observed in M.26 only after inoculation with the bacteria suspension of *E. amylovora* (Figure 3B). In contrast, no significant increase of expression can be observed for the *MdNPR1* gene in all the tested samples.

Evaluation of transgenic lines on their resistance to fire blight

To characterize the response of the transformed lines to fire blight, 20 acclimated biological replicates per line were inoculated with *E. amylovora* Ea273 at 5×10^7 cfu mL⁻¹ in three independent experiments. The response was similar in the replicated experiments, as indicated by low confidence intervals of means. The control M.26 showed an average necrosis of 70 %, indicating its high susceptibility to *E. amylovora*, while 2 *EFR* transgenic lines showed significantly lower necrosis (Figure 4A, B) compared to the wild-type plants. Indeed, all *EFR* transgenic lines exhibited a reduction in the necrosis of 70% to 80% compared to the control, one month post-inoculation with *E. amylovora* strain Ea273. Notably, this level of resistance was comparable to what observed in the resistant genotype M.7 (Malnoy *et al.* 2007). In the transgenic lines, the necrosis due to *E. amylovora* was restricted in an area of few centimetres from the inoculation point, allowing the growth of new healthy shoot under the necrotic tissue (Figure 4A). For the control, almost all the shoots were necrotic 15 days after inoculation, without new growing shoots. Moreover, the reduction of necrosis in the transgenic lines (T-2107, T-2108 and T2112) 5 days after infection correlated with a 100-fold reduction in the amount of *E. amylovora* that could be recovered from the transgenic plants compared to control plants. Indeed, 120 h after inoculation the bacterial population, evaluated by a population dynamic study, had reached 5×10^8 cfu mL⁻¹ (+/- 0,5 cfu mL⁻¹) in the inoculated wild type leaves and 10^5 cfu mL⁻¹ (+/- 0,7 cfu mL⁻¹) in the inoculated transgenic leaves. Furthermore, no *E. amylovora* bacteria was detected in the healthy transgenic tissues compared to the control.

Discussion

Apple is one of the major fruit crops in the world with over 80 million tons produced each year (FAO, 2017). To maintain a high and good level of production, a considerable amount of chemical treatments is necessary (15-30 treatments/year) to protect apple against its wide range of pathogens. Major management strategies for bacterial diseases in *Malus* include biological control, cultivation practices, and breeding for disease-resistant cultivars. Although chemical control has proved to be very successful for pests and fungal diseases in apple, in the case of fire blight, no truly effective bactericide is commercially available (Malnoy *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, breeding for disease resistance has been considered as the most effective and environmentally friendly approach to control bacterial diseases in apple. However, valuable resistant germplasms within domesticated *Malus* are limited for bacterial diseases such as fire blight (Volk *et al.*, 2005 2008). Transfer of resistance genes is an alternative way to improve plant immunity and that has been already done in apple and pear to increase resistance to fire blight (Malnoy *et al.*, 2005, 2006, 2008; Brogini *et al.*, 2014). In the case of the resistance conveyed by the *Rin4* gene, it has been overcome by the pathogen over a period of time (Vogt *et al.*, 2013). In order to develop novel forms of disease control, understanding the key determinants of the relevant bacterium–host interaction is crucial (Sundin *et al.* 2016). One of these key determinants is the EF-Tu protein. Sequence alignment revealed that the key residues in the elf18 epitope of *E. amylovora* are conserved, thus suggesting that EF-Tu-derived from *E. amylovora* is recognized by *EFR* (Figure 1). In addition, we showed that supernatant of two strains of *E. amylovora* can induce ROS production in *A. thaliana* but not in the *efr* mutant.

In this study, we generated transgenic rootstock of apple that express AtEFR to confer recognition of EF-Tu from *E. amylovora*. The transgenic rootstock M.26 plants recognize the EF-Tu-derived peptide elf18, leading to the production of ROS burst in leaves as previously reported in other plants expressing EFR (Boschi *et al.* 2017). These results indicate that components required for EFR-triggered downstream immune signaling are conserved not only in *Solanaceae* but also in apple, the 50-million year divergence between *Maloideae* species and *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

We demonstrated that all the generated apple transgenic lines present a high level of resistance to fire blight similar to the resistant control (M.7) (Malnoy *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, transgenic rootstock plants expressing *EFR* did show constitutive activation of defense responses, as measured by ROS production, and expression of defense genes. They also did not exhibit any developmental or growth defects over several generations (data not shown). After treatment with supernatant of *E. amylovora* or inoculation with *E. amylovora*, a strong ROS production and increase of defense gene

expression could however be observed, documenting the inducibility of EFR activation in the transgenic lines.

The use of this transgenic rootstock can be interesting for protecting apple cultivars against fire blight or other bacterial pathogens such as *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Indeed, rootstocks have been used in agriculture for over 2000 years in Asia to improve production, reduce disease susceptibility, and increase sustainable agriculture by reducing inputs (Haroldsend *et al.*, 2012; Kubato *et al.*, 2008). The first contribution of rootstock for food cultivation was by domestication and propagation of woody species difficult to root from cutting such as apple, pear and plum (Mudge *et al.*, 2009). Nevertheless, the best example of rootstock contribution for food security was the rescue of the European grape and wine industry from the devastating effects of the soil born insect phyloxera in the 19th century (Pouget 1990).

Our transgenic rootstock can be used as resistant rootstock against fire blight in countries that already allow the cultivation of GM organisms, and might be a further motivation for the deregulation of GM crops to improve disease resistance in countries that do not do so yet. It may also improve the resistance of the scion to the disease; indeed, it was proven that grafting can be useful for the transport of signaling molecule from the rootstock to the scion to gain virus resistance (Kasai *et al.*, 2011; Zhao and Song 2014).

References

- Beranger R, Billoir E, Nuckols JR, Blain J, Millet M, Bayle ML, Combourieu B, Philip T, Scuz J, Fervers B (2019) Agricultural and domestic pesticides in house dust from different agricultural areas in France. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26:19632-19645.
- Bolar JP, Norelli JL, Aldwinckle HS, Hanke V, (1998) An Efficient Method for Rooting and Acclimation of Micropropagated Apple Cultivar. *HortScience* 33, 1251-1252
- Borejsza-Wysocka E, Norelli JL, Aldwinckle HS, Malnoy M (2010) Long-term stability of *attacin E* expression in transformed apple after 12 years in the field and effect of the expression of this gene in the fruit characteristics. *BMC biotechnology* 10:41.
- Boschi F, Schvartzman C, Murchio S, Ferreira V, Siri MI, Galván GA, Smoker M, Stransfeld ., Zipfel C, Vilaró FL, Dalla-Rizza M (2017) Enhanced Bacterial Wilt Resistance in Potato through Expression of *Arabidopsis* EFR and Introgression of Quantitative Resistance from *Solanum commersonii*. *Front Plant Sci.* 25; 8:1642.

- Broggini GA, Wohner T, Fahrentrapp J, Kost TD, Flachowsky H, Peil A, Hanke MV, Richter K, Patocchi A Gessler C (2014) Engineering fire blight resistance into the apple cultivar 'Gala' using the FB_MR5 CC-NBS-LRR resistance gene of *Malus x robusta* 5. *Plant Biotechnol J*, 12, 728-733.
- Dalla Costa L, Bozzoli M, Pompili V, Piazza S, Broggin GAL, Patocchi A, Malnoy M (2019) Development of a Taqman Real-time PCR method to quantify nptII in apple lines obtained with 'established' or 'new breeding' techniques of genetic modification" *Food Analytical Methods*, 245: 643-652
- Durel CE, Denancé C, Brisset MN (2009) Two distinct major QTL for resistance to fire blight co-localize on linkage group 12 in apple genotypes 'Evereste' and *Malus floribunda* clone 821. *Genome*, 52, 139-147.
- Emeriewen O, Richter K, Kilian A, Zini E, Hanke MV, Malnoy M, Peil A (2014a) Identification of a major quantitative trait locus for resistance to fire blight in the wild apple species *Malus fusca*. *Molecular Breeding*, 34, 407-419.
- Emeriewen OF, Richter K, Hanke MV, Malnoy M, Peil A (2014b) The fire blight resistance QTL of *Malus fusca* (Mfu10) is affected but not broken down by the highly virulent Canadian *Erwinia amylovora* strain E2002A. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 141, 631-635.
- Gardiner SE, Norelli JL, de Silva N, Fazio G, Peil A, Malnoy M, Horner M, Bowatte D, Carlisle C, Wiedow C, Wan Y, L Bassett C, Baldo AM, Celton JM, Richter K, Aldwinckle HS, Bus VG (2012) Putative resistance gene markers associated with quantitative trait loci for fire blight resistance in *Malus* 'Robusta 5' accessions. *BMC Genetics*, 13, 1-20.
- Felix G, Duran JD, Volko S, Boller T(1999) Plants have a sensitive perception system for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. *Plant J* 18:265-276
- Holtappels M, Noben JP, Valcke R (2016) Virulence of *Erwinia amylovora* a prevalent apple pathogen; Outer membrane proteins and type III secreted effectors increase fitness and compromise plant defenses. *Proteomics* 16:2377-2390.
- Kawano Y, Shimamoto K (2013) Early signaling network in rice PRR mediated and R mediated immunity. *Current opinion in plant biology* 16:496-504.
- Kumar S, Iriate F, Fan Q, da Silva E, Ritchie L, Nguyen N, Freeman J, Stall R, Jones J, Minsavage G, Colee J, Scott J, (2018) Transgenic expression of EFR and Ds2 genes for field Management of bacterial wilt and bacterial spot of tomato. *Phytopathology* 108:1042-1411.

- Kaku H, Nishizawa Y, Ishii-Minami N, Akimoto-Tomiya C, Dohmae N, Takio K, Minami E, Shibuya N (2006) Plant cells recognize chitin fragments for defense signaling through a plasma membrane receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103:11086-11091
- Kawano Y, Shimamoto K, (2013) Early signaling network in rice PRR-mediated and R-mediated immunity. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 16: 496–504.
- Klarzynski O, Plesse B, Joubert JM, Yvin JC, Kopp M, Kloareg B, Fritig B (2000) Linear beta-1,3 glucans are elicitors of defense responses in tobacco. *Plant Physiol.* 124:1027-1038
- Kost TD, Gessler C, Jansch M, Flachowsky H, Patocchi A, Brogini GA (2015) Development of the First Cisgenic Apple with Increased Resistance to Fire Blight. *PLoS One*, 10, e0143980.
- Kunze G, Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Niehaus K, Boller T, Felix G (2004) The N Terminus of Bacterial Elongation Factor Tu Elicits Innate Immunity in Arabidopsis Plants *Plant Cell*. 2004 Dec; 16(12): 3496–3507
- Lacombe S, Rougon-Cardoso A, Sherwood E, Peeters N, Dahlbeck D, Per van Esse H, Smoker M, Rallapalli G, Thomma BPHJ, Staskawicz J, Jones DG, Zipfel C (2009) Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern recognition receptor confers broad spectrum bacterial resistance. *Nature biotechnology* 28:365-369
- Lu F, Wang H, Wang S, Jiang W, Shan C, Li B, Yang J, Zhang S, Sun W (2014) Enhancement of innate immune system in monocot rice by transferring the dicotyledonous elongation factor Tu receptor EFR. *Journal of integrative plant biology* doi: 10.1111/jipb.12306
- Malnoy M, Martens S, Norelli JL, Barny MA, Sundin G, Smits THM, Duffy B (2012) Fire Blight: Applied genomic insights of the pathogen and host. *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 2012 Sep 8;50:475-94
- Malnoy M, Xu M, Borejsza-Wysocka EE, Korban SS, Aldwinckle HS (2008) Two receptor-like genes, *Vfa1* and *Vfa2*, confer resistance to the fungal pathogen *Venturia inaequalis* inciting apple scab disease. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 21 448-458.
- Malnoy M, Reynoird JP, Borejsza-Wysocka EE, Aldwinckle HS (2006) Activation of the pathogen-inducible *Gst1* promoter of potato after elicitation by *Venturia inaequalis* and *Erwinia amylovora* in transgenic apple (*Malus X domestica*). *Transgenic research.* 15:83-93.

- Malnoy M, Jin Q, Borejsza-Wysocka EE, He SY, Aldwinckle HS (2007) Over-Expression of the Apple Gene MpNPR1 Causes Increased Disease Resistance in *Malus X domestica*. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 20:1568-1580
- Malnoy M, Venisse JS, Chevreau E (2005) Expression of a Bacterial Effector, Harpin N_{Ea}, Causes Increased Resistance to fire blight in *Pyrus communis*. *Tree Genetics and Genomes.* 1:41-49.
- Malnoy M, Venisse JS, Faize M, Geider K, Chevreau E (2005) Expression of viral EPS-depolymearse reduces fire blight susceptibility in transgenic pear. *Plant Cell Report* 23:632-638.
- Müller K, Linkies A, Vreeburg RA, Fry SC, Krieger-Liszkay A, LeubnerMetzger G (2009) In vivo cell wall loosening by hydroxyl radicals during cressseed germination and elongation growth. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1855–1865.
- Pfeilmeier S, George J, Morel A, Roy S, Smoker M, Stransfeld L, Downie JA, Peeters N, Malone JG, Zipfel C (2019) Expression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* immune receptor EFR in *Medicago truncatula* reduces infection by a root pathogenic bacterium, but not nitrogen-fixing rhizobial symbiosis. *Plant Biotechnol J.* Mar ; 17(3):569-579.
- Pitzschke A, Djamei A, Bitton F, Hirt H (2009) A major role of the MEKK1-MKK1/2-MPK4 pathway in ROS signaling *Mol Plant*, 2:120-137
- Pompili V, Dalla Costa L, Piazza S, Pindo M, Malnoy M (2020) Reduced fire blight susceptibility in apple cultivars using a high-efficiency CRISPR/Cas9-FLP/FRT-based gene editing system. *Plant Biotechnol J.* 18, 845-858.
- Schoonbeek HJ, Wang HH, Stefanato FL, Craze M, Bowden S, Wallington E, Zipfel C, Ribout CJ (2015) *Arabidopsis* EF-TU receptor enhances bacterial disease resistance in transgenic wheat. *New phytologist* 206:606-613.
- Schwessinger B, Ronald PC (2012) Plant innate immunity: perception of conserved microbial signatures. *Annual review of plant biology* 63:451-482
- Schwessinger B, Bahar O, Thomas N, Holton N, Nekrasov V, Ruan D, Canlas PE, Daudi A, Petzold CJ, Singan VR, Kuo R, Chovatia M, Daum C, Heazlewood JL, Zipfel C, Ronald PC (2015) Transgenic expression of the dicotyledonous pattern recognition receptor EFR in rice leads to ligand dependent activation of defense responses. *Plos pathogens* 11(3)

- Steinberg EM, Beer SV (1988) Creation and complementation of pathogenicity mutants of *Erwinia amylovora*. *Mol plant Microbe Interact* 1-134:144
- Sundin GW, Castiblanco LF, Yuan X, Zeng Q, Yang CH (2016) Bacterial disease management: challenges, experience, innovation and future prospects. *Mol Plant Pathol*, 17: 1506-1518.
- Venisse JS, Gullner G, Brisset MN (2001) Evidence for the involvement of an oxidative stress in the initiation of infection of pear by *Erwinia amylovora*. *Plant Physiol*, 125, 2164-2172
- Vogt I, Wohner T, Richter K, Flachowsky H, Sundin GW, Wensing A, Savory EA, Geider K, Day B, Hanke MV, Peil A (2013) Gene-for-gene relationship in the host-pathogen system *Malus x robusta* 5-*Erwinia amylovora*. *New Phytol*, 197, 1262-1275.
- Wu Y, Zhou JM (2013) Receptor like kinases in plant innate immunity. *Journal of integrative plant biology*. 55:1271-1286.
- Zipfel C (2014) Plant pattern recognition receptors. *Trends in immunology* 35:345-351.
- Zipfel C, Kunze G, Chinchilla D, Caniard A, Jones JD, Boller T, Felix G (2006) Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. *Cell* 125:749-760.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Autonomous Province of Trento. Work in the Zipfel laboratory on the interfamily transfer of EFR is funded by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation and the 2Blades Foundation.

Author contributions

S.P. conducted the majority of experiments and wrote the manuscript. M.C., V.P., U.S and D.C.L. contributed performing the experiments, and revised the manuscript. C.Z. and M.M designed the project, contributed to designing the experiments and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Conservation of elf18 epitope in *E. amylovora*

A) Alignment of elf18 regions from selected bacteria: XANAC: *Xanthomonas Axonopodis pv. citrus*, XANOM: *Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae*; XANC8: *Xanthomonas Campestris pv. Campestris 8004*; XANCB: *Xanthomonas Campestris pv. Campestris B100*; AGRT5: *Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58*; RHIRD: *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*; AGRVS: *Agrobacterium vitis S4*; EaB661: *Erwinia billingeae 661*; EaT1/99: *Erwinia tosmeniensis 1/99*; Ea1430: *Erwinia amylovora 1430*; Ea273: *Erwinia amylovora 273*; EP1/79: *Erwinia pyrifoliae Ep1/79*; ERWCT: *Erwinia carotovora ssp. Atroseptica/P. Atrosepticum*; EcK12: *Echerichia coli K12*; RALSO: *Ralsonia solanacearum GMI1000*; PSE14: *Pseudomonas syringae pv. Phaseolicola 1448*; PSESM: *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000*; PSEU2: *Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae B728a*; PSEPF: *Pseudomonas fluorescence pfo-1*. B) Phylogenetic tree of the elf18 sequences from the selected bacteria mentioned above. C) Reactive oxygen species generation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild-type and *efr* mutant in response to mock or *Erwinia amylovora* (Ea1430 or Ea273) supernatant treatments measured in relative light units (RLU). Data are average \pm SE (n=10). This experiment was repeated 2 times with similar results.

Figure 2: Expression pattern of *EFR* in transgenic apple rootstock M.26 compared to wild-type before and 24 h after treatment with supernatant of *E. amylovora* (S) or with *E. amylovora* (Ea) at 10^7 cfu mL⁻¹. This experiment was repeated 3 times with similar results. Bars indicate the mean values \pm SE. Considering mock- and pathogen-treatments separately, asterisks indicate statistically significant differences of datasets from the corresponding dataset at time zero (0 h), according to one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Dunnett's test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Figure 3: Evaluation of ROS production (A) and transcript levels of defense genes (B) in *EFR* apple transgenic lines before and 24 h after treatment with supernatant of *E. amylovora* (S) or with *E. amylovora* (Ea) at 10^7 cfu mL⁻¹. This experiment was repeated 3 times with similar results. Bars indicate the mean values \pm SE. Considering mock- and pathogen-treatments separately, asterisks indicate statistically significant differences of datasets from the corresponding dataset at time zero (T0), according to one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Dunnett's test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Figure 4: Fire blight severity in *EFR* transgenic apple rootstock M.26.

A) Percentage of necrosis (shown with 95 % confidence interval bar). Susceptibility of the EFR transgenic rootstock lines to *E. amylovora* in comparison with the wild-type M.7 apple rootstock (resistant to fire blight) and M.26 (susceptible to fire blight) apple rootstock. Lengths of shoot and the portions that appeared necrotic were measured 1 month after inoculation with *E. amylovora*. Transgenic rootstock susceptibility is significantly different from M.26 at $P < 0,001$ (three asterisk), according to Kruskal and Wallis test. B) Pictures, taken 1 month after inoculation, showing the fire blight induced necrotic phenotype in the wild-type M.26 and in selected transgenic lines. Data are average \pm SD (n=20). This experiment was repeated 3times with similar results.




Figure 1





Figure 2

A



B

M.26

T-2107

T-2108




Figure 3

A



B




Figure 4

Table S1: Sequences of primers and probes used for the quantification of *nptII* copy number, and primer used for QPCR.

Primer and probe name	Sequence (5'-3')
<i>MdTOPO6</i>	F: TGTGGAAGGAGATCAAAGCGCA R: CGCGTTGCTTCTTTGCTGCA
<i>NPTII</i>	F: CTTGCCGAATATCATGGTGGAA R:GGTAGCCAACGCTATGTCCTGA
<i>MdTOPO6 probe</i>	FAM-5'-ACATGCCAACAGGAACAATCACA-3'-TAMRA
<i>NPTII probe</i>	FAM-5'-TTCTGGATTCATCGACTGTGGC-3'-TAMRA
<i>NPR1</i>	F-GATGAGAAAACCCCACTGGA R- TTGCTTTCGTCCATTTTCC
<i>EFR</i>	F-TTGTGGCTTCTCTGTGTTGG R- TTACCGAAATTGCCTGAACC
<i>MdUBI</i>	F- CATCCCCCAGACCAGCAGA R- CATCCCCCAGACCAGCAGA
<i>MdACT</i>	F- TGACCGAATGAGCAAGGAAATTACT R- TACTCAGCTTTGGCAATCCACATC
<i>MdWRKY25</i>	F- AATATACATTGGGAGCAAAAGAGTC R- AGAGTTCAGCATGGAAAGCG
<i>MdMPK3-2</i>	F- AGCAACCTCGTGTTGAATGC R- CCACAGACCACACGTCGATT