THE NATURE AND AMOUNT OF THE FLUCTUA-TIONS IN NITRATE CONTENTS OF ARABLE SOILS.

BY EDWARD JOHN RUSSELL.

(Rothamsted Experimental Station.)

THE study of the fluctuations in the amounts of nitrate present in arable soils is important both from the practical and the scientific points of view. The nitrate supply in the soil is very commonly a limiting factor in crop production in Great Britain, so that any process which increases the nitrate supply tends to increase productiveness, and vice versa. From the scientific point of view the interest is even wider. No soil constituent, not even the moisture, shows such great fluctuations as the nitrate represents the end point in one chain of decompositions and the amount formed over a given period is therefore a measure of the extent to which this particular decomposition has proceeded.

The experiments of Warington, Omelianski¹ and others have proved, as clearly as any negative proposition can be proved, that nitrates are formed only from nitrites and these only from ammonia. The formation of nitrate has been shown in an earlier paper² to be the quickest of these stages while the formation of ammonia is the slowest. The proof is that under no natural conditions have we ever found any accumulation of ammonia in the soil. At Rothamsted the amount found is a constant minimum, about 1 or 2 parts per million of soil. Such a negative proof is obviously not rigid, but so far as all the evidence goes this non-accumulation of ammonia is characteristic of soils kept under natural conditions of temperature, moisture and aeration.

¹ Centr. Bakt. Par., Abt. 11. 1899, 5, 473-493: "Ueber die Nitrification des organischen Stickstoffes."

² This Journal, 1909, 3, 233.

EDWARD JOHN RUSSELL

The amounts of nitrate in the soil at different periods of the year.

Systematic determinations of the nitrate in the soil of arable land at different times of the year bring out the very remarkable fact that the amount of nitrate is commonly at a maximum, not in late summer as is often stated, but in late spring, or early summer. This is shown in Table I where the means of all the results on uncropped land¹ are collected, and in Table II where the results of cropped land are given. The highest amount, or nearly the highest, occurs in May or June, after which there is sometimes a slight increase but sooner or later a fall. What is even more remarkable is the rapid rate of accumulation of nitrate in the spring; the rise from the winter minimum to the early summer maximum is very rapid, and usually much quicker than anything obtained later.

After the mild wet winters of 1911—12 and 1912—13 this rapid accumulation of nitrate did not set in directly the warm weather began; there was a well marked lag. Thus in 1912 there was no increase in the stock of soil nitrate during the month of May but a very marked increase during June. Yet the weather appeared to be very favourable in May as shown by the following data:

•		- ·		·				
	Period nitra	l of no acc tes, April	umulat 28—M	tion of ay 25	Period of nit	of mar rates, N	ked accu Iay 26-	mulation June 22
	1st week. Ap. 28—May 4	2nd week, May 5—11	3rd week, May 12—18	4th week, May 19-25	1st week, May 26June1	2nd week, June 2—8	3rd week, June 9—15	4th week, June 16—22
Rainfall, inches	()·40 49·0	0 03 58 9	0·22 54·8	0·32 52•2	0·43 53·4	1.20 54.0	1.02 56.1	0·12 60·0
uegrees above 42 F.J	April 30	118 Мау 13		May 22	01	04	5.7	June 26
Moisture in soil, per cent. (Plot 7 ²)	17.5	11.2		15.5				19.3
million (Plot 7 ²) ²	15	18		12				28

¹ Fruit trees were growing on the loams and the clay but the land was kept cultivated and free from any quick growing crop.

² Similar data for other plots will be found in Table II. Pouget and Guiraud (Compt. Rend. 1909, **148**, 725), working at the School of Agriculture, Maison-Carrée, Algeria, found

2-2

Again in 1913 no accumulation of nitrates took place during May in spite of the favourable weather, but a rapid rise set in during the first week in June:---

	Period latio N	l of no a on of nit fay 11-	iccumu- Frates, -31	P	eriod of J	marked a of nitrat une 1J	accumulat es, uly 5	ion
	May 11—17	May 18-24	May 25—31	June 17	June 8—14	June 15—21	June 2228	June 29 —July õ
Rainfall, inches Mean temp., °F Accumulated heat (day degrees above 42° F.)	0.07 51.3 69	0.06 52.2 71	0·31 61·5 137	0·35 55·2 92	0·31 55·1 92	0·34 59·2 120	0·06 57·0 105	0.28 58.4 115
Moisture in soil, per cent. (Agdell, Plot 2) Nitrates in soil, parts per million:		May 19 16·3 7	May 31 14·5 4		June 9 16 • 0 11		June 23 12 [.] 2 3	July 9 13·3 18
, Plot 1 Hoos 1.0	ŀ	8 5	5 5		15 18		4 4	14 21

None of these plots received any manure during the season.

In both cases the preceding winter had been mild and wet so that the soil had lain wet for many weeks. In 1909, after a drier and colder winter, the rise set in at an earlier date and was already manifest on some plots early in April, although the weather appeared to be distinctly unfavourable (see Table on opposite page).

The subsequent changes in the amount of nitrate differ accordingly as the land is cropped or not. Where there is no crop the nitrates accumulate to a greater extent than where a crop is growing; but as

that nitrates almost entirely disappeared from the soil in the wet months, Jan.—April, but did not at once begin to accumulate when drier, warmer weather set in. Only little nitrate was found during May, although the mean temperature for the month was 18.3° C.; not till June was the accumulation at all marked. The results obtained were:

	Feb. 12	Feb. 27	April	May 22	June 6	June 13	June 20
N. as nitrate, parts per million	2	1.5	trace	3.7	6.3	7.6	7.3
month, °C Rainfall for the month,		8·8 93	11·9 76·5	18·2		18·5	

20

the crop introduces a new factor we shall begin by discussing the case of land either wholly fallow or else only carrying young fruit trees, where the complication due to the crop is reduced to a minimum.

	1st F	eriod, l	March 7	—Apri	1 10	2nd P	eriod, A	pril 11-	-May 8
	March 7—13	March 14-20	March 21-27	Mar. 28 —Apr. 3	April 4—10	April 11—17	April 18—24	Apr. 25 	May 28
Rainfall, inches Mean temp., °F Accumulated heat (day degrees above 42°F.	0 [.] 29 35.8 - 43	0.53 37.1 5	0.65 43.5 26	0·99 43·4 29	0 44·8 68	0.20 48.4 60	0.64 49.0 54	0.83 46.9 47	0 48·4 60
	Broa Orcl	dbalk hard	April 6	Ioos du Plot	nged 7 ²	Broad	Ma lbalk hard	y 7 Hoos Plo	dunged ot 7º
Moisture in soil, °/ _o	18	5.2		20.0	0	1	3·7	1	8.0
per million	4	Ļ		22	ļ		8	2	1

1. Land wholly or mainly left fallow. Effect of soil. Reference to Table I shows that under similar treatment the sand is at all times except winter poorer in nitrates than loams or clays. The highest amount was recorded in May 1909 when 8 parts per million were found in the top 9 inches, or 36 lbs. per acre in the top 18 inches, but more usually only about half these quantities are present, and during the hot dry summer of 1911 the amounts fell to 2.5 parts per million, or 13 lbs. per acre in the top 18 inches.

In winter and early spring the loam contained approximately the same amount of nitrate as the sand, but later on—from May onwards it contained much more. The maximum amount found at Ridgmont was 19 parts per million in the top 9 inches, or 95 lbs. per acre in the top 18 inches: at Rothamsted still higher quantities (23 parts per million or 115 lbs. per acre) occurred in the hot dry summer of 1911.

The clay contained more nitrate in winter and early spring than the loam but less in summer and autumn. The amount never fell below 4 parts per million, or 20 lbs. per acre in the top 18 inches, nor, on the other hand, did it rise above 14 parts per million, or 60 lbs. per acre; there were no sharp falls and no sharp rises.

Comparing the results for the three types of soil it is evident that the loams are most suitable for the accumulation of nitrate, next comes the clay, while the sand is the least suitable. The sand and the loam $\mathbf{22}$

lose their nitrates equally completely in the winter, the amounts running down to 9 lbs. per acre, or 1 part per million. The clay suffers much less loss, the lowest amount found being 20 lbs. per acre or 4 parts per million. Thus the total fluctuation is least in the clays and most in the loams. These relationships are shown in the curves for 1912 in Fig. 1. It will be shown later (p. 49) that organic matter conditions the retention of nitrates during winter just as clay does, the



dunged plots at Rothamsted always containing more nitrate in winter than those receiving artificial fertilisers only.

It will be observed that the amounts of nitrogen as nitrate do not usually exceed certain limits, which in these experiments were :---

	Parts per million	lbs. por acre 0-18"
Sand	6	28
Loam	23	115
Clay	14	60

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. BBSRC, on 23 Apr 2021 at 12:24:02, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600002148

The heavily dunged loams, however, sometimes contained as much as 37 parts per million.

This limit to the accumulation of nitrate in soil has already been noticed in an earlier paper¹.

Effect of Season. Unfortunately it is not possible to characterise climatic factors with sufficient exactness for precise discussion, but some interesting results are obtained from a general comparison of the different seasons. The summer and autumn of 1909 and 1912 were cold and wet; those of 1911 were hot and dry. Table I shows that the amounts of nitrate present in 1911 are distinctly higher than in 1909 and 1912. In the latter years there was no tendency for nitrates to accumulate in autumn; in 1911, on the other hand, there was a well marked tendency in this direction, especially on two of the loams. Indeed at Rothamsted the nitrates attain in September 1911 the extraordinary high level of 115 lbs. per acre or 22 parts per million. Thus a hot dry summer favours the accumulation of nitrates on loams in autumn, a cold wet summer does not. These relationships are shown in Fig. 2. In the dry but cool summer of 1913 the maximum was attained in June (on one or two plots in July) and practically no change set in till the winter leaching began.

The rule does not hold universally on sandy soils. Of the four sand plots studied three showed no gain in nitrate during or after the hot weather, and only the fourth (No. 21) behaved like the loams and clays :---

No.	May 22	June 15	July 13	Aug. 9	Sept. 7
23 25 27	$26 \\ 21 \\ 24.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 13\\18\\16\end{array}$	12.5 15 10	$15 \\ 14.5 \\ 16$	17 14 10
21	23	12	12	29	27

N. as nitrate, lbs. per acre, 0-18 ins. in 1911.

The soils, however, were very dry, the moisture steadily falling from 5 to 1.3 per cent. On the clay soil at Ridgmont no rise of nitrates was observed in autumn.

¹ This Journal, 1913, 5, 197.



FIG. 2. Effect of season on accumulation of nitrates on the loam at Rothamsted.

 TABLE I.
 N. as nitrate, parts per million of dry soil.
 Soil uncropped except for fruit trees.

A. Si	and, Mi	lbrook the wi	(no mai hole pei	nure apj riod)	plied du	ring	C. Clay March i.e. 7 j	y, Ridgn or Apr parts pe	nont (a il conta r millic	rtificial lining 1 on)	manur 6 lbs. N	es appli . as Na	ed in NO ₃ ,
1909	March	May	July	Sept.			1909	March	Мау	July	Sept.		
0-9''	5	8	3	2			0-9"	8	7	4	õ		
9—18	4	Ð	5	2			9-18	12	Э	4	4		
1910	June	Aug.	Sept.				1910	June	Aug.	Sept.			
09″	6	4	5				09''	7	5	9			
9-18"	5	3.2	3				9	7	5	5			
1911	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.		1911	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	
0—9"	5	3	2.5	4	4		0-9"	11	14	10	6	11	
9—18″	4	3	2	3	2		9	9	11	6	5	5	
1912	Jan.	April	May	June	July	Sept.	1912	Jan.	April	May	June	July	Sept.
09″	2	5	4	3	3	4	09"	6	5	6	8	6	6
918″	1	2	3	3	4	3	918″	6	7	7	4	5	4

Loam app N. s	s I and lied in as NaN(II, Rid March O ₃ , i.e.	lgmont or Apri 7 parts	(artific l conta per mi	ial mai ining 16 llion)	nures 3 lbs.		Rotha	msted (no man	ure app	lied)	
1909	March	Мау	July	Sept.			1909	April	May	July	Oct.		
Loam I 09" 918" Loam II	5 4	15 7	2 1·5	3.5 2			0—9″ 9—18″	5 4	12 6	6 6	5 7		ļ
0 <u>-9</u> " 9 <u>-18</u> "			4 5	5 3						:			
. 1910	June	Aug.	Sept.				1910	May	July	Sept.	ł		I
Loam I 09" 918"	3 2	3 2	1 3				0—9" 9—18"	13	16 6	8 8			1
0—9" 9—18"	7 4	12 6	$\frac{12}{7}$										
1911	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.		1911	May	June	July	Sept.		Ì
Loam I 09" 918"	11 6	$\frac{11}{5}$	8 6	6 3	6 4		0—9" 9—18"	10 7	8.2 8	17 12	23 22		:
0-9" 9-18"	16 9	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 12 \end{array}$	$15 \\ 8$	15 8	18 11		1	5 1			:		l
1912	Jan.	April	May	June	July	Sept.	1912	Feb.	April	May	June	July	Sept.
Loam I 0—9" 9—18"	1 1·5	3 3	5 3	6 6	4 2	3∙5 2	0—9" 9—18"	7 5·5	4 3	7 6	9 8·5	11 7	5 4
0—9" 9—18"	1 1·5	4 3	4 3	10 4	6 4	3 4							

TABLE I (cont.).

Note.—During the experimental period the following nitrogenous manures were applied :—

Sand—none.

Clay and Loam (Ridgmont) 97 lbs. NaNO3 (containing 16 lbs. N.) per acre on

March 20, 1909 (i.e. 7 days after the samples were taken),

March 11, 1910,

April 12, 1911,

April 24, 1912 (i.e. 9 days before the May samples were taken).

	A. 5	Sand, M du	lilbrook ring th	t (no ma e whole	anure a period)	pplied	C. (conta	Clay, R Lining I ir	idgmon 16 lbs. 1 1 March	t (artifi I. as Na or Apr	cial ma NO ₃ ap il)	nures plied
1909	March	May	July	Sept.			March	May	July	Sept.		
	25	36	17	11			47	30	20	22		
1910	June	Aug.	Sept.				June	Aug.	Sept.			
	28	20.5	23				35	24	33			
1911	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.		May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	
	25	15	13	18	17	ļ	47	60	38	26	39	
1912	Jan.	April	May	June	July	Sept.	Jan.	April	May	June	July	Sept.
	9	19	20	17	20	20	30	29	31	28	27	23
	Loains	I and II ining 1 in	, Ridgm 6 lbs. N March	ont (art I. as Na or Apr	ificialm NO3 ap il)	anures plied	R	othams	ted (no	manure	e applie	
1909	March	Мау	July	Sept.			April	May	July	Oct.		
Loam I	28	69	11	17			21	46	31	31		

27

Ang.

29

69

June

30.2

45

Sept.

90

July

 $\frac{19}{32}$

32.5

30

Sept.

8

59

July

43

73

May

 $\frac{24}{22}$

Aug.

17

55

June

50

95

April

20

 $\overline{23}$

June

13

34

May

 $\mathbf{51}$

79

Jan.

9

8.5

TABLE I (cont.). N. as nitrate, lbs. per acre in dry soil, 0-18 ins.

Note.—In reducing parts per million to lbs. per acre the following weights of fine earth (dry) in millions of lbs. per acre were taken :—

Sept.

17

 $\overline{22}$

May

34

May

43.5

Feb.

31

July

56

June

43

April

18

| Sept.

42

July

75

May

34

Sept.

115

June

45·5

July

48

Sept.

 $\mathbf{23}$

	Milbrook sand	Ridgmont clay	Ridgmont loam
0—9"	2·80	2·45	2.99
9—18"	2·58	2·35	3.35

These figures were kindly supplied by Mr Pickeriug.

Rothamsted soils.

	Broadbalk,	Broadball	r plots	Hoosfield bar	rley plots	Hoosfield
	Orchard	Dunged plot	Others	Dunged plot	Others	fallow plot
0—9" 9—18"	2·58 2·56	$2.51 \\ 2.67$	$2.59 \\ 2.67$	2·08 2·59	2·53 2·59	2·65 2·70

Loam II

1910

Loam I

Loam II

1911

Loam I

Loam II

1912

Loam I

Loam II

TABLE II. Effect of manures on nitrate content.

Uncropped land. Season 1912. Hoosfield Barley plots left fallow. Nitrogen as nitrate.

Usual Manure	Plot No.	Feb. 15	Mar. 12	Mar. 26	Apr. 10	Apr. 19	Apr. 30	May 13	May 22	June 26	July 22	Sept. 26	Feb. 4, '13
			Nitro	gen as n	itrate, paı	rts per mi	llion of dr	y soil					
		816 60	<u>,,81—6</u> , <u>,6—0</u>	<u>,,81—6</u> ,,6—0	<u>,,81—6</u> _, <u>6—0</u>	<u>,,81—6</u> _, <u>6</u> —0	81—6 6—0	<u>81</u> —6 6—0	<u>816</u> 6-0	<u>81-6</u> <u>6-0</u>	81 <u>-6</u> 6 <u>-0</u>	, <u>81-6</u> , <u>6-0</u>	81—6 6—0
No manure	Fallow 1 A 4 A 7²	6 5 6 7 6 7	יד מי ד ס ד טי	ສາສາ 1 ອາ ເ ດີດ	5 6 7 7 7	4	5 5 8 6 7 6 15 15	5 7 7 1 8 12 12	4 4 10 7 6 4 12 13	9 7 14 11 9 12 28 19	$\begin{array}{c c} 8 & 10 \\ 8 & 16 \\ 14 & 9 \\ 30 & 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	5 3 6 6 7 8 16 19	0 % # # #
					lbs. per a	acre, 0—18	3,						
		0—18" 1b.	0—18″ lh.	0 —18 ′ 1b.	0—18″ Ib.	0—18″ 1b.	018" lb.	0—18" Ib.	0—18" Ib.	0—18" Ib.	0—18" lb.	018'' 1b.	0—18″ lb.
No manure Amm. salts	Fallow 1 A	28 25	24 22	19	35 8	29 37	27 38	. 23 31	22 43	39 67	11 12	19 28	20 19
Do. + complete minerals Dung	4 A 72	38 38 8	26	25	Fe	£6	32 69	33 68	58 29	56 105	58 110	36 83	16 27
The plots being fall crop in Feb. 1911, and	wed this ye the last dun	ar no mar g (14 ton	ante was a	upplied d	uring the 7 ² at the	whole per same tim	iod; the le.	ast lot of	ammoniu	m salts w	ere put o	n for the	preceding

			Uroppe	d land. V	vheat seas	ion, 1912.	Broadba	IK. NIUTO	gen as ni	frate.			
Usual Manure	Plot No.	Feb. 29	Mar. 12	Mar. 22	Apr. 10	Apr. 19	Apr. 30	May 13	May 22	June 26	Sept. 26	Feb.4,'13	
					Parts	per milli	on of dry	soil					
		,,81—6 ,,6—0		<u>81</u> —6	" <u>81</u> —6	<u>81</u> —6 6—0	6 <u>-0</u>	<u>81</u> 0	,.81—6 6—0	,,81—6 ,,6—0	<u>,,81-6</u> ,,6-0	,.8T-6 ,.6-0	
No manure Double amm. salts Do. + minerals Dung	5 4 <u>1</u> 0 3	44 66 12 9 8 8 9	16 5 16 4 4 4	4 4 4 0 v v 4 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 & 6 \\ 10 & 8 \\ 9 & 4 \\ 10 & 10 \end{array} $	5 0 10 11 9		4 5 14 16 8 9 12 11	7 9 31 17 17 15 16 16		5 4 6 6 10 6	33 44 6 6 10 7 7	
					1	bs. per ac	re 0-18"						
No manure		1b.	1b. 24	1b. 17	1b,	1b. 26	9	1b. 23	1b.	lb. 17	1b. 21	19. 20.	Nitrogen in crop, lbs. per acre 7.6
Double amm. salts Do. + minerals Dung	9 ~ 8 	31 35 54	21 32 50	32 35	45 čí 64		46 19 31	77 46 56	124 83 82	81 24 44	27 38 40		$ \begin{array}{c} 6.2 \\ 13.1 \\ 32.3 \\ \end{array} $
The ammoniu 64 ·5 lbs. N) on Ma	tin salts rch 27, 1	were appli 912. The	ied in two	o dressings tons, con	, one four taining 20	th (contai 0 lbs. N)	ning 21·5 was applie	lbs. N) on ed on Sep	1 Sept. 26 t. 15, 191	-27, 1911	, and the	remainder	(containing

TABLE II (cont.).

TABLE II (cont.).

Season 1909. Broadbalk Wheat plots. Nitrogen as nitrate.

X	Parts	per mil	lion of (dry soil	0—9″	lbs	. per a	cre 09)″
Manure	Plot No.	Apr. 6	May 7	July 6	Oct. 28	Apr. 6	May 7	July 6	Oct.28
				<u> </u>		-	<u> </u>		
Double amm. salts Do. + minerals	10 7	6 8	$\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 16 \end{array}$	3 3	6 10	16. 14 21	1b. 42 42	lb. 8 9	1b. 16 27

Ammonium salts applied one quarter (21.5 lbs. N) on Oct. 7, 1908, and the rest (64.5 lbs. N) on April 7, 1909.

	Parts	per mil	lion of	dry soil	09" [!]	lb	s. per a	cre 0—	9"
Manure	Plot No.	Apr. 6	May 7	July 6	Oct. 28	Apr. 6	May 7	July 6	Oct. 28
Unmanured Amm. salts Super + amm. salts	10 1 A 2 A	$\begin{vmatrix} 6\\ 13\\ - \end{vmatrix}$	 9 13	3 2 3	333	lb. 15 33 —	lb. 	lb. 7 4 \$	lb. 8 9 7
Amm. saits + complete minerals Dung	4 A 7 ²	15 22	10 21	3 4	з 6	37 46	26 43	9 7	12

Season 1909. Hoosfield Barley plots. Nitrogen as nitrate.

Ammonium salts (43 lbs. N) applied Feb. 20, 1909, and duug (200 lbs. N) on Feb. 22, 1909.

Season 1911.

		Parts j	per mill	ion of d	lry soil		lbs	. per a	cre	in crop, : acre
Manure	Plot No.	Ма	y 17	Jur	ne 8	Sept. 13	May 17	June 8	Sept. 13	Nitrogen Ibs. per
			1							
		0-9"	9—18"	09"	918''	0-9"	lb.	lb.	lb.]	
Unmanured	10	7	7	6	4	7	35	25	18	8
Amm. salts	1 A	7	8	6	10	8	40	42	20	21
Super + amm. salts	2 A	12	14	11	12	7	67	59	18	24
Amm. salts + com-							ł	1		
plete minerals	4 A	12	14	9	10	5	67	49	13	34
Dung	72	17	7	12	13	9	53	58	18	37

Ammonium salts (43 lbs. N) applied Feb. 18, 1911, and dung (200 lbs. N) on Feb. 14, 1911.

TABLE II (cont.).

Season 1910.	fallow till	the middle of	sown late the June.)	land is practically

Maria	Parts per mill 0-	ion of dry soil -9"	lbs. p 0-	er acre -9''
Manure	June 4	July 27	June 4	July 27
Unmanured Super only Super + potassium salts Super + magnesium and sodium salts Super + potassium, mag. and sodium salts Dung * Dung + potassium salts *	4.5 6.5 5 4 24.5 37	3 2 2 2·5 7 4	12 17 13 13 10 56 85	8 5 5 7 16 9

* The dung was applied April 11, 1910.

Losses during summer and winter. A wet autumn or winter has a disastrous effect on the nitrates in loams and sands. At the end of the fine season of 1911 a considerable store of nitrate had accumulated, but a great proportion was lost during the wet winter that followed :---

1				N. :	as nitrate		
1	Rainfall during period,		Parts pe	r million		lbs. pe	er acre
i		Broa orci	dbalk nard	Hoos	fallow	Broadbalk orchard	Hoos fallow
Sept. 13, 1911 } Feb. 15, 1912 }	19.91	0 <u>9</u> ″ 23 7	9-18'' 22 5	0—9″ 13 5	9-18'' 7 4	018" 115 31	0—18″ 51 28
		Ridgmo (1	nt loam 05)	Milbroo (2	ok sand 1)	Ridgmont loam (105)	Milbrook sand (21)
Sept. 7, 1911 Jan. 15, 1912)	11.67	17 2	14 1	$rac{6}{2}$	4 2	97 7	29 10

The loss, however, was much less on the clay soil :---

	Parts pe	r million	lbs. per acre
Sept. 7, 1911 Jan. 13, 1912	0 <u>-</u> 9″ 19 11	9—18″ 9 12	67 56

Equally serious losses occur in a wet autumn. The results obtained in 1912 were :---

	Rainfall	N	l. as nitr	ate, pa	rts per n Hoosfiele	nillion i 1 *, 191	n uncrop 2.	oped lau	nd.
	in inter- vening period	Du	ag 7º		Artificial	manure	28	Unma	uured †
				1	. A	4	A		
Summer, July 22 Autumn, Sept. 26 Late winter, Feb. 4	9·00 14·70	0 <u>-9</u> " 30 16 6	$9-18'' \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 6 \\ 6$	0 <u>-9</u> " 16 6 4	9-18' 11 6 4	0 <u>9</u> " 14 7 3	9—18″ 9 8 3	0 <u>-9</u> " 8 4 4	9—18″ 10 3 3

* The Hoosfield barley plots which were fallowed during the season of 1912 (see p. 27). † The fallow portion of the wheat plots.



The amount of loss depends on the wetness of the period. The driest winter during the period was that of 1908—09, and the wettest was that of 1911—12. We find higher values for the nitrates in all the soils in March 1909 than in April 1912. The wetness of the winter may be approximately estimated from the rainfall and the number of days on which the drain gauges ran; the figures are as follows:—

	Wetness (O	of preceding winter oct.—March)	N	itrate, lbs	. per acre in t in spring	op 18″
Yenr	Rainfall	No. of days on which	Sand	Clay	Lo	
	in inches	drain gauges ran			Ridgmont	Rothamsted
1909	10.29	32	25	47	28	21
1912	23.56	80	19	29	21	18

31

The effect of the wet winter persisted and the May maximum of 1912 is lower than that of any other year.

2. Cropped land. The effect of a crop is to reduce considerably the amount of nitrate in the soil. On plots of cereals the amount usually falls from about May right through to the time of harvest; in the case of mangolds the fall begins rather later¹. When a cropped plot is compared with a fallow plot there is a steadily increasing difference from spring to autumn, as shown in the following figures :---

D	Ma	ıy 7	Jui	ne 8	Jul	y 27
Broadoalk orchard", 1911	A	В	A	В	A	В
Fallow, parts per mill., top 9" 9—18"	11 5	10 9	10 8	7 7	21 15	15 13
lbs. per acre, 0—18"	40	50	46	35	93	72
Cropped (Lucerne and grass) parts per million, top 9" 9-18"	6 6	8 5	4 2	4 4	8 5	11 9
lbs. per acre, 0—18"	31	32	16	20	35	49

Nitrate in adjoining fallow and cropped plots.

* This land was a lucerne ley from 1905—1908, the part called fallow was then dug up and in 1909 planted with small fruit trees. A and B are at the extreme ends of the plot. No manure was added.

Hoosfield wheat plots, 1911	April 21	May 17	June 8	Sept. 13
Fallow, parts per mill., top 9" 918"	10	7 5	12 9	13 7
lbs. per acre, 0-18"	(27)*	33	55	54
Cropped (Wheat), parts per million, top 9" 9-18"	9	6 5	4 2	5
lbs. per acre, 0-18"	(23)*	30	15	13

* 0---9" only.

¹ On June 4th, 1910, the dunged mangold plots 1—0 and 2—0 contained respectively 16 and 19 parts of nitrogen as nitrate per million of soil; by July 27th these amounts were reduced to 7 and 5 respectively.

32

1912	Feb. 15	Mar. 12	Mar. 26	Apr. 10	Apr. 19	Apr. 30	May 13	May 22	June 26	July 22	Sept. 26	Feb. 4, 1913
Fallow, parts per million, top 9" 9-18"	6 5	4 5	5 3	5 5	7 4	5 5	5 4	4 4	9 7	8 10	5 3	4 4
lbs. per acre, 0-18"	28	24	19	25	29	27	23	22	39	45	19	20
Cropped (Wheat), parts per million, top 9"	5 4	4 5	5 3	5 3	6 3	3 4	7 5	5 4	5 4	2 3	3	4
lbs. per acre, 0-18"	24	25	19	22	24	18	31	24	22	13	16	21

No manure is applied to these Hoosfield plots.

The difference during June and July is seen to be great; the cropped plots in Broadbalk contained 23 and 58 lbs. per acre less than the fallow land, and that in Hoosfield contained 40 lbs. less in 1911 and 32 lbs. in 1912.

Thus the cropped land tends to contain a minimum amount of nitrate at harvest time and there is less difference than might be expected in different seasons on the same land. The results obtained by Warington¹ in October 1881 and October 1893 are of the same order as those obtained on the same plots in 1912 :---

		N. as nitr	ate in lbs. p	er acre, 0—18"
Plot		Oct. 1881	Oct. 1893	Sept. 26, 1912
3 & 4 10 7 2 B	Unmanured Ammonium salts only Amm. salts + complete minerals Dung	14 24 32 44	17 38 34 56	21 27 38 40

After the harvest the amounts of nitrate may rise: thus in 1909 two of the Broadbalk plots yielded the following results in comparison with a fallow unmanured plot :----

¹ Warington, R., "Lost Fertility: the Production and Loss of Nitrates in the Soil," Trans. Highland Agric. Soc. 1905, 17 (5th series), 148-181. Journ. of Agric. Sci. v1 3

	Parts per million						lbs.	per	acre	
	April, 09"	May, 0-9"	July, 09"	Oct., 0—9''	Oct., 9—18″	April, 09"	May, 0-9"	July, 0-0"	Oct., 0-9"	Oct., 0—18"
Cropped plots Plot 10 Ammonium salts only ,, 7 Ammonium salts + complete minerals	6 8	16 16	3 3	6 10	3	14 21	42 42	8	16 27	— 36
Fallow plot Young fruit trees only, no manure	4	8	6	5	7	11	20	16	14	31

In July the cropped plots contained very little nitrate, only about half as much as the uncropped plot. But a month after the harvest was over the nitrate had increased so much in amount that it exceeded that present on the uncropped plot, there being 36 lbs. per acre in the top 18 inches against 31 lbs. Similar increases were obtained in 1913 on the dunged but not on the unmanured plots :--

N. as nitrate, parts per million

	Before	After l	narvest
	harvest	17 days	6 weeks
Broadbalk plots Unmanured Dunged	6 7	 8 14	6 17

The fate of the nitrates on the cropped plot. The preceding paragraphs have shown the marked difference in nitrate content between a cropped and an uncropped piece of land. One obvious reason for the difference is that the crop absorbs some of the nitrate. It does not appear, however, that this is the sole factor involved, for even after allowing for the nitrogen in the crop there is still a deficit as compared with the fallow land. This is well seen on Hoosfield, where two adjacent strips of land are alternately cropped with wheat and left fallow for a year, both being unmanured. The nitrate found on these strips in lbs. per acre was as follows:—

	In 1	911	Inl	1912
	Fallow land	Cropped land	Fallow land	Cropped land
N. as nitrate in top 18" of soil, June Nitrogen in crop	53.7	15·3 22·6*	46.1	12·8 6·1*
Total	53·7	37.9	46.1	18.9
Deficit in cropped land		15.8		27.2

* The nitrogen in the crop was obtained as follows :--

		Straw			Total N. in		
	Crop, lbs.	N., per	N., lbs	Crop, lbs.	N., per	N., lbs.	grain, lbs.
	per acre	cent.	per acre	per acre	cent.	per acre	per acre
1911	1535	0·36	4·7	1152	$1.78 \\ 1.67$	17·9	22·6
1912	706	0·43	2·4	266		3·7	6·1

It is impossible to say how much of the excess of nitrate on the fallow land of 1911 arises from the stubble left over from 1910, when the cropped land of 1911 was lying fallow and therefore carrying no stubble. So with the fallow strip of 1912, which had been the cropped strip of 1911. But the whole straw only contains 3 or 4 lbs. of nitrogen, and the stubble certainly contains no more than this if as much, while the deficit to be accounted for is 16 lbs. in 1911 and 27 lbs. in 1912. Nor does leaching account for the difference, because the loss by leaching is greatest on the fallow strip. Whatever the explanation, the fact remains that cropped land contains less nitrate by the end of the season than fallow land, even after allowance has been made for the nitrate taken up by the crop.

We can even go further. No evidence could be obtained that the cropped soils gained in nitrates after the early summer. When the nitrogen as nitrate contained in the top 18 inches of soil at the end of the season (Aug. or Sept.) is added to that in the crop the sum is not more than the stock present in May. The following results were obtained in 1911 on the Hoosfield barley plots :---

3-2

	Ammoni only	um salts , 1 A	Dun	g 72
Nitrate in soil in May ,, ,, Sept Nitrogen in crop	40	20 21.	53	18 37
Balance to be accounted for	1		2	
Total	41	41	55	55

Here the amount of nitrogen accounted for in September is approximately equal to the amount present in May. But in other cases it is not even equal; the September amounts do not balance those found in May and some other source of loss must come into play to account for the deficit:—

		н	oos Bar	rley, 19	11			
	Unma	nured	Amm. + su Plot	salts per, 2 A	Amm + con mine Plot	. salts plete rals, 4 A	Hoos 19 Unma	wheat, 12 nured
Nitrate in soil (0-18") in May ,, Sept. Nitrogen in crop Balance to be accounted for	35	18 8 9	67	18 24 25	67	13 34 20	31	16 6 9
Total	35	35	67	67	67	67	31	31

		Broa	adbalk	wheat,	1912				
	Unmanured, Plot 3		Amm. salts alone, Plot 10		Amm. salts + complete minerals, Plot 7		Dung, Plot 2 b		
Nitrate in soil (018") in May Nitrogen in crop	42	21 8 13	124	27 6 91	83	38 13 32	82	40 32 10	
Total	42	42	124	124	83	83	82	82	

In 1912 the Broadbalk plots were exceedingly foul and an unknown fraction of the missing nitrogen was removed in the weeds. Owing to the wetness of the season a certain amount of leaching took place also. It is less easy to account for the loss on Hoosfield in 1911. The important point, however, is that in no case is there more nitrate in the. soil *plus* crop in autumn than there was in spring, and generally there is less.

It cannot be argued from these results that an actual loss of nitrate is brought about by the activity of the plant roots because we have no certain knowledge that the barley roots can forage so deeply as 18 inches, the depth to which the nitrate has been taken into account. Indeed if we confine ourselves to a depth of 9 inches then the crop usually obtains more than is lost from this thickness of soil :—

	Broad	l balk	Hoos barley plots, 1909							
	1909 Amm. salts + complete minerals		Un- manured, Plot 1—0		Amm. salts + super, Plot 2 A		Amm. salts + complete minerals, Plot 4 A		Dung 7 ²	
Nitrate in soil (0—9") in May ,, ,, Sept Nitrogen in crop	42	9 44	15	7	33	8 38	37	9 48	46	7 71
Balance to be accounted for	11		6		13		20		32	
Total		53	21	21	46	46	57	57	78	78

The Hoos barley plots, 1---0, 1--A, and 7^2 , generally give similar results. In a few cases (Hoos barley 1 A of 1909 and 2 A of 1910) the amount in the crop almost equals the quantity lost from the soil, but on Broadbalk Plot 10 it is less :---

	Broad	lbalk.		Hoos ba	rley plots	
	19 Amm. s (Plo	09 alts only t 10)	1909 Amm. salts only (Plot 1 A)		19 Amm. super (J	910 salts + Plot 2 A)
Nitrate in soil (0-9") in May , July Nitrogen in crop Balance to be accounted for	42	8 22 12	33	4 27 2	42	9 30 3
 Total	42	42	33	33	42	42

The results may be briefly summed up as follows :---The quantity of nitrate found in the top 18 inches of a cropped soil in late summer was no greater than was present in late spring even after allowing for what had been taken by the crop. This is not true of fallow soils; here more nitrate was found in late summer than late spring even in a bad year such as 1912 (Table II). The significance of these results will be discussed later.

Effect of manuring. As already stated, nitrate production is the quickest of the stages in the decomposition of organic matter so that ammonia does not accumulate in the soil under normal conditions. But the case is rather different when ammonium salts are added to the soil. Part of the ammonia enters into some stable combination from which it is not dislodged by heating with magnesia¹, but much of it remains as an ammonium compound and can be detected in the usual way; this part survives for some time. Ammonium salts applied in the February of 1909 were not completely converted into nitrate even at the end of seven weeks, but quantities applied later on (April 5th) were practically completely nitrified in four weeks:—

	Date of	N. pres	ent as N	H ₃ after	N. present as nitrate after				
Plot	application of anim. salts	44 day (April	rs 6)	75 days (May 7)	44 day (April	ys 7 6) (5 days May 7)		
Hoos barley 4 A	Feb. 20 and	13		2	15		10		
1 A	,,	4		2	13		9		
	1 1 ,	1 day (Apr. 6)	32 days (May 7)	92 days (July 6)	1 day (Apr. 6)	32 days (May 7)	92 days (July 6)		
Broadbalk 7	April 5, 1909	19	5	4	. 8	16	3		
,, 10	, ,, ,,	13	3	1.2	6	16	3		

Parts per million of dry soil.

In 1912 the process was slower and ammonium salts added on March 27 were still yielding nitrates in the latter half of May (Table II).

Effect of potassic and phosphatic manures. Determinations have been made on the plots which for many years have received neither potassium salts nor phosphates to ascertain whether a deficiency of

¹ Russell, this Journal, 1910, **3**, 241.

these substances would retard the process of nitrification. Reference to Table II shows that such an effect was produced only in 1911; in the other years there is nothing to show that these particular organisms are affected although plants suffer to a marked degree from potash and phosphate starvation. Indeed in 1912 and 1913 there was more nitrate on the plots supplied with ammonium salts only than where potassium salts and phosphates are given. In 1912 the land lay fallow, but in 1913 it carried a barley crop which however was much larger on the completely fertilised plot than on the others.

Residual effect of ammonium sulphate. In 1912 the Hoosfield plots were fallowed and no manures were applied. It was found that the plots which regularly receive ammonium salts contained a higher proportion of nitrate than the unmanured plot (Table II). To some extent this may arise from the decomposition of the stubble which, being increased in amount by addition of ammonium salts, yields larger amounts of nitrate on decomposition. There appears, however, to be some other factor involved, because Plot 1 A, receiving ammonium salts only, contained more nitrate than Plot 4 A, which receives in addition potash and phosphates, although it always has a *smaller* crop. In the preceding year (1911) it had contained less nitrate than 4 A besides yielding a smaller crop. These results strongly suggest that some of the ammonium salts had been held over in some form or other from 1911 till 1912.

The possibility of such an action has been investigated on the Broadbalk wheat field¹. Two plots (Nos. 17 and 18) side by side receive ammonium salts only in alternate years, so that each year one has a dressing and the other has not. A third plot (No. 5) receives the same mineral manures but no nitrogen manure at any time. The average results for the past sixty years have been :---

Plots		Total produce, lbs. per acre	Grain, bushels per acre
		·	
17 & 18 {	Years when ammonium salts are supplied Years when no ammonium salts are supplied	$\begin{array}{c} 1354\\ 842 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29 \cdot 9 \\ 14 \cdot 9 \end{array}$
5	No nitrogenous manure since 1852	799	14.5

Thus the omission of ammonium salts for a single year brings down the crop nearly to the level of Plot 5 showing that only a small residue

¹ A similar experiment has been made at Woburn and a distinct residual effect is obtained.

is left behind from the preceding year. Nitrate determinations lead to the same conclusion. The amounts of nitrogen as nitrate found on April 18th, 1913, were:—

		Parts pe	r million	lbs. per acre,
Plots		0—9″	9—18″	0—18″
5 17 18	Mineral manures only Mineral manures + amm. salts in March, 1912 ,, ,, ,, 1913	4.5 6.5 11	5 6 5	25 33 · 42

The differences here are much smaller than in Hoosfield.

The Effect of Fluctuations in Nitrate Content on the Crop.

The relation between the amount of nitrate in the soil and the amount of crop growth is in the main fairly simple. So long as there is sufficient potash and phosphate in the soil the crop increases with the nitrate supply until some limiting factor (such as water supply, temperature, etc.) intervenes and puts an end to further growth. The factors that regulate the amount of nitrate in the soil are therefore controlling factors in crop production. Since, however, their action is on the nitrate and not on the crop we cannot expect to find them closely and immediately related to the amount of crop growth: nevertheless over an average of years their action is plainly indicated.

The foregoing experiments have brought out the following conclusions :---

1. Nitrates are rapidly produced in spring or early summer.

2. Unless absorbed by a crop they remain in the soil and tend to increase in amount during a dry summer.

3. They are more largely removed during a wet winter than in a dry winter.

4. Nitrates are present in greatest amount in spring when the preceding winter and summer have been dry: they are on the other hand present in smaller quantity when the preceding winter has been wet. If the preceding summer has been dry so that nitrate accumulation went on to a considerable extent the loss during winter is proportionately greater.

EDWARD JOHN RUSSELL

41

A study of the Rothamsted data shows that the operation of these relationships can be readily traced in the growth of the crop. In particular the loss of nitrates during a wet winter has a marked effect, as shown in Tables III and IV. Two sets of experiments illustrate

TABLE III. Effect of Autumn and Winter rainfall on Soil Nitrates as illustrated by yield of Wheat. Broadbalk Field.

Comparison of Spring and Autumn dressings of ammonium salts.

		Total	produc	e, lbs. per	acre	Grai	n, bush	els per a	acre
Year when crop was	Rainfall of preceding autumn and winter	Ammon salts ap	ium plied	Differe favour o dress	nce in f spring sing	Ammor salts ap	nium plied	Differ favour dres	ence in of spring ssing
reaped	(Oct. to March)	in previous autumn Plot 15	in spring Plot 7	per acre	per cent.	in previous autumn Plot 15	in spring Plot 7	per acre	per cent.
		(A)) Year	s of LOW	winter ra	ainfall			
1889 1890 1891 1893 1898 1901 1902 1903 1905 1906 1909	$12.35 \\ 12.83 \\ 8.98 \\ 13.76 \\ 8.46 \\ 13.85 \\ 10.76 \\ 12.28 \\ 11.37 \\ 14.05 \\ 10.29 \\ 11.73 \\ 14.73 $	4422 6631 6633 2377 7043 4579 6560 8221 7285 6984 6212 5631	5149 5806 7596 2614 6924 4339 6727 4817 8037 6238 5867	$\begin{array}{r} + 727 \\ - 825 \\ + 963 \\ + 237 \\ - 119 \\ - 240 \\ + 167 \\ + 1596 \\ + 752 \\ - 746 \\ - 345 \\ \end{array}$	$ + 16 \cdot 4 - 12 \cdot 4 + 14 \cdot 5 + 9 \cdot 9 - 1 \cdot 6 - 5 \cdot 2 + 49 \cdot 5 + 10 \cdot 3 - 10 \cdot 6 - 5 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 4 $	27.0 40.8 38.9 18.5 30.0 29.8 39.6 20.2 37.5 42.2 25.8 31.8	30·7 36·0 40·6 20·3 28·4 29·6 38·2 26·6 40·7 37·7 28·9	+3.7 -4.8 +1.7 +1.8 -1.6 -0.2 -1.4 +6.4 +3.2 -4.5 +3.1 +0.7	+ 13.7 - 11.8 + 4.3 + 9.7 - 5.3 - 0.6 - 3.5 + 31.7 + 8.5 - 10.6 + 12.0 + 2.2
in cut		0001							
1892 1894 1895 1896 1897 1899 1900 1907 1908 1910 1911	$16.85 \\ 16.54 \\ 14.94 \\ 15.45 \\ 19.08 \\ 14.61 \\ 18.45 \\ 16.34 \\ 17.04 \\ 17.04 \\ 17.63 \\ 17.63 \\ 10.55 \\ 10.5$	(B) 4702 7677 3517 4977 3478 5283 3281 7654 4995 4533 4154	Yean 5240 9144 4519 6204 5030 6503 4793 8516 5607 5633 4854	rs of HIGH + 538 +1467 +1002 +1227 +1552 +1220 +1512 + 862 + 612 + 1100 + 700	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{winter} \\ +11 \cdot 4 \\ +19 \cdot 1 \\ +28 \cdot 4 \\ +24 \cdot 6 \\ +44 \cdot 6 \\ +23 \cdot 0 \\ +46 \cdot 0 \\ +11 \cdot 2 \\ +12 \cdot 2 \\ +12 \cdot 2 \\ +24 \cdot 2 \\ +16 \cdot 8 \end{array} $	rainfall 28.6 40.4 25.1 30.8 20.5 26.9 20.2 33.1 32.3 20.4 24.1	$\begin{array}{c} 32 \cdot 0 \\ 48 \cdot 4 \\ 32 \cdot 2 \\ 37 \cdot 2 \\ 28 \cdot 6 \\ 31 \cdot 3 \\ 29 \cdot 8 \\ 33 \cdot 6 \\ 33 \cdot 3 \\ 25 \cdot 6 \\ 25 \cdot 6 \end{array}$	$ + 3 \cdot 4 \\ + 8 \cdot 0 \\ + 7 \cdot 1 \\ + 6 \cdot 4 \\ + 9 \cdot 6 \\ + 0 \cdot 5 \\ + 1 \cdot 0 \\ + 5 \cdot 2 \\ + 1 \cdot 5 $	$\begin{array}{r} +11.8\\ +19.8\\ +28.2\\ +20.7\\ +39.5\\ +16.3\\ +47.5\\ +3.0\\ +25.4\\ +6.2\end{array}$
Mean	16.73	4932	6004	+ 1072	+ 21.7	27.5	32.5	+ 5.0	+18.1

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. BBSRC, on 23 Apr 2021 at 12:24:02, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600002148

TABLE IV. Effect of Winter rainfall on Soil Nitrates as illustrated by yield of Wheat.

Comparison of wheat grown continuously with wheat grown after fallow.

	' Rainfall '	Total	produce	e, lbs. per	acre	Grain	, bushe	ls per a	.cre
Year when crop was reaped	of pre- ceding autumn and winter	Unman	ared	Differe favour of after	nce in growing fallow	Unmanu	ıred	Differ favo growin fal	ence in our of ng after llow
	(Oct. to March)	Plot 3 Broadbalk, continuous	Plot O after fallow	per acre	per cent.	Plot 3 Broadbalk, continuous	Plot O after fallow	per acre	per cent.
		(A) Yes	ers of Low	v winter 1	rainfall			
1889 1890 1891 1893 1898 1901 1903 1905 1906 1909 Mean	12.35 12.83 8.198 13.76 8.46 13.85 10.76 12.28 11.37 14.05 10.29 11.732	$1645 \\1853 \\2143 \\1251 \\2186 \\1627 \\1853 \\1078 \\3456 \\2156 \\1633 \\1898$	1712 2745 3645 1724 3964 2062 3729 2111 2596 2340 2326 2632	$\begin{array}{r} + & 67 \\ + & 892 \\ + & 1502 \\ + & 473 \\ + & 473 \\ + & 435 \\ + & 1876 \\ + & 1033 \\ - & 860 \\ + & 184 \\ + & 693 \\ + & 693 \\ + & 734 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} + & 4 \cdot 1 \\ + & 48 \cdot 1 \\ + & 70 \cdot 1 \\ + & 37 \cdot 8 \\ + & 81 \cdot 3 \\ + & 26 \cdot 7 \\ + & 101 \cdot 2 \\ + & 95 \cdot 8 \\ - & 24 \cdot 9 \\ + & 8 \cdot 5 \\ + & 42 \cdot 4 \\ + & 38 \cdot 7 \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 12 \cdot 2 \\ 14 \cdot 0 \\ 13 \cdot 8 \\ 9 \cdot 8 \\ 12 \cdot 0 \\ 11 \cdot 7 \\ 13 \cdot 3 \\ 7 \cdot 6 \\ 18 \cdot 0 \\ 15 \cdot 2 \\ 9 \cdot 1 \\ 12 \cdot 4 \\ \end{array} $	13.117.823.113.520.214.722.414.012.913.412.913.412.9	+0.9 +3.8 +9.3 +3.7 +8.2 +3.0 +9.1 + 6.4 -5.1 +3.8 +3.8 +3.8	$\begin{array}{r} + & 7 \cdot 4 \\ + & 27 \cdot 1 \\ + & 67 \cdot 4 \\ + & 37 \cdot 8 \\ + & 68 \cdot 3 \\ + & 25 \cdot 6 \cdot 68 \cdot 4 \\ + & 84 \cdot 2 \\ - & 28 \cdot 3 \\ - & 11 \cdot 8 \\ + & 41 \cdot 8 \\ \end{array}$
		(H	3) Year	rs of high	a winter :	rainfall			
1892 1894 1895 1896 1897 1899 1900 1907 1908 1910 1911	$\begin{array}{c} 16\cdot85\\ 16\cdot54\\ 14\cdot94\\ 15\cdot45\\ 19\cdot08\\ 14\cdot61\\ 18\cdot45\\ 16\cdot34\\ 17\cdot04\\ 17\cdot04\\ 17\cdot04\\ 17\cdot63\\ \end{array}$	1425 2608 1384 2396 1459 1825 1776 1715 1671 1553 1935	$\begin{array}{c} 1839\\ 2436\\ 2129\\ 2332\\ 1170\\ 2620\\ 1801\\ 3094\\ 1083\\ 1747\\ 2687\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} + 414 \\ - 172 \\ + 745 \\ - 64 \\ - 289 \\ + 795 \\ + 25 \\ + 1379 \\ - 588 \\ + 194 \\ + 752 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} + & 29 \cdot 1 \\ - & 6 \cdot 6 \\ + & 53 \cdot 8 \\ - & 2 \cdot 7 \\ - & 19 \cdot 8 \\ + & 43 \cdot 6 \\ + & 1 \cdot 4 \\ + & 80 \cdot 4 \\ - & 35 \cdot 2 \\ + & 12 \cdot 4 \\ + & 38 \cdot 9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.3 \\ 18.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 16.8 \\ 8.8 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.3 \\ 9.1 \\ 12.4 \\ 7.5 \\ 12.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11 \cdot 7 \\ 15 \cdot 5 \\ 15 \cdot 5 \\ 15 \cdot 5 \\ 16 \cdot 1 \\ 7 \cdot 1 \\ 15 \cdot 7 \\ 11 \cdot 9 \\ 14 \cdot 3 \\ 7 \cdot 2 \\ 9 \cdot 3 \\ 17 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} + 2 \cdot 4 \\ - 2 \cdot 5 \\ + 5 \cdot 5 \\ - 0 \cdot 7 \\ - 1 \cdot 7 \\ + 3 \cdot 7 \\ - 0 \cdot 4 \\ + 5 \cdot 2 \\ - 5 \cdot 2 \\ + 1 \cdot 8 \\ + 4 \cdot 5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} + 25 \cdot 8 \\ - 13 \cdot 9 \\ + 55 \cdot 0 \\ - 4 \cdot 2 \\ - 19 \cdot 3 \\ + 30 \cdot 8 \\ - 3 \cdot 3 \\ + 57 \cdot 1 \\ - 42 \cdot 0 \\ + 24 \cdot 0 \\ + 36 \cdot 0 \end{array}$
Mean	16.73	1795	2085	+ 290	+ 16.2	11.7	12.8	+1.1	+ 9.4

this point very clearly; one on Broadbalk where the effect of autumn application of sulphate of ammonia is contrasted with that of spring applications, and the other in Hoosfield, where a wheat crop is taken after a bare fallow. Plots 7 and 15 on the Broadbalk wheat field each receive the same complete mineral and ammonium salts, but on Plot 7 the ammonium salts are applied in spring and on Plot 15 they are applied in autumn. In years of low winter rainfall there is on an average practically no difference in yield, but in years of high winter rainfall the autumn dressings give considerably poorer results than the spring dressings.

The Hoosfield experiment enables us to compare the yield of wheat on land where it grows every year with that on land where it grows alternate years only, the intervening years being fallow. When there is no crop on the ground the nitrates accumulate to a notable extent (see p. 32), and if they remain till the following spring they increase the yield of wheat over and above what is obtained under continuous cropping. But if the winter has been wet much of the advantage is lost and the difference between the plots becomes considerably less. The data are given in Table IV, and it is seen that on an average after dry winters the crop preceded by a fallow is 38 per cent. higher than that preceded by another crop, but after wet winters it is only 16 per cent. higher.

A hot dry summer followed by a mild wet winter is, as we have seen, unfavourable to nitrate accumulation. The data collected in Table V show that the crop is adversely affected. Of the two Broadbalk plots 2 B receives farmyard manure every year and the plant is therefore dependent on nitrification for its nitrogenous food, while 16 receives a complete artificial manure containing more than enough nitrate of soda to supply nitrogen for the plant. On an average over the whole period during which the years were selected (1874-1912) the crops on the two plots are almost alike, being 6374 lbs. of total produce per acre on Plot 2 B and 6540 on Plot 16. But when the season has been preceded by a dry winter and this in turn by a dry summer the returns from the dunged plot are at a maximum, averaging 7537 lbs. per acre against 6375 lbs. from the plot with artificial manures, a difference of 1162 lbs. per acre in favour of dung. This is when the conditions are favourable for nitrate accumulation. When the preceding winter has been wet and the summer dry, i.e. when the conditions become unfavourable for nitrate accumulation, the position is reversed : farmyard manure now gives a much inferior crop of 5709 lbs. per acre, while the artificial manures give 6635 lbs., a difference of 926 lbs. in favour of the artificial manures. The result of course cannot be wholly attributed

		Differ	ence in favour of fallow		10.1	7.5		6.0	4.3	2.2	4	-1.7	- 0 - F	- 0.3	3.4		- 2.8 8.9	10.0	9.1	6.4 - × -1	0.5 1 1	3.8	4.2	3.7
	cre	Hoosfield	4 acre after fallow		21.5	16.1	19.8	1 9 9	18.1	20.2	0.01	7.1	11-9	4.2	14.8	•	12.8	14.7	22.4	19-0	14.3	12.9	15-5	15-1
r	bushels per a	Broadhall	unmanured Plot 3 continuous		114	9.8 9.8	13.7	10-9	13-8	15-3	14.8	8.8	12:3	4.5	11-4		10.0	11.7	13.3	0.7	1.6	9-1	11.3	11-4
oalle field	d of grain,	Differ	ence in favour of dung		- 1·1 +	- 1.7		- 6:0 +	1.2	20 m 2- c - +	- I	8.6 +	1 1 2 2 2 2	+ 7:4	- 2.1		+ 4.2	+ 9.1	0.8	+ + +	- + 	6.9 +	₽.9 +	+ 1.1
n Broadl	Yiel	dbalk	Plot 16 (complete artificials)		38-2	30-5 40-1	#0 F	31 S	43 -3	40'3 87'3	9.68	27.5	34.3 40.4	10.7	9.78		33-8 94-7	30·5	33.5	20.92	34-7	26-9	30.5	33-1
wheat o		Broa	Dunged 2 b		39-3			32.7	35-2	82:0 1-07	34.6	37-3	33.3 26.7	18.1	32.5		38.0	9.68	9.14 11.9	2.62	36.9	33.8	36-9	34-2
rield of		Differ	ence in favour of fallow	winters	1686	1143	101	30	58:3	6601	564	- 289	25	80	500	winters	459	435	1876	- 860	1379	693	. 850	633
ners. Y	acre, lbs.	Hovefald	1 acre after fallow	s and wet	3370	2718	1645	1804	2461	2784	2365	1170	1801	972	2186	's and dry	1974 1974	2002	3729	2506	3094	2326	2732	2394
mus h.p	produce per (Broadhalk	unmanured Plot 3 continuous	dry summer	1684	1575 1991	6006 Test	1774	1878	1729 9069	1801	1459	1776	942	1686	dry summer	1515 9186	1627	1853	1073 3456	1715	1633	1882	1761
all after	heat, total	Differ	ence in favour of dung	receded by	- 551	- 1103	- 1637	- 2280	- 2858	- 1990	- 932	+ 770	715 - 1	+ 960	- 1058	receded by	+ 359 1	+ 2073	+ 1755	101 + -	- 745	+ 1370	+ 1162	- 231
ter rainf	Yield of w	dbalk	Plot 16 (complete artificials)	Crops p	7421	6712 6935	1169	8266	8032	7210	6621	5476	6085 7565	2456	6577	Crops p	1009	4362	5953	1100	9737	6794	6375	6500
t of win		Broa	Dunged 2 b		6870	5609	4974	5997	5174	5504 6998	5699	6246	5871 6791	3416	5489	-	6363	6435	2108	5147	8992	8164	7537	6269
. Effec	fall of		preceding winter (Oct.— March)		9-8 1	13-40 01-46	0e 17	16.00	21.77	12.81	16-01	19-08	18-45	23.55	17.18		11-86	0 40 13 85	10.76	12.28	16.34	10-29	11-90	15.17
PABLE V	Rain	summer	of pre- ceding year (July- Sept.)		11-1	8.17	07-61 1	9.74	FF-9	9-04	5.15	12.25	4.82	3.21	7.72		1. 4-93 1. 6-14	5.89	5.79	- 5'8'2 8'6'	2.81	2·00	5.63	6.92
~	days the gauges	ann -	preceding winter (Oct March)		1 124	107	001 005	8.2	108	100	22	68	63	80	33		56		31	83	1 4	32	45	73
	No. of drain	summer	of pre- ceding year (July- Sept.)		14	80 g	2 2	53	15	52	39	25		101	17	_	5	10 0	80	50	n —	6	80	13
		Year when erou was	reaped		1874	1875	191	1882	1883	1884	1887	1897	1900	1912	· · Means		1909	1901	1902	1903	1907	1909	Means	Means for whole period, 1874—1912

to the character of the preceding summer and winter since the character of the season of growth obviously plays some part; but the latter effect is, as we have seen, largely smoothed out over the number of years.

It is important that agriculturists should realise what great accumulations of nitrate occur in the soil at the end of a dry summer and how complete may be the loss on loams and sands during a mild wet winter. The results on p. 30 show that 50 lbs. or more of nitrogen per acre may easily be lost while the land lies bare between harvest and seed time. Now 50 lbs. of nitrogen per acre is all that is taken out of the soil by a 32 bushel wheat crop. As the prices of nitrogenous manures go on rising it becomes more and more urgent that all waste of nitrogen should be cut down to a minimum; the problem therefore of reducing the winter loss is likely to increase in importance as time goes on.

An obvious method of attacking the problem is by green manuring, and experiments in this direction are being started.

The fact that clay soils retain their nitrates well during winter has already been demonstrated. This appears to be one of the reasons why clays are so suitable for wheat; it is known that wheat requires a supply of nitrates in early life and these are more likely to be present during winter on clay soils than on others.

Discussion of the Results.

On looking over the results the first point that comes out is the rapid accumulation of nitrates in late spring and early summer. During this period the balance of gains over losses is greater than at any other time.

A parallel result has been obtained by Leather at Pusa¹. During the hot dry season (Oct.—May) relatively little nitrate was formed: after the first heavy rain in June a large increase took place and nitrate was rapidly produced in the first foot of soil: then the action slowed down very considerably and remained slow right on to the end of the wet season. Some of his results, expressed as lbs. of nitrogen per acre, are as follows :—

		-		-	•			
	Ap. 4	May 5	May 25	June 18	June 24	July 21	Aug. 10	Sept. 13
					··			
0-6″	•9	2.7	1.2	3.3	•5	-9	•9	·6
6''-12''	•7	•5	•7	18.3	12.8	1.2	•7	•6
12''-18''	•6	·5	•5	•7	6.3	12.0	•7	1.5
18''-24''	.9	•5	•4	•4	1.0	14.0	1.3	1.6

¹ Leather, J. W., "Records of Drainage in India." Memoirs of the Dept. of Agric. in India, 1912, 11. 101.

46 Nitrate Contents of Arable Soils

C. A. Jensen¹ observed similar phenomena at Bellefourche, S. Dakota. In April only small amounts of nitrate were present in the soil: during the latter half of May and the early part of June a rapid accumulation takes place, but this soon falls off, and at no subsequent period is nitrate formation anything like so quick. Jensen suggests that the organisms have an active growing season of two or three weeks and then their physiological activity is reduced for some cause or other.

On looking over all the evidence the following general rule seems to emerge :- When a period unfavourable to nitrification comes to an end and more favourable conditions set in, the rate of nitrate accumulation tends to be more rapid in the early part of this new period than later on.

Several factors have to be taken into account in discussing this rule. In the first instance we know (p. 23) that the accumulation of nitrate ceases after a certain limit is reached, and it probably slackens before this stage. Thus in the dry summer of 1913 the land became so dry that the conditions were unfavourable for nitrification. A wet, warm September followed, when the conditions were distinctly favourable for nitrate production. Yet as a matter of fact little accumulation took place on the unmanured plots, probably because the limit was already reached. Accumulation was more marked on the dunged plots, but again it stopped at a certain point, which appears to be the maximum content for this plot:—

	Dry j	period)	loist peric	d	Highest amount previously observed
- ·						
	July 11	Aug. 29	Sept. 3	Sept. 22	Oct. 6	
Hoos fallow, N. as ni-						
trate, parts per million	18	, 15	17	16	20	18
Moisture in soil, per	8	4	1.1	19	14	
cent	U	Ŧ	11	12	1.1	
Broadbalk dunged plot.						
N as nitrate, parts per			1	1		
million	7	14	12	17	18	16
Moisture, per cent	11	10	17	16	13	
		:				<u> </u>

Thus these results probably form no real exception to the rule. Again, in interpreting our rapid accumulation in late spring it must be remembered that April, May and June are at Rothamsted somewhat drier than the succeeding months, the average rainfall (60 years) being

¹ Jensen, C. A., "Seasonal nitrification as influenced by crops and tillage." U.S. Dept. of Agric., Bureau of Plant Industry, Bull. 173, 1910.

6.48 inches while during the months July, August and September it is 7.56 inches. Further, the winter frosts may cause a certain amount of physical disintegration of the organic matter and render some of it easily assailable by the soil bacteria. Some of the organic matter probably yields ammonia more readily than the rest; while any easily decomposable manures added in spring would obviously give rise to nitrates at an early date. Besides all these possibilities (which affect the decomposable nitrogen compounds) there is another, viz. that the soil bacteria themselves may be more active in the earlier part of the favourable season than later on, or, in our particular case, more active in the spring than in summer and autumn.

Determinations of nitrates do not enable us to decide the question, but investigations made elsewhere seem to indicate that the bacterial activity may be at a maximum in late spring. Löhnis and Sabaschnikoff¹ have shown that the power of decomposing urea and cyanamide shown by the soil at Leipzig is most rapid in spring, then falls off in summer, but rises again in September. The curves obtained resemble my sand curve in Fig. 1. The nitrifying power, ammonifying power, nitrogen-fixing power, and to a less extent the denitrifying power of the soil showed the same type of variation with the season. Müntz and Gaudechon² maintain that the nitrifying power of soil is at a maximum in spring. They speak very picturesquely of the awakening of the soil in spring and consider it "une accoutumance, vrai fait d'atavisme" on the part of the soil bacteria. The details of their experiments are open to some criticism³ but the general result seems to agree with Löhnis' and my own.

It is unnecessary to assume any atavism on the part of the bacteria. The result is entirely in accordance with other work carried out in this Laboratory. It has been shown in various of our papers that the activity of the bacteria of the soil is increased by exposing the soil to conditions unfavourable to active life (e.g. great cold, heat, drought etc.⁴) and then allowing the conditions to become more favourable again. On the other hand the bacterial activity suffers in the long

¹ Löhnis, F. and Sabaschuikoff, Centr. Bakt. Par. 11. Abt. 1908, **20**, 322–332 and also Löhnis, F., Vorlesungen über landwirtschaftliche Bakteriologie, 1913, p. 340.

³ The method was to inoculate soil taken at various dates into soil sterilised at 100° and then to find the amount of nitrification that had taken place. Unfortunately no account was taken of the ammonia produced nor was there any recognition of the special effect of such heated soil in inhibiting the development of the nitrifying organisms.

⁴ See Russell and Hutchinson, this Journal, 1913, 5, pp. 167 et seq.

² Müntz, A. and Gaudechon, H., "Le reveil de la Terre," Compt. Rend. 1912, 154, 163-168.

48 Nitrate Contents of Arable Soils

run when the soil is uniformly maintained in favourable conditions¹, it remains at a high level only when unfavourable spells intervene. When soil is partially sterilized the detrimental factor may be completely and permanently thrown out of action and bacterial activity then increases considerably; if the treatment has been insufficiently drastic and the factor is only partially suppressed an increase in activity may still take place, but to a less extent and only temporarily².

During the winter months the conditions are unfavourable to the general active life in the soil. We have shown that any unfavourable conditions cause the detrimental factor to suffer more than the bacteria. By spring time we should expect this accumulated differential effect to have become fairly marked so that the bacteria are freer than usual from the detrimental factor. Hence a tendency would be expected for an increase in the rate of nitrate production and (if Löhnis' results are correct) in other bacterial reactions. When later on the detrimental factor recovers, the rate of nitrate production falls off: in the summer therefore a slower rate would be expected, and, as we have seen, it appears to occur.

A similar action would explain the marked rise in the amount of nitrates after the hot dry summer of 1911. The soil became to some extent partially sterilised. This is seen in the clay and the loams; it is not evident in the sand but the moisture content had here run down and remained too low for bacterial action.

Thus these field observations fall into line with our view that two groups of organisms exist in the soil, one engaged in plant food production, and another, which is on the whole detrimental, but is somewhat more affected by adverse conditions.

The next question that needs some discussion is the loss of nitrate during winter. Two reasons are commonly put forward in explanation: denitrification and leaching. In so far as the soil lies waterlogged during winter there is obviously the possibility of the anaerobic conditions necessary for denitrification. The clay and loams investigated were very wet and sticky in winter but not actually waterlogged; the percentage of water in January 1912 was :--

Clay	Loam (Ridgmont)	Loam (Rothamsted)	Sand
29.2	15.5	17.5	9.6

Thus the clay was the wettest, the loams came next, while the sand was driest.

¹ Russell and Golding, this Journal, 1912, 5, 27; Russell and Petherbridge, *ibid.* 1912, 5, 88.

² Russell and Hutchinson, loc. cit. p. 168.

On the other hand percolation is most rapid through the sand and the loams and slowest through the clay, in consequence the amount of leaching is smallest in the clay and greatest in the sand.

These properties enable us to discover whether the main winter losses arise from denitrification or leaching; if from the former we should expect to find them most marked on the wet clay and least on the drier sand; if from the latter they would be most marked on the sand and the loam and least on the clay. Reference to Table I shows that the clay does as a matter of fact suffer less loss of nitrate than the sand and the loams; it starts with a lower quantity in the autumn but ends up with more in the spring.

The most serious loss of nitrate in winter thus appears to arise from leaching and not from denitrification.

Further evidence is obtained from the results of the dunged plots at Rothamsted. In midwinter (Feb. 4th, 1913) the amounts of nitrate in Broadbalk field were :---

Parts per million	Dunged plot	Unmanured	N. only	Complete artificials
of soil	(Plot 2)	(Plot 3)	(Plot 10)	N. P. K. etc. (Plot 7)
0—9″	10	· 3	3	6
9—18″	7	4	4	6
lbs. per acre, 0-18"	41	20	18	31
Moisture, per cent. 0-9" 9-18"	21 · 2 19 · 3	15.0 16.3	16.6 16.1	18·7 15·7

While in other fields the amounts were :---

	Hoosfie P	eld barley lots	Little rotatio	Hoos n plots	Barn mangol	field d plots
Parts per million	Dunged Plot 7 ³	Complete artificials 4 A	Dunged plot 5 A *	No dung 1 A	Dunged 10	No dung 6—0
0—9'	6	3	5	2	10	4
9-18''	6	3	4	4	7	5
lbs. per acre, 0	27	16	23	14	41	23
Moisture per cent. 0—9" 9—18"	$26.2 \\ 18.5$	19·1 16·8	18·1 20·9	17·0 16·4	20·6 21·9	15·6 18·9

* On this plot the dung was applied in the previous October (Oct. 23rd, 1912), on Barnfield it was applied in the preceding April and on Hoosfield in Feb. 1911.

Journ. of Agric. Sci. vi

4

The dunged plots were very considerably wetter than the others; the organic matter caused the water to be held up and thus reduced the amount of percolation. They had lain wet for a long time. All the conditions indeed seemed favourable for denitrification; there were notable quantities of organic matter and a considerable accumulation of water. Yet without exception the dunged plots all contain much more nitrate than the drier plots poorer in organic matter and less favourable to denitrification.

In no case was the land actually waterlogged, so that there was always the possibility of the diffusion of air into the soil; the temperature also was low, although it was above the freezing point. These are common conditions on loams and clays in mild winters and we may conclude that the winter losses of nitrate arise rather from leaching than from denitrification.

The effect of the crop on nitrate production. It has been shown on p. 35 that the amount of nitrate accumulated in cropped land at the end of the season is less than that in fallow land even after allowance has been made for the nitrogen absorbed by the crop. The conditions on a cropped soil are therefore less favourable to nitrate accumulation than those on uncropped land. Two different types of factors may be expected to come into play; negative factors, such as lack of moisture or low temperature on the cropped land, or some positive factor such as a possible direct effect of the growing plant on the nitrate (other than absorption) or on the decomposition processes going on in the soil. In all the samples moisture was determined at the same time as the nitrates, so that we have full data on this point. The figures show less difference than might have been expected between the cropped and the fallow plot, the losses due to the transpiration of the crop being somewhat counterbalanced by the protection against evaporation afforded by the shade of the crop. The following data afford illustration :---

1911	May 17		Ju	ne 8	Sept. 13		
	Fallow	Cropped	Fallow	Cropped	Fallow	Cropped	
09" 918"	14·3 16·6	14·8 16·6	$12 \cdot 2 \\ 13 \cdot 7$	10·6 13·4	6·2 12·9	5·1 —	

per cent. of moisture in the soil

Ap	April 19 May		y 13	Jur	ne 26	Jul	y 22	Sep	Sept. 26 Fallow Cropped	
Fallow	Cropped	Fallow	Cropped	Fallow	Cropped	Fallow	Cropped	Fallow	Cropped	
$10.9 \\ 12.2$	10·8 14·2	12·0 15·3	9·9 12·5	13·0 13·9	13·1 15·4	12·6 13·7	11 ·7 15 ·0	11·1 14·9	10·8 15·2	

1912	
------	--

per cent. of moisture in the soil

Laboratory experiments show that nitrification still goes on when the moisture content is 11 per cent.; less than this amount did not commonly occur in the soil.

Temperature readings were not generally taken, but when they were they showed that the cropped land was cooler than the fallow land in hot weather. It is impossible to say how far these differences in temperature and moisture may have reacted on the rate of nitrate production, and whether they are sufficient to account for the whole of the difference observed.

This depressing effect of the crop on the rate of nitrate accumulation has been observed before. Eight years ago Warington' showed that the amount of nitrate in the drainage water from Broadbalk field was considerably less than was expected from the manure supplied and the crop reaped. The result is not wholly experimental, for it involves certain assumptions as to the amount of water draining away for which no direct evidence could be obtained; nevertheless as they were drawn up by Sir Henry Gilbert they deserve very serious consideration. Warington thought that denitrification might account for some of the discrepancy but not for all, as it could hardly be supposed to act in dry summer weather: he further suggested that the nitrate might be taken up by the plant and then somehow lost before harvest. More recently Lyon and Bizzell² found more nitrate on land cropped with maize (after allowing for the nitrogen present in the crop) than on fallow land of similar previous history, and concluded that the growing maize plant in some way stimulated nitrification. During the latter part of the life of the plant less nitrate was found in the cropped than in the fallow land, and the further conclusion is drawn that nitrification is inhibited

4 - 2

¹ Trans. Highland Agric. Soc. 1905, 17, pp. 175 et seq.

² Lyon, T. Lyttleton and Bizzell, James A., *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, Jan.—Feb. 1911, "The Relation of certain Non-leguminous Plants to the Nitrate Content of Soils." (All their figures are quoted as NO₃ but for convenience of comparison I have also reduced them to N.)

by the conditions accompanying the decreasing activities of the roots. On the other hand where oats and potatoes were grown the nitrates were never so high in the cropped as in the uncropped land, again, apparently, after allowing for what has been absorbed by the crop. The following amounts of nitrogen as nitrate occurred in parts per million of soils :--

1908	Fallow land		Land carrying maize		1909	Fallow land		Land carrying oats	
	as NO ₃	as N.	as NO ₃	as N.		as NO ₃	as N.	as NO ₃	as N.
May 19 June 22 July 6 July 27 Aug. 10	$21.9 \\ 48.1 \\ 64.1 \\ 186.8 \\ 178.6$	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 9 \\ 10 \cdot 9 \\ 14 \cdot 5 \\ 42 \cdot 1 \\ 40 \cdot 3 \end{array} $	$17.5 \\ 41.3 \\ 62.8 \\ 191.2 \\ 165.3$	$3 \cdot 9 \\ 9 \cdot 3 \\ 14 \cdot 2 \\ 43 \cdot 2 \\ 37 \cdot 3$	April 22 June 24 July 12 Aug. 7	84·0 55·7 55·8 81·6	$ \begin{array}{r} 19 \cdot 0 \\ 12 \cdot 6 \\ 12 \cdot 5 \\ 18 \cdot 4 \end{array} $	48·1 11·1 4·6 3·7	$10.9 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.8$

It is interesting to observe that the figures are generally of the same order as ours excepting only in July and August 1908.

I have never observed any increase in nitrate on cropped land such as is recorded in the maize experiments of Lyon and Bizzell; my results with wheat and barley have always shown a decrease, like theirs with oats. Leather's experiments¹ also show a decrease. The nitrate in the drainage water from the fallow gauges at Pusa contained respectively 261⁵ and 209⁶ lbs. per acre during the period 1907—9, while that in the drainage water and crops of the gauges cropped with grass accounted only for 128⁴ and 115⁶ lbs. per acre over the same period. The final rainfall before the account was made up was so heavy as to deplete the gauges of nitrate, so that no error arises through the retention of nitrate in the soil.

Dehérain's experiments² made at Grignon, near Paris, between 1892 and 1897 also showed much more nitrate coming from the fallow lysimeters than from those covered with crops even after allowing for what was absorbed by the crop. In this case, however, it is uncertain how much nitrate was left in the soil, the rainfall probably being insufficient to wash it all out.

It seems to be an established fact that less nitrate accumulates, and apparently less nitrate is produced, on cropped land than on fallow land,

¹ "Records of drainage in India," J. Walter Leather. Memoirs of the Dept. of Agric. in India, Chemical Series, 1912, 11, 63-140.

² Traité de chimie Agricole, M. Dehérain, pp. 584-599.

even after allowing for the nitrate absorbed by the crop. This result has been obtained under such widely different climatic conditions as prevail at Rothamsted in England, at Pusa in India, at Ithaca in New York State, and apparently at Grignon near Paris¹. Although the actual experimental figures refer only to the accumulation of nitrate we are probably justified in supposing that they indicate a diminished production of nitrate in cropped land, otherwise we have to assume some destructive process at work in the cropped soil that does not go on in the fallow soil, an assumption for which there is no evidence at all. The wide range of climatic conditions under which the result is obtained seems to preclude any assumption that the diminished production is due to the effect of the crop on the temperature or moisture content of the soil. There appears to remain only the possibility that the growing plant has a direct effect on the decomposition processes going on in the soil. Unfortunately field experiments alone do not enable us to decide this question; there is, however, sufficient indication of a direct effect to justify a systematic investigation of the problem.

On the Determination of Nitrates in Soils.

The method adopted in the Rothamsted laboratory was originally devised by Warington and consists in extracting the soil with water, reducing the solution with a zinc-copper couple² and estimating the ammonia in the usual way. It is both simple and accurate, and has now become part of our regular laboratory routine.

The details are as follows: The soil is brought down as rapidly as can be from the field; it is sampled, lots of 200 grams are weighed, put to dry^{3} in a chamber at 38° —40° and weighed again when dry. Then

¹ A similar result seems to have been obtained by B. Welbel in the lysimeter experiments at Ploty, Podolie, Russia. In the French summary of its 11th Report (for 1905) he states :--- "Les cultures en vases montrent encore que les plantes fourragères possédent une influence individuelle sur l'énergie des procès de nitrification: ainsi l'influence de l'esparcette est supérieure à celle de la luzerne." Unfortunately the details are given only in the Russian text.

² Williams' method, Trans. Chem. Soc., 1881, 39, 100.

³ It is not necessary to dry light soils and they can be extracted straight away with water. Heavy soils, however, do not usually allow sufficient percolation to admit of extraction until they have first been dried as directed; our Rothamsted soils, for example, have to be treated in this way as a rule. We are not prepared to say that the drying is without effect on the nitrate content, but we find that it greatly facilitates extraction and it leads to higher and more uniform results than are obtained otherwise. As a precaution, however, all the soils throughout a given investigation are invariably treated alike, and either all dried, or, if they are sufficiently pervious, all extracted in the fresh state. the soil is roughly pounded in a mortar, put on to a Buchner funnel and extracted with 600-800 c.c. of distilled water. To the extract is added a small quantity of ignited magnesia (about 0.05 gram); it is then concentrated in a Jena glass beaker to about 100 c.c., transferred to an 8 oz. bottle $5\frac{1}{2}$ ins. high to the shoulder and 2 ins. diameter, and acidified with acetic acid. Some care is needed here to see that all the magnesia is dissolved; part may remain unaltered owing to the narrowness of the bottle even though the solution gives at first an acid reaction.

Two pieces of the zinc-copper couple are then added. These are prepared from strips of zinc foil 4 inches long and 2 inches wide bent into half-circles round a cylindrical piece of wood. The strips are immersed for a few minutes in caustic soda solution, then washed under the tap and immersed in dilute sulphuric acid, again washed in water and transferred to a 2 per cent. solution of copper sulphate. In a few seconds a dark coating of copper is deposited; the strip is taken out, at once placed in distilled water for a few seconds and then dropped in to the acidified soil extract. The bottle is now corked and placed in an incubator kept at $25-30^{\circ_1}$ for 2 days. Reduction is by this time complete and the ammonia may be distilled off and estimated by titration, or, in the case of small quantities, by nesslerisation.

The following data show that the method gives satisfactory results. A known quantity of sodium nitrate was added to soil, the total amount of nitrate was then determined; the results show that the amount found corresponds closely with what was expected :---

	N. as nitrate	N. as nitrate	N. as nitrate	N. as nitrate
	originally present	added	expected	found
Soil A	24	11	35	35
	24	5	29	29
Soil B	12	10	22	22

Parts per million of dry soil

It does not appear that the determination is complicated by the presence of other nitrogenous organic substances that might be expected

¹ A worn out steam oven does very well for this; if the space between the walls is filled with water it is not difficult to keep the temperature within proper limits.

Compound	N. in added	N. as nitrate originally present in soil	N. as nitrate	Error caused by
added to soil	compound		found	added compound
Asparagine	5	17	18	l
,,	7·5	29	29	none
,,	10	12	12	none
,,	15	24	25	1
Betain	5	17	18	1
,,	10	12	13	
Peptone	5	17	18	1
	10	12	13	1
Urea	5	17	19	23
''	10	12	15	

in arable soils. Urea, however, is hydrolysed to some extent and causes the results to come out rather too high :---

Parts per million of dry soil

Urea is so easily decomposed in the soil that it is not likely to give rise to difficulties in practice, while the small error introduced by the other substances is, as we shall see, less than the error of sampling.

Pasture soils and very heavily manured soils often give a dark coloured extract containing nitrogenous compounds of unknown constitution, and in such cases it is probably not safe to regard the figures as representing the nitrates only, but to give some wider designation as has already been done in some of our papers¹.

Various reducing substances appear to affect the determination and the method does not always give reliable results for pot experiment work (e.g. where calcium sulphide has been added to the soil). In experiments of this kind it is necessary to ascertain whether the method holds before embarking in a series of determinations.

The variation in the field. A fair amount of uniformity exists in the nitrate content of a plot which has been uniformly treated and the differences between the various mixed samples do not generally amount to more than about 2 parts per million. Larger differences, however, occur when dung has recently been applied owing to the difficulty of getting a regular distribution. At least three cores have to be taken even on a tolerably uniform plot.

The following results show the kind of variation that is obtained among samples taken from the same plot when single cores only are taken :---

¹ E.g. this Journal, 1912, 5, 27.

	Broadbalk orchard, 1909							Barnfield, April 18, 1913				Harpenden Field,	
sample	Apr	il 6	Jul	у 6	Oct	. 28	Unma (8-	nured 0)	Dun	iged*	May 14, 1913		
02	0—9″	9—18″	0—9″	9—18″	0—9″	9—18"	09″	9—18″	0—9″	9—18″	0—9″	9—18"	
1 2 3	4 4 —	4 4	6 7 	47	5·5 5·5	6 9 —	4 3 2·5	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 4\\ 2\cdot 5\end{array}$	8 17 —	6 4·5 7	7 13 10	9∙5 9 8∙5	

* Dung applied March 28, 1913.

But when mixtures of three cores are taken the results are more uniform so long as the soil is dried before analysis :---

	So	il dried b	efore ana	lysis	Soil analysed fresh from field				
	Hoos	fallow	Hoos du	nged plot	Hoos fallow		Hoos dunged plot		
	0-9" 9-18"		0-9″	9—18"	0—9″	9—18″	0—9″	9—18″	
lst three cores 2nd ,, ,,	7 9	75	9 10	6 4	7 9	8	6 5	6 3	
·	·		Parts 1	per millio	n			<u> </u>	
	0_18"		0—18″		0—18″		0—18″		
1st three cores 2nd ,, ,,	37 37		34 31		40 32		28 18		

lbs. per acre

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.

The amount of nitrate in the soil of arable land fluctuates regularly but in these experiments it rarely exceeded the following values:----

		Per million	Per cent.	lbs. per acre 0—18″	
	Sand	6	·0006	28	
	Loam	23	.0023	115	
(excepting on	heavily	dunged land,	when it rose	to 37 parts per	million)
	Clay	14	·0014	60	-

In almost all the soils examined the accumulation of nitrate took place most rapidly in late spring or early summer. After this there was usually little if any gain and very frequently a loss. In the hot dry autumn of 1911, however, the accumulation continued in some of the soils right on till September.

During the winter loss of nitrate takes place. This was more marked in the wet winter of 1911-12 than in the drier winter of 1908-09.

The fluctuations in nitrate content are more marked on loams than on clays or sands. Clays lose less of their nitrates in winter, but on the other hand they accumulate smaller amounts in June and July. Sands lose much of their nitrates in winter and do not accumulate very large amounts in summer. It appears that the main loss in winter is due to leaching and not to denitrification.

On comparing the nitrate content of cropped and fallow land it is found that during late summer and early autumn the fallow land is the richer even after allowing for the nitrate taken up by the crop. Indeed no evidence could be obtained that any nitrate was produced in the soil during the time of active crop growth, although nitrate accumulation was taking place on adjacent fallow land. The question arises whether the growth of a crop exerts any effect on the rate of nitrate production in the soil. The data to hand do not enable us definitely to settle this point.

The rapid rise in nitrate content in spring does not usually set in immediately the warm weather begins; there is a longer or shorter lag. There are indications of greater bacterial activity in early summer than later on, a phenomenon readily explicable on our view that the soil population is complex and includes organisms which are detrimental to the decomposition of bacteria but which are on the whole more readily put out of action.

The supply of nitrate to the plant is known to be a factor of prime importance in plant growth. Similarly it is found that the factors which determine the accumulation of nitrates in the soil also play a great part in determining the amount of crop production. Thus heavy winter rainfall, which washes out nitrates, tends to reduce crop growth: on the other hand hot dry summers succeeded by dry winters are favourable to nitrate accumulation and therefore to crop growth.