

## Data in Brief

Derived datasets of daily weather, near surface soil status, flow rates and concentrations of nitrogen species from the North Wyke Farm Platform, England  
--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	
<b>Article Type:</b>	Data Article
<b>Section/Category:</b>	Earth and Environmental Sciences
<b>Keywords:</b>	Daily; Weather; Nitrogen; Water; field scale
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Yusheng Zhang Rothamsted at North Wyke UNITED KINGDOM
<b>First Author:</b>	Yusheng Zhang
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Yusheng Zhang Jane Hawkins Hadewij Sint Adrian L Collins
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Weather conditions, hydrological responses and the dynamics of key nitrogen species in field runoff were continuously monitored at 15-minutes resolution on the intensively instrumented North Wyke Farm Platform (NWFP), a UK National Bioscience Research Infrastructure (NBRI), to support research on sustainable and resilient agriculture in the UK. Released data spanning 2013 to 2024 for 6 selected field catchments were aggregated to daily timestep, with reference to data quality flags, to produce continuous weather data, including maximum and minimum air temperature, daily total rainfall, wind speed and quality assured daily average soil moisture content, soil temperature at 10 cm depth, runoff rates, nitrate and nitrite concentrations, and ammonium concentrations. External data sources were sourced to infill some gaps for the weather data and summary statistics on data coverage were generated for the other data in an annual and seasonal basis where appropriate. Along with detailed field management data, the observed data provide a valuable resource for the parameterisation, calibration and validation of physically- based models on nitrogen losses at field scale to account for alternative management practices and land uses under changing climate conditions.</p>
<b>Suggested Reviewers:</b>	<p>Marianne NA Bechmann Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research marianne.bechmann@nibio.no Expert on nitrate monitoring</p> <p>Jean P.G. Minella jean.minella@ufsm.br Expert on diffuse pollution in agricultural catchment</p> <p>Per erik Mellander PerErik.Mellander@teagasc.ie Expert on catchment monitoring</p>



ROTHAMSTED  
RESEARCH

**Est. 1843** by Sir John Bennet Lawes  
Harpenden, Herts AL5 2JQ  
Tel: +44 1582 763133  
www.rothamsted.ac.uk  
**Director and Chief Executive:**  
Professor Angela Karp

**North Wyke**  
Okehampton, Devon EX20 2SB, UK  
Tel: +44 1837 512300  
Net Zero and Resilient Farming  
Dr Yusheng Zhang

30 October 2024

Dear Editors,

We herein enclose our draft paper entitled '**Derived datasets of daily weather, near surface soil status, flow rates and concentrations of nitrogen species from the North Wyke Farm Platform, England**' for consideration for publication in the Data in Brief.

Nitrogen cycling in soil on agricultural land is a complex process having significant impacts on the delivery of multiple ecosystem services (e.g. provisioning and regulatory services) and improvement of nutrient use efficiency. Process-based nitrogen models are increasingly being used for undertaking scenario comparison and development of alternative land management practices under changing climate conditions. Such models tend to require large amounts of data for their parameterisation, calibration and validation. Along with field management information, the North Wyke Farm Platform (NWFP) in Southwest England, as a UK National Bioscience Research Infrastructure (NBRI), has been collecting meteorological, soil water and nutrient loss in field runoff data at 15-minute intervals since 2011/12. However, there are acknowledged challenges for the wide use of such data for nitrogen modelling, including temporal resolution mismatch (15-minutes data vs the expected daily resolution inputs required for most models), gaps in meteorological data, and lack of detailed field management information. Efforts were therefore made to generate publicly available and ready for use datasets for agroecosystem modellers to facilitate the further development and refinement of nitrogen modelling. We have published the new datasets in the Zenodo repository and would like to introduce these data to the wider scientific community to fulfil their potential via your journal.

Yours





1

## 2 ARTICLE INFORMATION

### 3 Article title

4 Derived datasets of daily weather, near surface soil status, flow rates and concentrations of nitrogen  
5 species from the North Wyke Farm Platform, England

### 6 Authors

7 Yusheng Zhang\*, Jane Hawkins, Hadewij Sint, Adrian L Collins

### 8 Affiliations

9 Net Zero and Resilient Farming, Rothamsted Research, North Wyke, Okehampton, Devon EX20 2SB,  
10 UK

### 11 Corresponding author's email address and Twitter handle

12 yusheng.zhang@rothamsted.ac.uk

### 13 Keywords

14 *Daily, Weather, Nitrogen, Water, field scale*

### 15 Abstract

16 Weather conditions, hydrological responses and the dynamics of key nitrogen species in field runoff  
17 were continuously monitored at 15-minutes resolution on the intensively instrumented North Wyke  
18 Farm Platform (NWFP), a UK National Bioscience Research Infrastructure (NBRI), to support research  
19 on sustainable and resilient agriculture in the UK. Released data spanning 2013 to 2024 for 6  
20 selected field catchments were aggregated to daily timestep, with reference to data quality flags, to  
21 produce continuous weather data, including maximum and minimum air temperature, daily total  
22 rainfall, wind speed and quality assured daily average soil moisture content, soil temperature at 10  
23 cm depth, runoff rates, nitrate and nitrite concentrations, and ammonium concentrations. External  
24 data sources were sourced to infill some gaps for the weather data and summary statistics on data  
25 coverage were generated for the other data in an annual and seasonal basis where appropriate.  
26 Along with detailed field management data, the observed data provide a valuable resource for the  
27 parameterisation, calibration and validation of physically- based models on nitrogen losses at field  
28 scale to account for alternative management practices and land uses under changing climate  
29 conditions.

30

## 31 SPECIFICATIONS TABLE

32

Subject	Hydrology and water quality
---------	-----------------------------



<b>Specific subject area</b>	Daily timeseries of climate variables, soil moisture content, flow rates, nitrate, nitrite and ammonium concentrations.
<b>Type of data</b>	Table Filtered, Processed, Aggregated
<b>Data collection</b>	<p>15-minute data along with quality flags from a selection of field scale catchments were downloaded from the NWFP data portal (<a href="https://nwfp.rothamsted.ac.uk/">https://nwfp.rothamsted.ac.uk/</a>) between July and September 2024. The targeted catchments include Pecketsford (catchment 1), Great Field (catchment 2), Poor Field (catchment 3), Burrows (catchment 4), Orchard Dean (catchment 5) and Higher Wyke Moor (catchment 8). These catchments were selected for their representativeness of land use types, similar hydrological contributing areas (~6 ha), and relatively continuous data records. Data prior to 2013 were excluded to avoid the impacts of a catchment boundary change for catchment 4. Data quality flag-based filtering was undertaken to remove data records with potential issues. Data points with 'Good' and 'Acceptable' flags were accepted without change. Those with an 'Outlier' flag were checked individually. If multiple entries for the same timeslot were reported to have similar values, they were accepted. If an 'Outlier' value was reported for a single catchment then it was rejected. Data points with other quality flags were rejected out of caution. Daily totals, average values and sample counts from 09:00:00 were derived by creating pivot tables in Excel where adjusted date values were used as the row element. For weather data with &lt;75% of the expected data points (n= 96, i.e. 72), the data from a nearby Met office station (North Wyke site) were used. For wind speed, large gaps (&gt;3 days) were infilled with the monthly average for valid wind speed readings. For temperature data with smaller gaps (&lt;3 days), linear interpolations were used. For longer gaps (&gt;3 days), gridded daily data from the HADUK-Grid dataset [1] were used. For rainfall data, gaps were handled with reference to the monitored flow data. If there was no noticeable flow, then no rainfall was assumed. Otherwise, rainfall data from other sources were used; namely, observed data from the nearby Met station and daily data from HADUK-Grid.</p>
<b>Data source location</b>	Institution: Rothamsted Research City/Town/Region: Devon County Country: England
<b>Data accessibility</b>	Repository name: Zenodo Data identification number: <a href="https://zenodo.org/records/14006971">10.5281/zenodo.14006971</a> Direct URL to data: <a href="https://zenodo.org/records/14006972">https://zenodo.org/records/14006972</a>



<b>Related research article</b>	None

33

34

### 35 VALUE OF THE DATA

- 36 • There is a demand for quality observed data for the development and further refinement of  
37 process-based models for a better understanding of nitrogen cycling processes in agricultural  
38 landscapes to support evidence-based improvement of nitrogen use efficiency and  
39 mitigation of the unintended consequence associated with intensive farming[2]. Combined  
40 with detailed site descriptions and field management information which is publicly available,  
41 high resolution monitored data from the NWFP collected over recent years could provide  
42 unique reference datasets for representing agricultural activities in a UK setting.
- 43 • Using transparent and standardized approaches, the aggregation of 15-minute data to daily  
44 time scale will avoid the uncertainty associated with the pre-processing of data before their  
45 use in a process based model. Access to data collectors, quality assessors and database  
46 administrators ensured appropriate interpretation of the quality flags. Local knowledge  
47 about alternative data sources ensured that the best available data were used for any  
48 necessary gap filling.
- 49 • Various data quality indicators, such as data coverage and valid data points for the daily  
50 estimates will give potential model developers and users' flexibility to select optimal years to  
51 use and to interpret any discrepancy between modelled outputs and observed values. These  
52 data series will be very useful for the testing of hydrological and water quality models in  
53 general with an emphasis on nitrate losses from different land uses (low intensity livestock  
54 grazing with beef and sheep and arable cropping).
- 55 • Data collection spanned a period where arable cropping was introduced into a typical  
56 livestock grazing area in multiple field catchments and coincided with recognized extreme  
57 weather conditions [3]. These unique combinations make the monitored data relevant to  
58 better process-based modelling of future climate change impacts under similar  
59 environmental settings.

### 60 BACKGROUND

61 Process-based modelling has been an invaluable approach to improve our understanding of  
62 hydrological and nitrogen processes on agricultural land, which are key to sustain various ecosystem  
63 services, including production of food and fibres, maintaining soil quality, and the filtering of harmful  
64 agri-chemicals to receiving aquatic environments. They are also the main tool for the assessment of  
65 potential impacts of alternative management practice under ever changing climate conditions. Most  
66 process-based models require large amounts of input data to operate and they also need to be  
67 calibrated and validated before their intended applications. The existing models are mostly run at



68 daily time step and require continuous weather data. There is a scarcity of monitored data at  
69 comparable temporal resolution for the testing of such models. Along with detailed field  
70 management information, the NWFP has accumulated a relatively long time series dataset at 15-  
71 minute resolution for several field catchments [5][6][7][8] where some quality assurances have  
72 already been undertaken. Efforts were therefore made to further process the available data to make  
73 them suitable for the development and testing of physically based nitrogen models for UK settings.

## 74 DATA DESCRIPTION

75 The generated new data[4] are provided in an Excel workbook named 'Derived daily outputs from 15  
76 minute NWFP data.xlsx' which contains 6 separate worksheets with self-explanatory sheet names,  
77 including 'Weather', 'Soil moisture', 'Soil temperature', 'Flow rate', 'Nitrate concentration', and  
78 'Ammonium concentration', respectively. The first cell of each sheet gives a brief description of its  
79 content. Two blocks of data are presented on each sheet: daily time series for 6 catchments on the  
80 left and summary statistics data on data coverage on the right. For the 'Weather' sheet, a column  
81 with a header of 'Infilled variable list' lists individual data items that are not from NWFP monitoring  
82 for each data record. The majority of the data records were based entirely on NWFP monitoring and  
83 they were registered as 'Unchanged' in the appropriate column. For the other datasets, the number  
84 of accepted data points available following filtering and used for the calculation of daily values are  
85 tabulated. For summary data on the temporal coverage throughout the reported period, annual  
86 percentages are given for all data series. In addition, percentage coverages for the soil drainage  
87 period (October to March) are also shown for nitrate and ammonium concentrations. A sheet named  
88 'Metadata' is provided to give a brief description of the data processing procedures involved.  
89 Information for relevant field management events, including ploughing, drilling and harvesting,  
90 fertilizer application timings and rates, manure spreading timing and rates are shown on a field basis  
91 in a separate workbook named 'Field management information for modelling.xlsx'. The membership  
92 of fields to the selected NWFP catchments is explained in a separate worksheet named 'Catchments  
93 and Fields'. A separate KMZ file ('catchment boundary.kmz') is included as part of the data package  
94 to show the geographic extent of the field catchments concerned.

## 95 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN, MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 96 Catchments and land uses

97 The monitored 15-minute data are from 6 hydrologically-isolated catchments which formed part of  
98 purposely built farm platform for the comparison of 3 farming systems: permanent pasture as a  
99 control (Green farmlet), increased use of legumes and replacement of chemical fertiliser (Blue  
100 farmlet) and planned reseeding and regular renewal/arable (Red farmlet)[5]. Catchments 1, 2 and 3  
101 are part of the NWFP Red Farmlet; Catchments 4 and 5 are part of the NWFP Green farmlet, and;  
102 Catchment 8 is part of the NWFP Blue catchment. Between 2013 and 2019, they were all used for  
103 low intensity sheep and cattle grazing. Catchments 1,2 and 3 were converted to arable cropping in  
104 the autumn of 2019 wherein different arable crops (winter wheat, winter oats) have since been  
105 cultivated under different land management practices (conventional ploughing, minimum tillage).  
106 Further details can be found in the assembled field management information provided.

### 107 Instrumentation & Sensors





108 Air temperature, wind speed, solar radiation and precipitation, were recorded at 15-minute intervals  
109 using dedicated meteorological equipment (Adcon, OTT HydroMet GmbH, Vienna, Austria) sited at  
110 an approximately central location on the farm platform. The instruments were co-located next to  
111 those of an official UK Meteorological Office site which has collected daily data (9am – 9am), since  
112 1981.

113 Air temperature was measured using a thermistor with a range of  $-40 - 60$  °C, wind speed was  
114 measured at a height of 3 m using an anemometer with a range of  $1.44 - 270$  km h<sup>-1</sup>, and solar  
115 radiation was measured using a pyranometer (range  $0 - 1600$  W m<sup>-2</sup>; resolution  $0.1$  W m<sup>-2</sup>). From  
116 2013 - 2015, precipitation was measured by a tipping bucket rain gauge (range =  $0 - 100$  mm hr<sup>-1</sup>;  
117 resolution =  $0.2$  mm) but in April 2015, this was replaced with a more accurate Pluvio rain gauge  
118 (Adcon, OTT HydroMet GmbH, Vienna, Austria) with a range of  $0.1 - 500$  mm hr<sup>-1</sup> and resolution of  
119  $0.01$  mm. Although capable of monitoring precipitation data at 1-minute intervals, only 15-minute  
120 interval data were recorded. Given that the instruments were co-located with those of the UK  
121 Meteorological Office, periodic comparisons were conducted to check for consistency between the  
122 alternative measurements and differences of genuine concern were flagged during the quality  
123 control (QC) processing of the data described below.

124 Soil moisture, at depths of 10 cm and soil temperature at 15 cm, were monitored at 15-minute  
125 intervals from an approximately central location in each of the field scale catchments using a  
126 combined soil moisture and temperature probe (A51760; A51730, Adcon, OTT HydroMet GmbH,  
127 Vienna, Austria). The probe is connected via an SDI 12 interface to a remote terminal unit (RTU, A723  
128 addIT Series 4, Adcon, OTT HydroMet GmbH, Vienna, Austria) for data transmission. The scaled  
129 frequency unit (SFU) data were converted to % soil moisture (%) using a formula shown below:

130 
$$\text{soil moisture} = (\text{SFU} - 18.80) / 1.808$$

131 which is developed from calibrating the sensor output in  $1\text{m}^3$  blocks of North Wyke soil under a  
132 range of different soil moisture conditions. Between June - November 2015, the A51760 model  
133 Adcon sensors were replaced with the A51730 model, and the data converted using an updated  
134 calibration formula:

135 
$$\text{soil moisture} = (\text{SFU} + 12.87) / 1.808$$

136 Hydrological flow from each catchment was collected by two French drains on the downslope  
137 boundaries of the catchments that merged in a confluence pit. From here, flow was channelled via a  
138 conduit to H Type flumes (TRACOM Inc., Georgia, USA), the size of which was determined by size of  
139 the catchment they are servicing. The level or stage height of water was recorded at 15-minute  
140 intervals using sensors sited in a stilling well near the flume outflow. Up until mid-2015, flow was  
141 monitored using bubble flow meters (4230, Teledyne ISCO, New England, USA). These were replaced  
142 with pressure level sensors (OTT Hydromet, Loveland, CO., USA).

143 The level height (H) data are converted to flow ( $\text{L s}^{-1}$ ) using formulas specific to the size of the flume,  
144 and which are given in Table 1.

145 Table 1 Formulae for conversion of water height to discharge rate for different sized flumes

Catchment Number	Flume size (ft)	Formulae (H in metres) †
1	1.5	$L^{-5} = -0.00396436 - (0.07231968 * H^{0.5}) + (79.89379128 * H^{1.5}) + (900.3765227 * H^{2.5})$
2, 3, 5, 8	2.0	$L^{-5} = 0.022285358 - (0.55496382 * H^{0.5}) + (125.5275778 * H^{1.5}) + (939.5717311 * H^{2.5})$
4	2.5	$L^{-5} = 0.042446953 - (0.90725263 * H^{0.4}) + (108.676075 * H^{1.4}) + (937.5943603 * H^{2.5})$

146

147 A cabin sited at each flume contained telemetry devices for transmission of data via fibre optic cable,  
 148 pumping equipment, and a bespoke stainless-steel by-pass flow cell (13 L capacity) that housed  
 149 sensors to measure various water quality parameters at 15-minute intervals. Water from a sump in  
 150 the conduit that supplies the flume was automatically pumped into and out of the underside of the  
 151 flow cell by a bi-directional peristaltic pump (621VI\R, Watson-Marlow Inc., Massachusetts, USA).  
 152 The V-shaped design of the flow cell ensured that there was no build-up of sediment or particulate  
 153 matter either between samples or over time. The pumping cycle was controlled through a  
 154 combination of the level sensor data, a netDL 1000 data logger (OTT Hydromet, Loveland, CO., USA),  
 155 and a programmable logic controller (PLC LOGO, Siemens AG, Munich, Germany). The programmable  
 156 logic controller (PLC) stored a programme that activated the peristaltic pump, as well as controlling  
 157 its speed and direction. The sensor level data were captured by the netDL logger, and a signal sent to  
 158 the PLC depending on the flow conditions. If a 15-minute flow point was  $\geq 0.2 \text{ L s}^{-1}$ , the PLC  
 159 programme was activated and the pump operated, but if the flow point was  $\leq 0.18 \text{ L s}^{-1}$ , the PLC  
 160 programme was de-activated, no pumping took place, and the volume of water in the flow cell was  
 161 retained. This enabled sensors, such as ion elective electrodes (ISEs) that require permanent  
 162 submersion in a liquid, from drying out.

163 Ammoniacal nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ ) was measured by an ISE (range = 0 – 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; resolution = 0.01  
 164 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) as part of a suite of sensors attached to a multi-parameter sonde. Up until May 2016, YSI  
 165 6600V2 sondes (Xylem Inc Rye Brook, New York, U.S), that also held sensors measuring other water  
 166 quality parameters including turbidity, dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, pH and temperature,  
 167 were used. During 2016, the YSI 6600V2 sondes were upgraded to YSI EXO 2 sondes fitted with  
 168 smart sensors. The sondes communicated directly with the netDL logger via a Serial Data Interface.

169 Combined nitrate-N and nitrite-N were measured by a dedicated, self-cleaning, optical UV absorption  
 170 sensor (NITRATAX Plus SC, Loveland, Colorado, USA) with a range of 0.1 – 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and resolution  
 171 of 0.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

### 172 Water Quality Sensor Calibration

173 Initially, two complete sets of the YSI 6600V2 sondes were used allowing one set to be calibrated in  
 174 and stored in the laboratory while the other set was deployed in the field. After the upgrade to the

† Taken from Field Manual for Research in Agricultural Hydrology, Agriculture Handbook No. 224, U.S. Department of Agriculture, February 1972



175 YSI EXO 2 sondes in 2016, the design allowed for smart sensors to be plugged in and removed easily.  
 176 Therefore, two complete sets of sensors were used; one set deployed in the field whilst the other  
 177 was calibrated and stored in the laboratory, ready for deployment.

178 The sonde/sensor sets were rotated approximately every month, minimising downtime, and ensuring  
 179 continuous high data quality. All sensors were checked in standards of known concentration and drift  
 180 values recorded. Once drift checked, the sonde sensors were cleaned and stored appropriately until  
 181 they were calibrated prior to deployment. In the case of  $\text{NH}_4^+/\text{NH}_3$  ISEs, a 2-point calibration ( $1\text{mg L}^{-1}$   
 182 -  $100\text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) was used, and sensor modules were replaced every 12 months. Following storage, ISEs  
 183 were re-hydrated by soaking for 24 hours in a  $100\text{ mg L}^{-1}$   $\text{NH}_4^+$  standard prior to calibration and  
 184 deployment.

185 The Nitratax UV absorption sensors remained in situ and were calibrated monthly in the field using a  
 186 2-point calibration ( $0\text{ mg NO}_{2+3}\text{ L}^{-1}$  (Reverse Osmosis water) -  $11.3\text{ mg NO}_{2+3}\text{ L}^{-1}$ ). Sensor drift that  
 187 might be due to lens contamination was checked prior to cleaning the lens and wiper blade. In  
 188 addition, the sensors underwent an annual service including a 3-point factory calibration.

189 For more detailed information on the design and set-up of the North Wyke Farm Platform (NWFP)  
 190 and the instrumentation described above, please refers to relevant guide documents [5,6,7,8].

### 191 **Quality Control of Data**

192 A detailed description of the QC processing of the data can be found in Hawkins et al. [9]. Briefly, the  
 193 QC process used bespoke R (<http://www.r-project.org>) scripts on four weeks' worth of data at a time.  
 194 Each data point was given an appropriate flag to give an indication of reliability.

195 A Sensor Downtime Log (SDL) of all sensor issues was maintained including details on the sensor, its  
 196 location, the start and end times the sensor was functioning incorrectly, information about the issue  
 197 and the required QC action (i.e. set recorded data as missing (NA) or add an 'unreliable' flag to each  
 198 data point). Exports from the SDL were automatically used as part of the QC process and based on  
 199 the records, data were flagged unreliable for certain periods which could be from a few hours up to  
 200 months. The QC process applied limits to identify extreme distributional (lower limit and upper limit)  
 201 outliers, whilst other limits were used to identify simple distributional (lower limit and upper limit)  
 202 outliers. The limits were not statistically set but were based on expert judgement of the data to  
 203 identify unusual or interesting low- and high-valued measurements. Values exceeding extreme upper  
 204 or lower limits, or deemed impossible, were set to NA. Thus, the assignment of flags was rather  
 205 subjective and based on various events that have taken place (recorded in the downtime log), that  
 206 might potentially have affected the data, without knowing the full extent of it. Data quality flags that  
 207 might be assigned to a data point and their explanations are given in Table 2.

208 Table 2 Data quality flags – description and details

Flag	Details
Not set	No information on quality available
Good	Data were checked and deemed good
Acceptable	Data were checked and no issues were found
Suspicious	Data were checked and might have been affected by an event
Highly Suspicious	Data were checked and have definitely been affected by an event



Reject	Data were rejected
High Sensor Drift	Calibration values indicate that the readings were high over the time period. As calibration takes place monthly, it is impossible to know if or how much the instrument drifted at the measurement timestamp as this is not a linear relationship
Missing Sensor Drift	Missing instrument calibration information, this level of instrument drift during the period is unknown
Outlier	The value falls outside 'regular' limits but within the extreme limits, therefore could still be fine
Level Reset	Level pressure sensors were reset, indicating this could result in a step change in flow
Calibration	Calibration Datetime of the instrument

---

## 209 LIMITATIONS

210 Due to the impacts of Covid shutdown, the data coverage for some years is low. Generally speaking,  
211 there is also low coverage for crop growth periods (May to August) because of low/discontinuous  
212 flow conditions at field scale and the threshold flow-based sampling regime. No comparable data on  
213 nitrogen related emissions to air, such as nitrous oxide, were available at similar temporal resolution  
214 or coverage.

## 215 ETHICS STATEMENT

216 Hereby, we (Yusheng Zhang, Jane Hawkins, Hadewij Sint, Adrian L. Collins) have declared that we  
217 have read and follow the ethical requirements for publication in Data in Brief and confirm that the  
218 current work does not involve human subjects, animal experiments, or any data collected from social  
219 media platforms.

## 220 CRediT AUTHOR STATEMENT

221 Yusheng Zhang: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation,  
222 Visualization, Writing –original draft, Writing –review & editing; Jane Hawkins, Investigation,  
223 Validation, Writing –original draft; Hadewij Sint, Investigation, Validation; Adrian L. Collins:  
224 Conceptualization, Validation, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision,  
225 Writing –original draft, Writing –review & editing.

## 226 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

227 The work reported in this paper was funded by the UKRI-EPSC (UK Research and Innovation-  
228 Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council) grant award EP/Y025776/1 - Global Nitrogen  
229 Innovation Centre for Clean Energy and Environment (NICCEE) awarded to ALC; the UKRI-BBSRC (UK  
230 Research and Innovation- Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council) funded institute  
231 strategic programme Resilient Farming Futures via grant BB/X010961/1 - specifically work package 2  
232 - BBS/E/RH/230004B; Detecting agroecosystem 'resilience' using novel data science methods and  
233 UKRI-BBSRC grant BBS/E/RH/23NB0008 – the North Wyke Farm Platform National Bioscience  
234 Research Infrastructure (NBRI).



235 **DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTERESTS**

236 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships  
237 that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

238 **REFERENCES**

- 239 [1] Met Office, D. Hollis, M. McCarthy, M. Kendon, T. Legg, I. Simpson, HadUK-Grid gridded and  
240 regional average climate observations for the UK[dataset]. Centre for Environmental Data Analysis,  
241 24 Oct 2024. <http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/4dc8450d889a491ebb20e724debe2dfb/>
- 242 [2] Y. Zhang, B.A. Griffith, S.J. Granger, H. M. Sint, A.L. Collins, 2022. Tackling unintended  
243 consequences of grazing livestock farming: Multi-scale assessment of co-benefits and trade-offs for  
244 water pollution mitigation scenarios. *J. Cleaner Prod.*336, p.130449.  
245 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130449>
- 246 [3] Y. Zhang, S.J. Granger, M.A. Semenov, H. Upadhayay, A.L. Collins, 2022. Diffuse water pollution  
247 during recent extreme wet-weather in the UK: Environmental damage costs and insight into the  
248 future? *J. Cleaner Prod.*338, p.130633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130633>
- 249 [4] Y. Zhang, J.M.B. Hawkins, H. M. Sint, and A.L. Collins, 2024. Derived daily timeseries of weather,  
250 soil moisture and temperature, flow and nitrogen species (nitrate and nitrite, ammonium)  
251 concentrations data for the North Wyke Farm Platform National Biosciences Research Infrastructure,  
252 England [Data set]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14006972>
- 253 [5] J.M.B Hawkins, B.A. Griffith, B. A., Sint, H. M., P. Harris, 2023. The North Wyke Farm Platform:  
254 Design, Establishment and Development. Rothamsted Research Repository.  
255 <https://doi.org/10.23637/rothamsted.98y1x>.
- 256 [6] J.M.B Hawkins, P. Harris, 2023a. The North Wyke Farm Platform: Fine Resolution (15-minute) Soil  
257 Moisture Station Data. Rothamsted Research Repository.  
258 <https://doi.org/10.23637/rothamsted.98y4x>.
- 259 [7] J.M.B Hawkins, P. Harris, 2023b. The North Wyke Farm Platform: Fine Resolution (15-minute)  
260 Meteorological Data. Rothamsted Research Repository. <https://doi.org/10.23637/rothamsted.98y4w>.
- 261 [8] J.M.B Hawkins, B.A. Griffith, B. A., P. Harris, 2023. The North Wyke Farm Platform: Fine Resolution  
262 (15-Minute) Hydrology and Water Quality Data. Rothamsted Research Repository.  
263 <https://doi.org/10.23637/rothamsted.98y34>.
- 264 [9] J.M.B Hawkins, H.M. Sint, P. Harris, 2023. The North Wyke Farm Platform: Quality Control System  
265 (Data version 3; released on 01-12-2018). Rothamsted Research Rothamsted Research.  
266 <https://doi.org/10.23637/rothamsted.98y4y>

267



Click here to download Research Data  
<https://zenodo.org/records/14006972>

