

# Rothamsted Repository Download

## A - Papers appearing in refereed journals

Lushai, G., Loxdale, H. D. and Allen, J. A. 2003. The dynamic clonal genome and its adaptive potential. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 79, pp. 193-208.

The publisher's version can be accessed at:

- <https://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8312.2003.00189.x>

The output can be accessed at: <https://repository.rothamsted.ac.uk/item/88zww/the-dynamic-clonal-genome-and-its-adaptive-potential>.

© Please contact [library@rothamsted.ac.uk](mailto:library@rothamsted.ac.uk) for copyright queries.

## ***Intraclonal genetic variation: ecological and evolutionary aspects.***

*Edited by H. D. Loxdale FLS, FRES and G. Lushai FRES*

# **The dynamic clonal genome and its adaptive potential**

GUGS LUSHAI<sup>1</sup>, HUGH D. LOXDALE<sup>2\*</sup> and JOHN A. ALLEN<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Eastern Cereal and Oilseed Research Centre (ECORC), Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0C6, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Plant & Invertebrate Ecology Division, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire AL5 2JQ, UK

<sup>3</sup>Biodiversity and Ecology Division, School of Biological Sciences, Bassett Crescent East, University of Southampton, Southampton SO16 7PX, UK

Populations of clonal organisms are often represented as being evolutionary inert with persistent genetic fidelity. The advent of molecular methods and the corresponding increased genetic resolution of clonal populations forces a reconsideration of this viewpoint. We review molecular data from viruses, prokaryotes and eukaryotes to support the argument that clones possess a highly dynamic and adaptive genome. © 2003 The Linnean Society of London, *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 2003, **79**, 193–208.

**ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS:** adaptation – asexual – clone – evolution – intraclonal variation – interclonal variation – mutation.

## **INTRODUCTION**

In the debate over the evolution of sex, important questions are raised by the longevity of asexual species (Judson & Normark, 1996; Normark, Judson & Moran, 2003). In particular, given that sex is beneficial because it generates genetically variable offspring, how do asexual genomes survive? By definition, evolution is dependent on genetic variance, even if operative for a relatively short time in the life cycle (Gorokhova *et al.*, 2002).

The advent of molecular technologies has resulted in an increased ability to detect mutational change and thus quantify the levels of variation in asexual populations (Lushai & Loxdale, 2002). Changes within non-coding, repeat DNA and synonymous or silent mutations are probably effectively neutral (although their effect on secondary structuring and spacing of genes is not well understood) but most mutations with observable phenotypic effects are deleterious. Work on *Drosophila* has suggested that deleterious mutations arise at the level of about one per individual per gen-

eration, although this is considerably less for other organisms (Lynch, Blanchard & Postlethwait, 1999).

In an asexual population, unless very large, no individual will be free of harmful mutations. Hence the mean fitness of an asexual population is expected to decrease with time (Lynch *et al.*, 1993) and the population should eventually become extinct. As a result of mutation and subsequent isolation, an asexual population may consist of several clones, each adapted to a particular niche, but in a stochastic environment mean fitness will often be severely reduced (Haldane, 1932, 1990). Yet, despite these points, asexual lineages persist in numerous independent taxa, and some of these lineages are very ancient indeed (Judson & Normark, 1996; Normark, Judson & Moran, 2003). However, we here take a step back from such interclonal genetic variation and its ecological consequences (Vrijenhoek, 1998) and concentrate instead on intraclonal variation.

## **EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FOR RAPID CHANGE IN CLONAL ORGANISMS**

Recent molecular studies have highlighted the levels of intraclonal genetic variation in both unicellular (e.g.

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: Hugh.Loxdale@bbsrc.ac.uk

Elena, Cooper & Lenski, 1996) and multicellular organisms (Lushai *et al.*, 1998). Phages, bacteria, yeast and mitochondrial lineages are some of the groups that have provided insights on evolutionary processes in relation to molecularly identified changes in the genome (see Table 1a for an overview). Most of the data derive from studies on strains and populations under environmental stresses of nutrition and temperature. For an insight into the experimental utility of such study organisms, see Bull *et al.* (1993; bacteriophage-T7) and Lenski & Travisano (1994; *Escherichia coli*).

Studies on the complexity of evolution in asexual species is exemplified by work on bacteriophages (Bull *et al.*, 1993, 1997; Cunningham *et al.*, 1997; Crill, Wichman & Bull, 2000; Wichman *et al.*, 2000). These reveal a high level of parallel molecular evolution within independently evolving lines derived from a common ancestor, and show similarities in deletion events and related nonsense mutations in loci adjacent to the points of breakage (T7, Cunningham *et al.*, 1997). Similar work on the bacteriophage- $\phi$ X 174 has also revealed such parallelisms, as well as reversals to the ancestral type; in fact the convergence made the lineages appear more closely related than they were in reality (Bull *et al.*, 1997; Wichman *et al.*, 2000). In these experiments, the purity of the lineages was ensured by allowing them to evolve in geographically distant laboratories; however, trends across these geographically dispersed lineages were consistent. The convergence strongly indicates selection (Bull *et al.*, 1997). Fitness of a mutation was genome-dependent; when the same genome was subjected to the same selection, the same mutations were favoured.

Rapid evolutionary changes in bacteriophages have also been illustrated by host alternation studies, where in some lineages *Salmonella enterica* was substituted for the typical *E. coli* host. Typically, the highest levels of convergence were observed among lineages grown with the same species of host (Wichman *et al.*, 2000) to the extent of a depressed ability to grow when phages from an *S. enterica* lineage were returned to the ancestral *E. coli* (Crill, Wichman & Bull, 2000). Other significant trends included rates of substitution that were far more rapid during the initial stages of the evolutionary experiment, culminating in an adaptive plateau, and specific sites of reversion at 'gene F' that appeared responsible for host-change. Other beneficial mutations have been found in this system, two involving gene F, and a third affecting the amino acid sequence of the internal scaffolding protein, gene B (Bull, Badgett & Wichman, 2000). Selection coefficients for these were between 0.03 and 0.14, where 0.01–0.1 are generally considered large.

Stimulated by the classic experiments of Luria & Delbrück (1943), there is now a wealth of information about mutational change and adaptation in bacterial lineages. We focus on recent work by Lenski and colleagues using their cryogenic 'fossil-bed' *E. coli* experimental lineages (Lenski & Travisano, 1994; but see Dykhuizen, 1990). Their work has revealed how rare beneficial mutations sweep through a standing population of purely asexual lineages causing bursts of change moving the population to new 'adaptive' plateaus, whereupon stasis ensues until the onset of the next selective-sweep (Elena *et al.*, 1996; see Elena, Codoñer & Sanjuán, 2003, with respect to viruses). Over approximately 10 000 generations, Lenski & co-workers have, for example, observed stepped increments (punctuated evolution?) of cell size in a fixed-resource environment.

Further studies have identified the evolution of 'mutator genotypes' that help maintain levels of variation up to two orders of magnitude greater than the norm (Elena & Lenski, 1997; Sniegowski, Gerrish & Lenski, 1997). The effect of mutator genotypes in a population was most significant when they originated in rare rather than common lines, suggesting strong frequency-dependent selection. The data showed an upper limit to evolutionary change in these asexual lineages, recognized as a plateau of adaptive change after the initial rapid rate of evolution from the ancestral state when placed in a new environment (De Visser *et al.*, 1999; Bull, Badgett & Wichman, 2000). This eventual steady-state is perhaps evidence for clonal interference. In strictly asexual lineages, advantageous mutations that have arisen in separate lines that cannot be combined lead to interclonal competition checking further adaptation (Gerrish & Lenski, 1998).

Verification of such experiments by the use of insertion sequence (IS) probes have validated many of the trends (Papadopoulos *et al.*, 1999). Microsatellite markers have been used to confirm the trends and to predict a rate for rare beneficial mutations, i.e.  $4 \times 10^{-9}$  (Imhof & Schlötterer, 2001). Another study on *E. coli* demonstrates the dynamic nature of these organisms in a static environment, a 'constant batch' culture system devoid of periodic renewal or thinning out of growth media (Finkel & Kolter, 1999). After a few days in culture, 'growth advantage in stationary phase' (GASP) phenotypes that were different from their original ancestor evolved and stabilized at constant population levels. Interestingly, serial collections from the stock culture indicated that the GASP cultures were continually adapting, with newer collections being progressively fitter than previous ones. DNA restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) and phenotype variation all indicated that selection favoured a series of different genotypes over time

**Table 1.** (a) Literature is cited in alphabetical order describing three broad areas of mutational change, mechanisms of change and adaptive evolution in simple clonal lines with an onus on empirical molecular data\*. Note temperature =  $T^{\circ}$ . (b) Literature in alphabetical order that describe similar traits in multicellular clonal lineages

Reference	A short description of the paper
<b>(a)</b>	
*Austerberry, Snyder & Yao (1989)	<i>Tetrahymena</i> , site-specific variation
Bennett & Lenski (1999)	<i>E. coli</i> , adaptation to $T^{\circ}$ and evolution
Bohannon & Lenski (2000)	<i>E. coli</i> & bacteriophage, relating genetic change to community evolution (review)
*Bull <i>et al.</i> (1993)	Bacteriophage (T7), $C > T$ and $G > A$ changes (0.5–1.5% genomic changes) with $T^{\circ}$
*Bull <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Bacteriophage ( $\phi$ X174: genome = 5386 bp), $T^{\circ}$ -adaptation, convergent evolution, 119 substitutions at 68 sites
*Bull <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Bacteriophage ( $\phi$ X174), fitness effects (13.9–3.8%) of big-benefit mutations under $T^{\circ}$ -stress
*Coyne, Chalker & Yao (1996)	Ciliates, genome downsizing
*Coyne & Yao (1996)	<i>Tetrahymena</i> , sequence specific chromosomal breakage
*Crill <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Bacteriophage ( $\phi$ X174), host adaptation, 79 substitutions at 55 sites (1% of genome), 2 base insertion, 27 base deletion, evolutionary reversals
*Cunningham <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Bacteriophage (T7: genome = 39,937 bp), parallel molecular evolution, nine independent 1.5 kb deletions, also 14 independent <i>nonsense</i> in parallel positions at 7 amino acid sites
De Visser <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>E. coli</i> , mutator genotypes, 'speed limit' = upper limit to adaptive evolution in a fixed environment indicating 'clonal interference'
Denamur <i>et al.</i> (2001)	<i>E. coli</i> , control of mutation rate, horizontal gene transfer
Elena <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Bacteriophage, mutation accumulation and fitness decline through bottlenecks
Elena & Lenski (1997)	<i>E. coli</i> , genetic variability, mutator adaptive phenotypes
Elena <i>et al.</i> (1996)	<i>E. coli</i> , punctuated evolution due to rare beneficial mutations
*Finkel & Kolter (1999)	<i>E. coli</i> , fitness selection over time even in static environments
Freidberg <i>et al.</i> (2002)	Enzymes of evolutionary change ( <i>trans</i> -lesion synthesis, TLS, SOS and Y-family polymerases) (mini-review)
Giraud <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Costs and benefits of high mutation rates
*Imhof & Schlötterer (2001)	<i>E. coli</i> , microsatellite analysis of beneficial mutations: rate = $4 \times 10^{-9}$ . Selective sweeps were predictable, no apparent 'speed limit' to mutation noted, but experiment only ran 1000 generations
Jain <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Prokaryotes, horizontal gene transfer among genomes, 203 operational, 109 informational genes investigated supporting 'complexity hypothesis'
Korona <i>et al.</i> (1994)	<i>Comamonas</i> sp. (soil borne bacteria), multiple adaptive peaks in a structured habitat; see Riley <i>et al.</i> (2001)
*Lake <i>et al.</i> (1986)	Evolution mapped with 3D structure
*Lerat <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Tracing the historic pattern of evolution in TE moieties
Lenski & Travisano (1994)	<i>E. coli</i> , adaptive peaks, macroevolutionary dynamics
Lenski (1989)	Are some mutations directed? (review)
*Lynch (1997)	Nuclear and organelle tRNA genes accessed, 26 taxonomic groups, 44 complete organelle sequences, 2 complete genomes, neutral mutation accumulation, gradual decline +100 MY
*Lynch & Blanchard (1998)	As in Lynch (1997), tRNAs, rRNAs and proteins accessed for plants, fungi and animals
*Lynch <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Spontaneous mutations (review)

Table 1. Continued

Reference	A short description of the paper
*Lynch & Conery (2000)	Duplicate genes, evolve at $0.01\% \text{ Myr}^{-1}$ ; few evolve, most silenced over time and may be involved in forming species barriers
*Moran (1996)	Endosymbiont bacteria, +100 Myr lineage, 16S rDNA indicated high silent mutation load with A + T bias in comparison to free-living bacteria
*Papadopoulos <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>E. coli</i> , IS variation (not very sensitive), selective sweeps in a 10 000 generation experiment
Radman (1999)	Enzymes of evolutionary change (mini-review)
*Rand & Kann (1998)	mtDNA- <i>Drosophila</i> , accumulation of silent mutations
Riley <i>et al.</i> (2001)	<i>Ralstonia</i> (soil-borne bacteria) rapid phenotypic change and diversification in structured environment vs. unstructured one (solid and liquid culture mediums)
Schneider <i>et al.</i> (2000)	<i>E. coli</i> , insertion sequence (IS elements) mediated mutations and rearrangements
*Szafraniec <i>et al.</i> (2001)	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> , hidden mutational load revealed by stress
Sneigowski <i>et al.</i> (1997)	<i>E. coli</i> , high rates of mutations caused by mutator genes
*True & Lindquist (2000)	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> , chromosomal rearrangements linked to TE ([Ty1]
Volkov <i>et al.</i> (2002)	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> , novel prion [ISP <sup>+</sup> ] control of translation
*Vulic, Lenski & Radman (1999)	<i>E. coli</i> , mutations in mismatch repair promote mutations recombination
*Wichman <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Bacteriophage (øX174), 126 substitutions, 90% encoded amino-acid changes, 62% occurred in parallel
*Yao (1996)	Paramecium, DNA rearrangement
(b)	
*Arkhipova & Meselson (2000)	Retroviral variation in asexual and sexual lineages
*Blackman <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Aphids, karyotype diversity: <i>Trama troglodytes</i> (2n = 14–23); <i>T. caudata</i> (9–12); <i>T. maritima</i> (10–14) paralleled by rDNA distribution noted using FISH
Butlin <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Ostracods, clonal diversity, ancient asexual lineages (review)
*Cáceres <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>Drosophila</i> , implication of TEs in the origin of chromosomal rearrangements
Capy <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Impact of TEs on host genome under stressful conditions (review)
*Davies <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>C. elegans</i> , cryptic deleterious mutations (96% = 0.07% fitness effects)
*De Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Sheep, epigenetic changes in development, telomeres
*Devonshire <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Aphid, <i>Myzus persicae</i> , insecticide resistance, mechanisms and DNA diagnostics
*Denver <i>et al.</i> (2000)	<i>C. elegans</i> , high rates of mutation in the mtDNA genome of 74 lines; 26 mutations; 16 base substitutions; 13 transitions; 3 transversions; 10 insertions-deletions
*Fenton, Malloch & Germa (1998)	Aphids, rDNA ITS variation
*Forneck, Walker & Blaich (2001)	Aphids, AFLP variation
*Foster <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Aphids, insecticide resistance, fitness costs
*Foster <i>et al.</i> (2002)	Aphids, pleiotropic effects, fitness
*Fry <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>Drosophila</i> , lower deleterious mutation rates (0.02) than previously noted (see Crow, 1994)
*Gorokhova <i>et al.</i> (2002)	<i>Daphnia</i> , correlation of IGS size variants with major life history traits indicating rapid adaptation
*Hedges <i>et al.</i> (1992)	Salamanders ( <i>Ambystoma</i> ), mtDNA study of gynogen-clonal polyploidy, nuclear and mtDNA decoupling, $3.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ Myr}$ lineage
Hick <i>et al.</i> (1996)	Aphids, methylation of DNA and genome function
*Humpherys <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Mice, epigenetic changes in development



- Kidwell & Lisch (2000)  
 \*Kuhn *et al.* (2001)
- \*Lerat *et al.* (1999)  
 \*Lushai *et al.* (1997)  
 \*Lushai *et al.* (1998)
- Lynch *et al.* (1998)  
 Lynch *et al.* (1999)  
 \*Mandrioli *et al.* (1999)  
 \*Mark-Welch DB & Meselson (2000)  
 \*Meyer & Duhaucourt (1996)  
 Normark (1996)  
 \*Normark (1999)  
 Normark & Moran (2000)  
 \*Phillips *et al.* (1999)  
 \*Sanders (1999)  
 Santelices (2001)  
 \*Santelices *et al.* (1996)
- \*Schön *et al.* (1998)
- Schultz *et al.* (1999)  
 \*Spolsky, Phillips & Uzzell (1992)  
 Sommerfeldt & Bishop (1999)  
 Stewart & Nilsen (1995)  
 \*Sunnucks *et al.* (1998)  
 Tinti & Scali (1996)  
 \*Turner *et al.* (1992)  
 \*Van Doninck *et al.* (2002)
- Vassilieva & Lynch (1999)  
 Vassilieva *et al.* (2000)
- TEs and genome evolution, review  
 Fungi (arbuscular), FISH and ITS probes suggest multiple genomes in individual lineages, with some nuclei containing ITS-T2 (40%) vs. a rarer type ITS-T4 (17%); also cases of co-occurrence (~8%)  
*Drosophila*, modular TE evolution, functional domains accessed and patterns of deletion events noted  
 Aphids, intracolonial phenotype variation, RAPD pattern variation within some clones  
 Aphids, intracolonial variation, RAPD band variation suggesting somatic (n = 14) and germ line mutations (n = 1) over 32 generations  
*Daphnia*, mutational variation, mutational meltdown and life history variation  
 Spontaneous mutation rates, generation length, genome size effects on mutation rate (review)  
 Aphids, intracolonial NOR heteromorphism  
 Bdelloid rotifers, genetic variation between alleles at a locus  
*Tetrahymena*, chromosomal rearrangements  
 Weevils (*Aramigus*) species complex, one group COI (4.5% indicate 2 Myr asexual)  
 Aphids (*Trama*) rapid karyotype change but low EF1 divergence suggesting rare sex (see Blackman *et al.*, 2000)  
 Using sequence data to track mutations (review)  
 Aphids, facultative asexuals persist past ecological 'dead-ends' by behavioural modification in their lifecycle  
 Fungi (arbuscular), rDNA multiple genes, ancient asexuals, hyphal anastomosis  
*Rhodophyta*, phenotypic plasticity resulting from intracolonial variation and strain selection (review)  
*Rhodophyta*, sporelings growing together to produce unisexual chimeras, colour variants and RAPDs indicate mixed tissue. Chimeras showed increased intracolonial variation.  
*Darwinula stevensoni* (ostracods: ancient asexuals 25–60 Myr), no divergence in ITS1, COI (3.8%), suggesting slow nuclear evolution, highly efficient DNA repair mechanism  
*Arabidopsis*, spontaneous deleterious mutation rate in a plant (0.1), fitness decline (0.9%)  
 Salamanders (*Ambystoma*), gynogenetic asexuals, COI divergence (5.2–8.5%), suggests ~5 Myr divergence  
 Ascidiarians, adaptive chimerism  
 Cranberry, phenotypic plasticity, genetic variation  
 Aphids, microsatellites detail chromosomal re-arrangements  
 Stick insects, hybridogenesis, sexual linkage to asexual lineages  
*Rivulus*, selfing hermaphroditic fish, high clonal diversity generated by mutational changes  
 Ostracods, the probability of a general purpose genotype in an ancient asexuals is tested against ostracods species with mixed lifecycle strategies  
*C. elegans*, spontaneous mutation rates affect lifecycle traits (0.05) and low mutation rate (average 0.0034)  
*C. elegans*, mildly deleterious alleles and fitness effects (0.03 per generation)

following trends noted in the experiments where cultures were sequentially renewed.

Another group of bacterial lineages that are buffered from environmental changes are the ancient endosymbiotic associations of bacteria living within specialized polyploid host cells (mycetocytes), for example the 100–250 million year old association of *Buchnera aphidicola* with its aphid host (Baumann *et al.*, 1993; Moran, 1996). Infection is through cytoplasmic inheritance. A comparison of the 16S rDNA from a range of mutualistic symbionts (*Buchnera* from aphids, P-symbionts from whitefly and mealybugs and *Wolbachia pipientis* from tsetse flies) with *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* showed that the symbionts have evolved faster than their free-living relatives (Moran, 1996). Minimum rates of evolution were 1.7–2.7 (16S rDNA) and 1.4–3.2 times faster (eight additional genes compared between *Buchnera* and *E. coli*). Synonymous substitutions between these bacteria were similar, indicating that these were largely mildly deleterious (Ohta, 1992). The rate of non-synonymous substitutions was particularly high in the *Buchnera* lineages, and resulted from increased third position codon substitutions favouring A + T changes, and confirms the prediction of the fast accumulation of deleterious mutations in small populations of asexual endosymbionts, as predicted from Muller's ratchet (Moran, 1996). That these ancient asexual lineages have existed for over 100 Myr suggests the existence of strong compensatory processes overriding the deleterious effects, or of selection that is too weak or difficult to detect.

Similar trends for the accumulation of mildly deleterious mutations have been reported from comparison of tRNA genes in asexually propagating organelle genomes of animals, plants and fungi. Such mutational load should lead to slow declines in mean fitness (over 10–100 Myr) and could cause eventual extinction (Lynch, 1997; Lynch & Blanchard, 1998). The accumulation of mild deleterious mutations has been reported for genes from *Drosophila* mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Rand & Kann, 1998), but whilst some show high genetic polymorphism (cytochrome- $\beta$  and ATPase 6 genes), others show little or no variation (ND3 and ND5) (Rand & Kann, 1996). However, such genomes are perhaps not entirely non-recombinant as there is mounting evidence for rare recombination in mtDNA (Wallis, 1999). Many pieces of the puzzle remain unclear. Details of why differential loads occur in the mtDNA genome need to be clarified to understand how these systems remain intact even under high mutational stress. One possibility is that genomes can carry high genetic loads provided certain regions remain unaffected (Redfield, 1999).

Endosymbiotic asexual bacteria such as *Buchnera*, together with examples of ancient asexuals (Judson &

Normark, 1996), directly contradict evolutionary theories that suggest that clonal lines should be short-lived (Table 1b). Included here should be the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (asexuals for >300 Myr) that have a unique multinuclear genomic complement (Kuhn, Hijiri & Sanders, 2001). Evidence from the fossil record suggests that darwinulid ostracods may have persisted without sex for about 100 Myr (Butlin, Schön & Martens, 1998; Butlin *et al.*, 1999). DNA sequence variability can also be used to test the duration of asexuality in such lineages since both nuclear and mitochondrial data should theoretically be in congruence in truly asexual lineages. As the mitochondrial DNA divergence within and between species of darwinulids range, respectively, from 3.8 to 27.7% (Schön *et al.*, 1998, 2003), current invertebrate molecular clock models ( $\sim 2.0\% = 1$  Myr) imply a minimum of 14 Myr of divergence from a common ancestor. Mitochondrial DNA divergence ( $\sim 2.5\%$ ) among clonal samples of another ostracod species have been equated to 5 Myr of divergence based on rates of 0.5% variation per million years (Chaplin & Herbert, 1997); therefore, a similar estimate would put the divergence rates of some of the darwinulids at approximately 60 Myr. Irrespective of exact dates, both fossil and molecular divergence records within the ostracods suggest that old asexual lineages are prevalent in this group (Butlin *et al.*, 1998). In contrast, nuclear sequence data from the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) internal transcribed spacer-1 (ITS1) region show no variation in one of these species, *Darwinula stevensoni* (Brady & Robertson), in comparison to 3.8% divergence for mtDNA. This may suggest the rare occurrence of sex (Normark, 1999), but this seems highly unlikely when we take into account the lack of variation among populations from Finland to South Africa. Instead, slow molecular evolution with a propensity to highly efficient DNA repair may explain these observations (Schön *et al.*, 1998).

Further examples of ancient asexuals include the brine shrimp, *Artemia salina* L. with an estimate of 30 Myr (mtDNA, Perez *et al.*, 1994), and the bdelloid rotifers (Mark-Welch & Meselson, 2000, 2003). All members of the class Bdelloidea are believed to reproduce wholly asexually (Mark-Welch & Meselson, 2000). Part of the observed invariance stems from the absence of retroviruses in this group (Arkhipova & Meselson, 2000). In the absence of any records of sexual forms, the occurrence of bdelloids in 35–40 Myr amber suggests that they are 'ancient asexuals'. One important indication for ancient asexuality within diploid genomes would be the progressive divergence between homologous alleles at the same locus in paired chromosomes (Mark-Welch & Meselson, 2000). This unique signature of genetic divergence from the time of ancient ancestral asexuality or 'genome freeze'

with regards to recombinant events has to date only been noted in bdelloids. Other references of old asexual lineages include the weevil, *Aramigus tessellatus* (Say) +2 Myr (mtDNA, Normark, 1996) and salamanders of the genus *Ambystoma*, ~4–5 Myr (mtDNA, Hedges, Bogart & Maxson, 1992). The study of such phenomena is fundamental to our understanding of genomic evolution and adaptation (Normark, Judson & Moran, 2003).

### FACTORS AFFECTING RAPID CHANGE IN THE CLONAL GENOME

Estimates for rates of deleterious mutations in flies, nematodes and plants are given in Table 1b and are as high as one per individual per generation (Crow, 1997), but much lower levels are normal (Fry *et al.*, 1999; Schultz, Lynch & Willis, 1999; Vassilieva, Hook & Lynch, 2000; but see Lynch *et al.*, 1999 and Kondrashov, 1999). Most of these mutations are recessive and decrease fitness by around 1–2% per generation (Houle, Morikawa & Lynch, 1996), with 0.07% being attributed to barely detectable 'cryptic' mutations as identified in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Maupas) (Davies, Peters & Keightley, 1999). Such mutations will eventually be eliminated by natural selection, but many questions still remain about the detrimental effects of all mutations in ecological settings, especially with regards to the effects of compensating mutations and back mutations (Bull *et al.*, 1997). The estimate for the rate of beneficial mutations,  $4 \times 10^{-9}$ , has allowed workers to predict selective sweeps in bacterial populations (Imhof & Schlötterer, 2001).

Two well-described mechanisms of genetic change are: (1) those involving the activation of mutagenic activity (e.g. *trans*-lesion synthesis, TLS, SOS and Y-family polymerases) or the inhibition of an antimutagenic (proofreading) system (MRS) (Radman, 1999; Friedberg, Wagner & Radman, 2002); and (2) those involving transposable elements (TEs) (*Tn* in bacteria; LINE-like elements and *hobo* in *Drosophila*; Ty in yeast; Capy *et al.*, 2000). Because of the function of the first class of mechanisms, it has been suggested that point mutations that are maintained (as most damage is repaired) should not necessarily be looked upon as genetically erroneous because variation is accurately and actively assimilated by this group of DNA polymerases. Such phenomena are changing the interpretation of apparently chaotic genetic change in individual genomes to one of events that are adaptive (De Visser *et al.*, 1999; Radman, Matic & Taddei, 1999). Analogues of both systems have been found in prokaryotes (TLS; see Friedberg, Wagner & Radman, 2002; IS changes, e.g. Schneider *et al.*, 2000) and eukaryotes (TLS: see Friedberg *et al.*, 2002; TEs:

Nuzhdin, Pasyukova & Mackay, 1997; Cáceres *et al.*, 1999; see Nuzhdin & Petrov, 2003). Interestingly, different elements of the TLS and TE mechanisms correspond to hotspots, sites of inversions, deletions and gene rearrangement (for TEs, see Table 1b).

How do such mechanisms work? In the case of TEs, metabolic and environmental cues (stresses) on genomes trigger an intricate response by these latent mutator mechanisms to 'reveal' the genetic variability from amongst the soup of genetic variation that hitherto lies hidden by buffering proteins such as *Hsp90* (Rutherford & Lindquist, 1998). These agents then promote adaptive mutations with large effects on fitness (Capy *et al.*, 2000; Friedberg, Wagner & Radman, 2002). When the stress is alleviated, populations revert back to non-mutator or 'hidden' states associated with favourable genotypes. Because of their mode of operation, these factors involve explosive evolutionary events that can be thought of as near-Lamarckian or 'directed mutations' rather than genetic mechanisms mediated by fast selective processes (see Lenski & Mittler, 1993).

There is a significant amount of relevant research on mutational changes (Drake, 1991) and adaptation (Szafraniec, Borts & Korona, 2001) in brewing yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The latest results include mechanisms of change affecting genomes that are not genetically inherited. Instead, cytoplasmically inherited prions, *PSI*<sup>+</sup>, *PIN*<sup>+</sup> and *ISP*<sup>+</sup>, have been implicated as epigenetic modifiers. In a manner similar to the factors described above (polymerase mutases, TEs), prions provide the means to activate hidden genetic variation and produce new heritable phenotypes (see True & Lindquist, 2000; for [*ISP*<sup>+</sup>], Volkov *et al.*, 2002). The difference from that described for mutase enzymes and TEs is that the epigenetic influences allow heritable changes to be operational in a single step or generation rather than through a process of selection over generations. Hence, such factors appear to allow the organisms to adapt to new niches without 'closing the door' on their ability to occupy the previous one. A lineage with such modifying elements acquires the ability to ascend or descend multiple adaptive peaks. A molecular mechanism showing such an immediate effect could be described as functioning in an adaptive homeostatic fashion with respect to metabolic/environmental change.

The discovery of TEs and prion-like elements enables us to move away from searching for 'linear affects' in strings of sequences effecting rapid change and start to search for associations of secondary and tertiary structure in functional genomes (Wolffe & Matzke, 1999). Future research should address where and when these aspects interact with specific sites of change, leading to chromosomal breakage (ciliates: Meyer & Duhaucourt, 1996; Yao, 1996), chromosomal



rearrangements (e.g. Sunnucks *et al.*, 1998), karyotype variation (Blackman, Spence & Normark, 2000), and the production of duplicate genes (e.g. in bacteria: Force, Lynch & Postlethwait, 1999; Lynch & Conery, 2000). There may be a cascade or temporal association in the way genetic change is fixed through the evolution of a lineage and studies on this are already beginning to emerge (e.g. Lerat *et al.*, 1999). A proviso also has to be made to the natural assumption that complexity through epigenetic interactions gives rise to significant adaptive changes over time. There are many examples of point mutations effecting gross phenotypic changes (e.g. in mtDNA of *C. elegans*; Denver *et al.*, 2000).

The short generation time and potential for rapid growth in many clonal populations are a key aspect of their ability to exploit heterogeneous environments. In the case of aphids, an asexual clonal population derived from a founder has been described as a 'thinly spread single individual with many pieces' (Janzen, 1977). In the phage studies described earlier, the longest experiments lasted 33 days and the number of evolutionary generations was estimated as  $1.0 \times 10^7$ – $10^8$  phage/host populations 100 times a day (Bull *et al.*, 1997). The reproductive potential of asexual multicellular clonal organisms such as aphids and nematodes is also enormous. Aphids could theoretically generate up to  $7.6 \times 10^{28}$  individuals per year from a single founder in perfect conditions (Harrington, 1994). If such *r*-type strategy was to be coupled with, for example, an aphid's ability for 'telescoping of generations' (Dixon, 1998) (involving a process whereby an adult parthenogenetic female not only has daughter embryos developing inside her, but these in turn have their own progeny developing within them), then the potential for adaptation is huge. This is because such populations also have the capacity to become preconditioned to external environmental changes, both by maternal metabolic factors and in some situations, directly by environmental influence through the mother's abdominal wall on the developing embryos, allowing adaptive changes to take place very quickly. Not all asexuals ascribe to this enormous reproductive potential and, in their case, mutation-based models, e.g. mutational meltdown within a finite number of generations (less than 100 in water fleas, *Daphnia pulex* (Leydig); Lynch *et al.*, 1998), Red Queen and other models supporting frequency-dependent factors (Van Valen, 1973; Charlesworth, 1987; Hamilton, Axelrod & Tanese, 1990) cannot be ignored in accounting for the extinction of many distinct clonal lines.

Clonal adaptation has been perceived, as a consequence of its mode of reproduction, as being 'locked' within lines (Fisher, 1930). Clonal organisms in a heterogeneous environment can be envisaged as a set of lineages each close to its 'adaptive peak'. Each lineage,

locked into its specialized niche, is unable to shift horizontally between peaks (Wright, 1988; Cruzan, 2001), as described for clonal populations of the freshwater snail, *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* (Gray) (Fox *et al.*, 1996; Jokela *et al.*, 2003).

## THE DYNAMIC CLONAL GENOME

However, this is not the whole story. 'Multiple-generation complex lifecycles' occur in several multicellular taxa (Moran, 1994). One example is the bird cherry-oat aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.). Its complex life-cycle includes sexual phases, where apomictic parthenogenetic lineages are intermittent with the production of sexual forms (males and oviparae); asexuals with continual parthenogenesis irrespective of environmental cues; androcyclic with continual parthenogenesis and the inclusion of rare males, and intermediate forms where parthenogenesis is occasionally interrupted by the production of a few sexual females in response to specific cues (Tatchell & Parker, 1990). Irrespective of lifecycle, a number of behaviourally and physiologically distinct phenotypes can be produced by the same clonal lineage. For example, aphids can exhibit up to seven distinct phenotypes in a single holocyclic lifecycle (see Dixon, 1998). These clonal phenotypes often have very different physiology and ecology in relation to one another, and can occupy quite different niches over time.

Variation or plasticity, even in a single phenotype, is a separate issue and has been described in highly inbred lines of *C. elegans* for the traits of productivity and longevity (Vassilieva & Lynch, 1999; Loxdale & Lushai, 2003). Such variance has adaptive significance when environments are changing. In the gall-forming lettuce root aphid *Pemphigus bursarius* (L.), behavioural modifications in facultative asexuals have meant that clonal populations that would have normally been driven extinct persisted past expected ecological dead-ends (Phillips, Bale & Tatchell, 1999). Similarly, there are adaptive differences within individuals of artificial clones of red-spotted cherry salmon *Oncorhynchus masou macrostomus* (Berg) (Iguchi, Matsubara & Hakoyama, 2001). Intracolonial variation is the basis of such phenomena and the potential for somatic mutations to drive such variation is well-described in plants (Gill *et al.*, 1995; Klekowski, 2003). However, what is lacking is the molecular support. An example is the recent correlation of rDNA intergenic space (IGS) size variants with major life history traits in *D. pulex*, indicating rapid adaptation in clonal lineages (Gorokhova *et al.*, 2002).

All the examples above involve vertical propagation with offspring produced in different generations. Horizontal propagation can also occur when a single fertilized egg cleaves into several embryos. Classic

examples include mammalian 'identical' siblings such as Armadillos, which have up to 12 offspring (Nowak, 1991), and some parasitic hymenoptera, e.g. *Copidosoma floridanum* (Ashmead) with several thousand offspring (Grbic, Nagy & Strand, 1998). During development, such embryos are under very similar metabolic and environmental conditions; however, in the case of the wasp, after birth, development can be channelled into either a normal larva or a defensive soldier morph (Harvey, Corley & Strand, 2000; see Loxdale & Lushai, 2003, for a photograph of these morphs).

Analysis of eukaryotic genome databases suggests that duplicate genes arise at a high rate (0.01 per gene per Myr) (Lynch & Conery, 2000). In time, most become functionally silenced; however, the accumulation of such duplications may act as barriers to inter-specific gene flow and thus promote speciation. In largely asexual lineages, a high level of karyotype variation has been noted that surpasses intraspecific sequence differences (Blackman, Spence & Normark, 2000). In some species, karyotype variation has been correlated with host shifts. Thus the corn-leaf aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis* (Fitch) has karyotypic forms specific to barley ( $2n = 10$ ) and maize *Zea mays* L. ( $2n$  usually = 8) (Brown & Blackman, 1988). Hence such karyotypic variation could be an early stage in sympatric speciation.

Horizontal gene transfer between genomes would also allow non-recombinant genomes to change, as occurs in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Jain, Rivera & Lake, 1999; Jain *et al.*, 2003). It appears that universal 'housekeeping' genes are more likely to cross species boundaries than informational genes governing processes such as transcription and translation because the latter relate to large complex systems. Sequence comparisons indicate that the transfers consist of singular large-scale events that could have led to the evolution of new taxa.

Some species have multiple genomes. For example, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) probes have been used to trace the distribution of two divergent sequences of the rDNA ITS2 in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Kuhn, Hijiri & Sanders, 2001; Sanders, 2003). The molecular probe shows that the ITS2 copies can occur alone in a proportion of nuclei whilst some nuclei support both types, suggesting that several independent genomes exist within individual asexual lineages (with the potential for recombination between the different nuclear genomic populations). Such genomic variation within coenocytic hyphae raises the possibility of intraclonal genome competition as the organism adapts to changing environments. Chimerism, the physical merging of two separate clonal organisms, has been verified by phenotypic characters and randomly amplified polygenic markers (RAPDs) in red algae, rhodophyta and ascid-

ian zooids (Ascidacea, Tunicata) (Sommerfeldt & Bishop, 1999; Santelices, 2001; Sommerfeldt, Bishop & Wood, 2003). The evolutionary analysis of such complex associations awaits further molecular analysis.

Part of the success of asexuals has been attributed to the possible existence of general purpose genotypes ('GPGs', Lynch, 1984). The ancient asexual ostracod *D. stevensoni* (with an extensive geographical distribution) was compared with asexual populations of *Heterocypris incongruens* (Ramdohr), a cypridinid species with mixed reproduction, and another ancient asexual darwinulid species with a limited geographical and ecological distribution, *Vestalenula molopoensis* (Martens & Rossetti) (Van Doninck *et al.*, 2002). Salt and temperature tolerances were greater in *D. stevensoni* compared with both *H. incongruens* and *V. molopoensis* and one interpretation of this is that the *D. stevensoni* clonal population has a GPG giving the ostracods a selective advantage in a range of environments. Such entities are thought able to adopt several niches in a heterogeneous environment due to their wider tolerances to change.

## CLONAL LINKAGE

In species with multiple lifecycles, there are opportunities for individuals within clonal lineages to benefit from alternative sexual lifecycle gambits, emphasizing that asexual lineages are not necessarily stuck in evolutionary 'dead ends'. As molecular markers continue to be applied to population genetic studies, possible linkage between asexual and sexual lineages has been suggested in a growing number of species – aphids of the genus *Trama* (Normark, 1999) and *Sitobion* (Delmotte *et al.*, 2001), water fleas, *Daphnia* spp. (Crease, Stanton & Hebert, 1989) and non-marine ostracods (Schön *et al.*, 2000) (see also Simon *et al.*, 2003). Such linkage may involve interspecies hybridization, as suggested between the predominantly asexual grain aphid *Sitobion avenae* (F.) and the holocyclic (with sexual phase) sister species, the blackberry-grain aphid *S. fragariae* (Walker). This appears to result in habitat specialization in the largely clonal summer populations (Sunnucks *et al.*, 1997; for ostracod examples, see Havel, Hebert & Delorme, 1990; Chaplin, Havel & Hebert, 1997).

To describe the adaptive clone in this synthesis, the focus has been on pure asexual reproduction and apomictic parthenogenesis. Yet the different types of clonal systems are in themselves evolutionary strategies that promote genetic variability (Hughes, 1989). 'Gynogens' is a process whereby clonal egg activation is dependent on sperm produced by ancestral or related species. This is a remarkably diverse system, for example, in salamanders of the genus *Ambystoma* (Hedges *et al.*, 1992). Here mtDNA studies have

revealed that in all-female salamanders incorporating the nuclear genome of several sympatric bisexual species, hybrids are produced with unusual ploidy. The result is that maternal inheritance has become uncoupled from the nuclear genome, to the extent that in certain species of this complex the prevalent gynogenetic maternal lineage derives from an ancient line for which there is no contemporary equivalent nuclear bisexual species. 'Hybridogenesis' is maintained by heterospecific fertilization, where an invariant haploid maternal genome is combined with a recombinant paternal genome. Some vertebrates, e.g. Mexican poeciliid fish (Quattro, Avise & Vrijenhoek, 1992), and invertebrates, e.g. stick insects of the genus *Bacillus* (Tinti & Scali, 1996; see Pertoldi, Scali & Loeschcke, 2001; Scali *et al.*, 2003), demonstrate this phenomenon and certainly the fish appear to derive from old maternal lineages (~0.1 Myr). All these modes impart genetic variation involving a basic clonal component.

### CLONAL ADAPTATION IN THE FIELD

In peach-potato aphids *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) collected from natural populations, adaptation to environmental selective pressure is well-documented in the form of resistance to pesticides. In the highly insecticide-resistant strains of this insect ( $R_2$  and  $R_3$ ), tolerance to organophosphates (OPs) and carbamates is conferred by overproduction of a carboxylesterase enzyme following amplification of the E4 and FE4 genes, a factor associated with a translocation event between autosomes 1 and 3 in the case of E4 (Blackman *et al.*, 1995). In the absence of the pesticide the phenotype may revert to a lower esterase expression due to loss of methylation, yet the number of E4 genes involved is apparently not affected (Hick, Field & Devonshire, 1996; Field *et al.*, 1999; Field & Blackman, 2003). In these strains resistance to OPs and carbamates is also conferred by altering enzyme catalytic site conformation (MACE, modified acetyl cholinesterase) or other structurally important proteins such as the domain IIS6 transmembrane in the case of the insect *para*-type sodium channel gene responsible for knockdown (*kdr*) resistance to pyrethroids (Devonshire *et al.*, 1998; Foster, Denholm & Devonshire, 2000). In such cross-resistant forms in asexual species, the multiple resistance is often in strong linkage disequilibrium (Hick *et al.*, 1996; Devonshire *et al.*, 1998), perhaps as a consequence of 'selective sweeps'. The clonal genotype has not altered (except for epigenetic influence in the case of E4/FE4; Field & Blackman, 2003), yet the change brought about by a selective environment benefits the organism in the short term in the face of continued pesticide selective pressure (Foster *et al.*, 2000). These changes have been noted to have fitness costs, notably in sensitivity

to alarm pheromones, overwintering survival and migration, which makes these genotypes less successful over the course of time (Foster *et al.*, 2000, 2002) (Table 1b).

Several other examples of fitness effects are evident from molecular studies of aphids in the field or greenhouse. Use of molecular markers has revealed high intensity of selection driving adaptation in new habitats or hosts. Examples include *Sitobion* aphids moving between native grasses and cereals (Sunnucks *et al.*, 1997; Wilson, Sunnucks & Hales, 1999), and the cotton-melon aphid *Aphis gossypii* Glover moving between cucurbit and non-cucurbit hosts (Vanlerberghe-Masutti & Chavigny, 1998; Fuller *et al.*, 1999; see Wilson, Sunnucks & Hales, 2003, for an overview). Such exploitation of new resources may initially be successful because exploitation of a novel resource reduces intraspecific competition or clonal interference.

Lastly, the combination of genetic changes, prodigious growth rate and rapid developmental responses to environmental cues along with geographical displacement to new habitats are probably the main reasons for the successful establishment of several well-reported clonal pests, such as the spotted alfalfa aphid *Therioaphis maculata* (Buckton) in North America. Here a large number of novel adapted clones, including insecticide-resistant strains, appear to have evolved within a few generations from a small introduced asexual founder population. It has been estimated that from  $1.7 \times 10^{11}$  aphids in one Californian valley, a rare variant would have evolved 170 000 times (Dickson, 1962). The transition from clonal variation (intraclonal variants) to the establishment of interclonal variation is a population phenomenon involving persistent selection. Once established, such systems are susceptible to environmental change (Charlesworth, Morgan & Charlesworth, 1993; Howard & Lively, 1994; Waxman & Peck, 1999). Rare genotypes may tend to survive when selective sweeps strike the majority (Vrijenhoek, 1998) and may help to maintain population dynamics (Sasaki, Hamilton & Ubeda, 2002). Molecular studies are already revealing rare genotypes that appear to be maintained within asexual populations (Lushai, Markovitch & Loxdale, 2002).

### CONCLUSIONS

Theoretical biologists continue to stress the longevity, and thus high adaptedness of lineages, although extinction is inevitable for all lifecycle types (Raup & Jablonski, 1993). Intense discussion of the persistence of sex tends to override further debate on genetic variability and adaptation in asexual populations (Falush, 1999; but see Fagerström, Briscoe & Sun-



nucks, 1998). Furthermore, variation in asexual organisms in agricultural, horticulture, forestry and medicinal industries have very real applied significance. Artificial cloning is a new and expanding field that will also benefit from a better understanding of the dynamic nature of clonal lineages. These points are exemplified by recent developments in cloned mammals where such lineages are corrupted due to epigenetic-related genomic malfunctions (De Sousa *et al.*, 2001; Humpherys *et al.*, 2001; Kang *et al.*, 2001; Taeyoung *et al.*, 2002). Much still needs to be understood about the basic mechanisms of change in clonal genomes, along with a continual need to upgrade our preconceptions.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Professor Sergey Nuzhdin and Drs Isa Schön, Brian Fenton, Steve Foster and Kevin Shufan for their valuable suggestions regarding earlier drafts of this manuscript. We also thank Drs Nicola von Mende and Keith Davies for their intellectual support. H.D.L. thanks the Department of the Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) for financial support; G.L. was supported by NSERC Fellowship in Government laboratories at AAFC-ECORC, Ottawa, Canada.

### REFERENCES

- Arkhipova I, Meselson M. 2000. Transposable elements in sexual and ancient asexual taxa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **97**: 14473–14477.
- Austerberry CF, Snyder RO, Yao MC. 1989. Sequence microheterogeneity is generated at junctions of programmed DNA deletions in *Tetrahymena thermophila*. *Nucleic Acids Research* **17**: 7263–7272.
- Baumann P, Munson MA, Chi-Yung L, Clark MA, Baumann L, Moran NA, Campbell BC. 1993. Origin and properties of bacterial endosymbionts of aphids, whiteflies, and mealybugs. *ASM (American Society for Microbiology) News* **59**: 21–24.
- Bennett AF, Lenski RE. 1999. Experimental evolution and its role in evolutionary physiology. *American Zoologist* **39**: 346–362.
- Blackman RL, Spence JM, Field LM, Devonshire AL. 1995. Chromosomal location of the amplified esterase genes conferring resistance to insecticides in *Myzus persicae* (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Heredity* **75**: 297–302.
- Blackman RL, Spence JM, Normark BB. 2000. High diversity of structurally heterozygous karyotypes and rDNA arrays in parthenogenetic aphids of the genus *Trama* (Aphididae: Lachninae). *Heredity* **84**: 254–260.
- Bohannon BJM, Lenski RE. 2000. Linking genetic change to community evolution: insights from studies of bacteria and bacteriophage. *Ecology Letters* **3**: 362–377.
- Brown PA, Blackman RL. 1988. Karyotype variation in the corn leaf aphid, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* (Fitch), species complex (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in relation to host-plant and morphology. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **78**: 351–363.
- Bull JJ, Badgett MR, Wichman HA. 2000. Big-benefit mutations in a bacteriophage inhibited with heat. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **17**: 942–950.
- Bull JJ, Badgett MR, Wichman HA, Huelsenbeck JP, Hillis DM, Gulati A, Ho C, Molineux IJ. 1997. Exceptional convergent evolution in a virus. *Genetics* **147**: 1497–1507.
- Bull JJ, Cunningham CW, Molineux IJ, Badgett MR, Hillis DM. 1993. Experimental molecular evolution of bacteriophage-T7. *Evolution* **47**: 993–1007.
- Butlin R, Schön I, Martens K. 1998. Asexual reproduction in non-marine ostracods. *Heredity* **81**: 473–480.
- Butlin R, Schön I, Martens K. 1999. Origin, age and diversity of clones. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **12**: 1020–1022.
- Cáceres M, Ranz JM, Barbadilla A, Long M, Ruiz A. 1999. Generation of a widespread *Drosophila* inversion by a transposable element. *Science* **285**: 415–418.
- Capy P, Gasperi G, Biemont C, Bazin C. 2000. Stress and transposable elements: co-evolution or useful parasites? *Heredity* **85**: 101–106.
- Chaplin JA, Havel JE, Herbert PDN. 1997. Sex and Ostracods. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **9**: 435–439.
- Chaplin JA, Herbert PDN. 1997. *Cyprinotus incongruens* (Ostracoda): an ancient asexual? *Molecular Ecology* **6**: 155–168.
- Charlesworth B. 1987. Red Queen versus Tangled Bank Models. *Nature* **330**: 116–117.
- Charlesworth B, Morgan MT, Charlesworth D. 1993. The effect of deleterious mutations on neutral molecular variation. *Genetics* **134**: 1289–1303.
- Coyne RS, Chalker DL, Yao MC. 1996. Genome downsizing during ciliate development: Nuclear division of labor through chromosome restructuring. *Annual Review of Genetics* **30**: 557–578.
- Coyne RS, Yao MC. 1996. Evolutionary conservation of sequences directing chromosome breakage and rDNA palindrome formation in *Tetrahymena* ciliates. *Genetics* **144**: 1479–1487.
- Crease TJ, Stanton DJ, Hebert PDN. 1989. Polyphyletic origins of asexuality in *Daphnia pulex*. 2. Mitochondrial DNA variation. *Evolution* **43**: 1016–1026.
- Crill WD, Wichman HA, Bull JJ. 2000. Evolutionary reversals during viral adaptation to alternating hosts. *Genetics* **154**: 27–37.
- Crow JF. 1994. Advantages of sexual reproduction. *Developmental Genetics* **15**: 205–213.
- Crow JF. 1997. The high spontaneous mutation rate: is it a health risk? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **94**: 8380–8386.
- Cruzan MB. 2001. Adaptive Landscapes. ([http://www.academicpress.com/genetics/pdf/e.g.\\_a0006.pdf](http://www.academicpress.com/genetics/pdf/e.g._a0006.pdf)).
- Cunningham CW, Jeng K, Husti J, Badgett M, Molineux IT, Hillis DM, Bull JJ. 1997. Parallel molecular evolution of deletions and nonsense mutations in bacteriophage T7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **14**: 113–116.



- Davies EK, Peters AD, Keightley PD. 1999.** High frequency of cryptic deleterious mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* **285**: 1748–1751.
- De Sousa PA, King T, Harkness L, Young LE, Walker SK, Wilmot I. 2001.** Evaluation of gestational deficiencies in cloned sheep fetuses and placentae. *Biology of Reproduction* **65**: 23–30.
- De Visser JAGM, Zeyl CW, Gerrish PJ, Blanchard JL, Lenski RE. 1999.** Diminishing returns from mutation supply rate in asexual populations. *Science* **283**: 404–406.
- Delmotte F, Leterme N, Bonhomme J, Rispe C, Simon J-C. 2001.** Multiple routes to asexuality in an aphid. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London. Series B* **268**: 2291–2299.
- Denamur E, Lecoindre G, Darlu P, Tenaillon O, Rachdi L, Taddei F, Radman M, Matic I. 2001.** Bacterial evolution: how to control the rate of mutations. *M S-Medicine Sciences* **17**: 514–516.
- Denver DR, Morris K, Lynch M, Vassilieva LL, Thomas WK. 2000.** High direct estimate of the mutation rate in the mitochondrial genome of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* **289**: 2342–2344.
- Devonshire AL, Field LM, Foster SP, Moores GD, Williamson MS, Blackman RL. 1998.** The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B. – Biological Sciences* **353**: 1677–1684.
- Dickson RC. 1962.** Development of the spotted alfalfa aphid population in North America. *Internationaler Kongress für Entomologie (Wien 1960)* **2**: 26–28.
- Dixon AFG. 1998.** *Aphid ecology*, 2nd edn. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Drake JW. 1991.** Spontaneous mutation. *Annual Review of Genetics* **25**: 125–146.
- Dykhuizen DE. 1990.** Experimental studies of natural selection in bacteria. *Annual Review of Ecological Systematics* **21**: 373–398.
- Elena SF, Codoñer FM, Sanjuán R. 2003.** Intracolonial variation in RNA viruses: generation, maintenance and consequences. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 17–26.
- Elena SF, Cooper VS, Lenski RE. 1996.** Punctuated evolution caused by selection of rare beneficial mutations. *Science* **272**: 1802–1804.
- Elena SF, Davila M, Novella IS, Holland JJ, Domingo E, Moya A. 1998.** Evolutionary dynamics of fitness recovery from the debilitating effects of Muller's ratchet. *Evolution* **52**: 309–314.
- Elena SF, Lenski RE. 1997.** Long-term experimental evolution in *Escherichia coli*. Mechanisms maintaining genetic variability within populations. *Evolution* **51**: 1058–1067.
- Fagerström T, Briscoe DA, Sunnucks P. 1998.** Evolution of mitotic cell-lineages in multicellular organisms. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **13**: 117–120.
- Falush D. 1999.** Measuring the benefits of sex. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **12**: 1026–1028.
- Fenton B, Malloch G, Germa F. 1998.** A study of variation in rDNA ITS regions shows that two haplotypes coexist within a single aphid genome. *Genome* **41**: 337–345.
- Field LM, Blackman RL. 2003.** Insecticide resistance in the aphid *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer): chromosome location and epigenetic effects on esterase gene expression in clonal lineages. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 107–113.
- Field LM, Blackman RL, Tyler-Smith C, Devonshire AL. 1999.** Relationship between amount of esterase and gene copy number in insecticide-resistant *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer). *Biochemical Journal* **339**: 737–742.
- Finkel SE, Kolter R. 1999.** Evolution of microbial diversity during prolonged starvation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **96**: 4023–4027.
- Fisher RA. 1930.** *The genetical theory of natural selection*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, revised edition, 1958. Dover: New York.
- Force A, Lynch M, Postlethwait J. 1999.** Preservation of duplicate genes by 'subfunctionalization'. *American Zoologist* **39**: 460 Sp. Iss. SI.
- Forneck A, Walker MA, Blaich R. 2001.** Ecological and genetic aspects of grape phylloxera (*Daktulosphaira vitifoliae* Fitch) performance on rootstock hosts. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **91**: 445–451.
- Foster SP, Denholm I, Devonshire AL. 2000.** The ups and downs of insecticide resistance in peach-potato aphids (*Myzus persicae*) in the UK. *Crop Protection* **19**: 873–879.
- Foster SP, Harrington R, Dewar AM, Denholm I, Devonshire AL. 2002.** Temporal and spatial dynamics of insecticide resistance in *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer). *Pest Management Science* **58**: 895–907.
- Fox JA, Dybdahl MF, Jokela J, Lively CM. 1996.** Genetic structure of coexisting sexual and clonal subpopulations in a freshwater snail (*Potamopyrgus antipodarum*). *Evolution* **50**: 1541–1548.
- Friedberg EC, Wagner R, Radman M. 2002.** Specialized DNA polymerases, cellular survival, and the genesis of mutations. *Science* **296**: 1627–1630.
- Fry JD, Keightley PD, Heinsohn SL, Nuzhdin SV. 1999.** New estimates of the rates and effects of mildly deleterious mutations in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **96**: 574–579.
- Fuller SJ, Chavigny P, Lapchin L, Vanleberghe-Massuti F. 1999.** Variation in clonal diversity in glasshouse infestations of the aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover in southern France. *Molecular Ecology* **8**: 1867–1877.
- Gerrish PJ, Lenski RE. 1998.** The fate of competing beneficial mutations in an asexual population. *Genetica* **103**: 127–144.
- Gill DE, Chao L, Perkins SL, Wolf JB. 1995.** Genetic mosaicism in plants and clonal animals. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **26**: 423–444.
- Giraud A, Matic I, Tenaillon O, Clara A, Radman M, Fons M, Taddei F. 2001.** Costs and benefits of high mutation rates: adaptive evolution of bacteria in the mouse gut. *Science* **291**: 2606–2608.
- Gorokhova E, Dowling TE, Weider LJ, Crease TJ, Elser JJ. 2002.** Functional and ecological significance of rDNA intergenic spacer variation in a clonal organism under divergent selection for production rate. *Proceedings of the Royal*

- Society of London, Series B. – Biological Sciences* **269**: 2373–2379.
- Grbic M, Nagy LM, Strand MR. 1998.** Development of polyembryonic insects: a major departure from typical insect embryogenesis. *Development Genes and Evolution* **208**: 69–81.
- Haldane JBS. 1932, 1990.** *The causes of evolution*. London: Longmans; revised edition 1990. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Hamilton WD, Axelrod R, Tanese R. 1990.** Sexual reproduction as an adaptation to resist parasites (a review). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **87**: 3566–3573.
- Harrington R. 1994.** Aphid Layer (Letter). *Antenna (Bulletin of the Royal Entomological Society)* **18**: 50.
- Harvey JA, Corley LS, Strand MR. 2000.** Competition induces adaptive shifts in cast ratios of a polyembryonic wasp. *Nature* **406**: 183–186.
- Havel JE, Hebert PDN, Delorme LD. 1990.** Genotypic diversity of asexual ostracoda from a low arctic site. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **3**: 391–410.
- Hedges SB, Bogart JP, Maxson LR. 1992.** Ancestry of unisexual salamanders (genus *Ambystoma*). *Nature* **356**: 708–710.
- Hick CA, Field LM, Devonshire AL. 1996.** Changes in methylation of amplified esterase DNA during loss and reselection of insecticide resistance in peach-potato aphids, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **26**: 41–47.
- Houle D, Morikawa B, Lynch M. 1996.** Comparing mutational variabilities. *Genetics* **143**: 1467–1483.
- Howard RS, Lively CM. 1994.** Parasitism, mutation accumulation and the maintenance of sex. *Nature* **367**: 554–557.
- Hughes RN. 1989.** *A functional biology of clonal animals*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Humpherys D, Eggan K, Akutsu H, Hochedlinger K, Rideout WM, Biniszkiwicz D, Yanagimachi R, Jaenisch R. 2001.** Epigenetic instability in ES cells and cloned mice. *Science* **293**: 95–97.
- Iguchi K, Matsubara N, Hakoyama H. 2001.** Behavioural individuality assessed from two strains of cloned fish. *Animal Behaviour* **61**: 351–356.
- Imhof M, Schlötterer C. 2001.** Fitness effects of advantageous mutations in evolving *Escherichia coli* populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **98**: 1113–1117.
- Jain R, Rivera MC, Lake JA. 1999.** Horizontal gene transfer among genomes: the complexity hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **96**: 3801–3806.
- Jain R, Rivera MC, Moore JE, Lake JA. 2003.** Non-clonal evolution of microbes. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 27–32.
- Janzen DH. 1977.** What are dandelions and aphids? *American Naturalist* **111**: 586–589.
- Jokela J, Lively CM, Dybdahl MF, Fox JA. 2003.** Genetic variation in sexual and clonal lineages of a freshwater snail. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 165–181.
- Judson OP, Normark BB. 1996.** Ancient asexual scandals. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **11**: 41–46.
- Kang YK, Koo DB, Park JS, Choi YH, Chung AS, Lee KK, Han YM. 2001.** Aberrant methylation of donor genome in cloned bovine embryos. *Nature Genetics* **28**: 173–177.
- Kidwell M, Lisch D. 2000.** Transposable elements and asexual reproduction – Reply. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **15**: 288–288.
- Klekowski EJ. 2003.** Plant clonality, mutation, diplontic selection and mutational meltdown. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 61–67.
- Kondrashov AS. 1999.** Being too nice may be not too wise. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **12**: 1031.
- Korona R, Nakatsu CH, Forney LJ, Lenski RE. 1994.** Evidence for multiple adaptive peaks from populations of bacteria evolving in a structured habitat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **91**: 9037–9041.
- Kuhn G, Hijri M, Sanders IR. 2001.** Evidence for the evolution of multiple genomes in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* **414**: 745–748.
- Lake JA, Henderson E, Clark MW, Scheinman A, Oakes MI. 1986.** Evolution mapped with 3-dimensional ribosome structure. *Chemica Scripta* **26B**: 121–126.
- Lenski RE. 1989.** Are some mutations directed? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **4**: 148–150.
- Lenski RE, Mittler JE. 1993.** The directed mutation controversy and neo-Darwinism. *Science* **259**: 188–194.
- Lenski RE, Travisano M. 1994.** Dynamics of adaptation and diversification – a 10,000-generation experiment with bacterial-populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **91**: 6808–6814.
- Lerat E, Brunet F, Bazin C, Capi P. 1999.** Is the evolution of transposable elements modular? *Genetica* **107**: 15–25.
- Loxdale HD, Lushai G. 2003.** Rapid changes in clonal lineages: the death of a ‘sacred cow’. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 3–16.
- Luria SE, Delbrück M. 1943.** Mutations of bacteria from virus sensitivity to virus resistance. *Genetics* **28**: 491–511.
- Lushai G, De Barro PJ, David O, Sherratt TN, Maclean N. 1998.** Genetic variation within a parthenogenetic lineage. *Insect Molecular Biology* **7**: 337–344.
- Lushai G, Loxdale HD. 2002.** The biological improbability of a clone (mini-review). *Genetical Research, Cambridge* **79**: 1–9.
- Lushai G, Loxdale HD, Brookes CP, von Mende N, Harrington R, Hardie J. 1997.** Genotypic variation among different phenotypes within aphid clones. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B. – Biological Sciences* **264**: 725–730.
- Lushai G, Markovitch O, Loxdale HD. 2002.** Host-based genotype variation in insects revisited. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **92**: 159–164.
- Lynch M. 1984.** Destabilizing hybridization, general-purpose genotypes and geographic parthenogenesis. *Quarterly Review of Biology* **59**: 257–290.
- Lynch M. 1997.** Mutation accumulation in nuclear, organelle, and prokaryotic transfer RNA genes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **14**: 914–925.

- Lynch M, Blanchard JL. 1998. Deleterious mutation accumulation in organelle genomes. *Genetica* **103**: 29–39.
- Lynch M, Blanchard J, Postlethwait J. 1999. Perspective: spontaneous deleterious mutation. *Evolution* **53**: 645–663.
- Lynch M, Burger R, Butcher D, Gabriel W. 1993. The mutational meltdown in asexual populations. *Journal of Heredity* **84**: 339–344.
- Lynch M, Conery JS. 2000. The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. *Science* **290**: 1151–1155.
- Lynch M, Latta L, Hicks J, Giorgianni M. 1998. Mutation, selection, and the maintenance of life-history variation in a natural population. *Evolution* **52**: 727–733.
- Mandrioli M, Manicardi GC, Bizzaro D, Bianchi U. 1999. NOR heteromorphism within a parthenogenetic lineage of the aphid, *Megoura viciae*. *Chromosome Research* **7**: 157–162.
- Mark-Welch DB, Meselson M. 2000. Evidence for the evolution of bdelloid rotifers without sexual reproduction or genetic exchange. *Science* **288**: 1211–1215.
- Mark-Welch DB, Meselson M. 2003. Oocyte nuclear DNA content and GC proportion in rotifers of the anciently asexual class Bdelloidea. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 85–91.
- Meyer E, Duharcourt S. 1996. Epigenetic programming of developmental genome rearrangements in ciliates. *Cell* **87**: 9–12.
- Moran NA. 1994. Adaptation and constraint in the complex life cycles of animals. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **25**: 573–600.
- Moran NA. 1996. Accelerated evolution and Muller's ratchet in endosymbiotic bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **93**: 2873–2878.
- Normark BB. 1996. Phylogeny and evolution of parthenogenetic weevils of the *Aramigus tessellatus* species complex (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Naupactini): evidence from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Evolution* **50**: 734–745.
- Normark BB. 1999. Evolution in a putatively ancient asexual aphid lineage: recombination and rapid karyotype change. *Evolution* **53**: 1458–1469.
- Normark BB, Judson OP, Moran NA. 2003. Genomic signatures of ancient asexual lineages. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 69–84.
- Normark BB, Moran NA. 2000. Opinion-testing for the accumulation of deleterious mutations in asexual eukaryote genomes using molecular sequences. *Journal of Natural History* **34**: 1719–1729.
- Nowak RM. 1991. Armadillos (Xenarthra: Family Dasypodidae), p. 526. *Walker's mammals of the world*, Vol. I, 5th edn. Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press.
- Nuzhdin SV, Pasyukova EG, Mackay TFC. 1997. Accumulation of transposable elements in laboratory lines of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetica* **100**: 167–175.
- Nuzhdin SV, Petrov DA. 2003. Transposable elements in clonal lineages: lethal hangover from sex. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 33–41.
- Ohta T. 1992. The Nearly Neutral Theory of molecular evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **23**: 263–286.
- Papadopoulos D, Schneider D, Meier-Eiss J, Arber W, Lenski RE, Blot M. 1999. Genomic evolution during a 10,000-generation experiment with bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **96**: 3807–3812.
- Perez ML, Valverde JR, Batuecas B, Amat F, Marco R, Garesse R. 1994. Speciation in *Artemia* genus: mitochondrial DNA analysis of bisexual and parthenogenetic brine shrimps. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **38**: 156–168.
- Pertoldi C, Scali V, Loeschcke V. 2001. Developmental instability in sexually reproducing and parthenogenetic populations of *Bacillus rossius rossius* and *Bacillus rossius redtenbacheri*. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **3**: 449–463.
- Phillips SW, Bale JS, Tatchell GM. 1999. Escaping an ecological dead-end: asexual overwintering and morph determination in the lettuce root aphid *Pemphigus bursarius* L. *Ecological Entomology* **24**: 336–344.
- Quattro JM, Avise JC, Vrijenhoek RC. 1992. Mode of origin and sources of genotypic diversity in triploid gynogenetic fish clones (Poeciliopsis, Poeciliidae). *Genetics* **130**: 621–628.
- Radman M. 1999. Mutation – Enzymes of evolutionary change. *Nature* **401**: 866.
- Radman M, Matic I, Taddei F. 1999. Evolution of evolvability. *Molecular Strategies in Biological Evolution; Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **870**: 146–155.
- Rand DM, Kann LM. 1996. Excess amino acid polymorphism in mitochondrial DNA: contrasts among genes from *Drosophila*, mice and humans. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **13**: 735–748.
- Rand DM, Kann LM. 1998. Mutation and selection at silent and replacement sites in the evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA. *Genetica* **103**: 393–407.
- Raup DM, Jablonski D. 1993. Geography of end-Cretaceous marine bivalve extinctions. *Science* **260**: 971–973.
- Redfield RJ. 1999. A truly pluralistic view of sex and recombination. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **12**: 1043–1046.
- Riley MS, Cooper VS, Lenski RE, Forney LJ, Marsh TL. 2001. Rapid phenotypic change and diversification of a soil bacterium during 1,000 generations of experimental evolution. *Microbiology-UK* **147**: 995–1006.
- Rutherford SL, Lindquist S. 1998. *Hsp90* as a capacitor for morphological evolution. *Nature* **396**: 336–342.
- Sanders IR. 1999. Evolutionary genetics – No sex please we're fungi. *Nature* **399**: 737–739.
- Sanders IR, Koch A, Kuhn G. 2003. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: genetics of multigenomic, clonal networks and its ecological consequences. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 59–60.
- Santelices B. 2001. Advances in the study of intracolonial variation in *Gracilaria chilensis* (Rhodophyta). *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* **42**: 39–44.
- Santelices B, Correa JA, Meneses I, Aedo D, Varela D. 1996. Sporeling coalescence and intracolonial variation in *Gracilaria chilensis* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta). *Journal of Phycology* **32**: 313–322.
- Sasaki A, Hamilton WD, Ubeda F. 2002. Clone mixtures and a pacemaker: new facets of Red-Queen theory and ecol-



- ogy. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B-Biological Sciences* **269**: 761–772.
- Scali V, Passamonti M, Marescalchi O, Mantovani B. 2003.** Linkage between sexual and asexual lineages: genome evolution in *Bacillus* stick insects. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 137–150.
- Schneider D, Duperchy E, Coursange E, Lenski RE, Blot M. 2000.** Long-term experimental evolution in *Escherichia coli*. IX. Characterization of insertion sequence-mediated mutations and rearrangements. *Genetics* **156**: 477–488.
- Schön I, Butlin RK, Griffiths HI, Martens K. 1998.** Slow molecular evolution in an ancient asexual ostracod. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **265**: 235–242.
- Schön I, Gandolfi A, Di Masso E, Rossi V, Griffiths HI, Martens K, Butlin RK. 2000.** Persistence of asexuality through mixed reproduction in *Eucypris virens* (Crustacea, Ostracoda). *Heredity* **84**: 161–169.
- Schön I, Martens K, van Doninck K, Butlin RK. 2003.** Evolution in the slow lane: molecular rates of evolution in sexual and asexual ostracods (Crustacea, Ostracoda). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 93–100.
- Schultz ST, Lynch M, Willis JH. 1999.** Spontaneous deleterious mutation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **96**: 11393–11398.
- Simon J-C, Delmotte F, Rispe C, Crease T. 2003.** Phylogenetic relationships between parthenogens and their sexual relatives: the possible routes to parthenogenesis in animals. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 151–163.
- Sniegowski PD, Gerrish PJ, Lenski RE. 1997.** Evolution of high mutation rates in experimental populations of *E. coli*. *Nature* **387**: 703–705.
- Sommerfeldt AD, Bishop JDD. 1999.** Random amplified polymorphic DNA RAPD analysis reveals extensive natural chimerism in a marine protochordate. *Molecular Ecology* **8**: 885–890.
- Sommerfeldt AD, Bishop JDD, Wood CA. 2003.** Chimerism following fusion in a clonal ascidian (Urochordata). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 183–192.
- Spolsky CM, Phillips CA, Uzzell T. 1992.** Antiquity of clonal salamander lineages revealed by mitochondrial-DNA. *Nature* **356**: 706–708.
- Stewart CN, Nilsen ET. 1995.** Phenotypic plasticity and genetic variation of *Vaccinium macrocarpon*, the American Cranberry. II. Reaction norms and spatial clonal patterns in two marginal populations. *International Journal of Plant Science* **156**: 698–708.
- Sunnucks P, Chisholm D, Turak E, Hales DF. 1998.** Evolution of an ecological trait in parthenogenetic *Sitobion* aphids. *Heredity* **81**: 638–647.
- Sunnucks P, De Barro PJ, Lushai G, Maclean N, Hales D. 1997.** Genetic structure of an aphid studied using microsatellites: cyclic parthenogenesis, differentiated lineages and host specialisation. *Molecular Ecology* **6**: 1059–1073.
- Szafranec K, Borts RH, Korona R. 2001.** Environmental stress and mutational load in diploid strains of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **98**: 1107–1112.
- Taeyoung S, Kraemer D, Pryor J, Lin L, Rugila J, Howe L, Buck B, Murphy K, Lyons L, Westhusin M *et al.* 2002.** A cat cloned by nuclear transplantation. *Nature* **415**: 859.
- Tatchell GM, Parker SJ. 1990.** Host plant selection and reproduction by migrant *Rhopalosiphum padi* in the autumn. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **54**: 237–244.
- Tinti F, Scali V. 1996.** Androgenetics and triploids from an interacting parthenogenetic hybrid and its ancestors in stick insects. *Evolution* **50**: 1251–1258.
- True HL, Lindquist SL. 2000.** A yeast prion provides a mechanism for genetic variation and phenotypic diversity. *Nature* **407**: 477–483.
- Turner BJ, Elder JF Jr, Laughlin TF, Davis WP, Taylor DS. 1992.** Extreme clonal diversity and divergence in populations of a selfing hermaphroditic fish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **89**: 10643–10647.
- Van Doninck K, Schön I, De Bruyn L, Martens K. 2002.** A general purpose genotype in an ancient asexual. *Oecologia* **132**: 205–212.
- Van Valen LM. 1973.** A new evolutionary law. *Evolutionary Theory* **1**: 1–30.
- Vanlerberghe-Masutti F, Chavigny P. 1998.** Host-based genetic differentiation in the aphid *Aphis gossypii* Glover evidenced from RAPD fingerprints. *Molecular Ecology* **7**: 905–914.
- Vassilieva LL, Hook AM, Lynch M. 2000.** The fitness effects of spontaneous mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Evolution* **54**: 1234–1246.
- Vassilieva LL, Lynch M. 1999.** The rate of spontaneous mutation for life-history traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **151**: 119–129.
- Volkov KV, Aksenova AY, Soom MJ, Osipov KV, Svitin AV, Kurischko C, Shkundina IS, Ter-Avanesyan MD, Inge-Vechtomov SG, Mironova LN. 2002.** Novel non-Mendelian determinant involved in the control of translation accuracy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **160**: 25–36.
- Vrijenhoek RC. 1998.** Animal clones and diversity. *Bioscience* **48**: 617–628.
- Vulic M, Lenski RE, Radman M. 1999.** Mutation recombination and incipient speciation of bacteria in the laboratory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **96**: 7348–7351.
- Wallis GP. 1999.** Do animal mitochondrial genomes recombine? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **14**: 209–210.
- Waxman D, Peck JR. 1999.** Sex and adaptation in a changing environment. *Genetics* **153**: 1041–1053.
- West SA, Lively CM, Read AF. 1999.** A pluralist approach to sex and recombination. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **12**: 1003–1012.
- Wichman HA, Scott LA, Yarber CD, Bull JJ. 2000.** Experimental evolution recapitulates natural evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **355**: 1677–1684.
- Wilson ACC, Sunnucks P, Hales DF. 1999.** Microevolution, low clonal diversity and genetic affinities of parthenogenetic *Sitobion* aphids in New Zealand. *Molecular Ecology* **8**: 1655–1666.



- Wilson ACC, Sunnucks P, Hales DF. 2003.** Heritable genetic variation and potential for adaptive evolution in asexual aphids (Aphidoidea). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **79**: 115–135.
- Wolffe AP, Matzke MA. 1999.** Epigenetics: regulation through repression. *Science* **286**: 481–486.
- Wright S. 1988.** Surfaces of selective value revisited. *American Naturalist* **131**: 115–123.
- Yao MC. 1996.** Programmed DNA deletions in *Tetrahymena*: mechanisms and implications. *Trends in Genetics* **12**: 26–30.