

# Rothamsted Repository Download

## A - Papers appearing in refereed journals

Liu, Y., Neal, A. L., Zhang, X., Fan, H., Liu, H. and Li, Z. 2021. Cropping system exerts stronger influence on antibiotic resistance gene assemblages in greenhouse soils than reclaimed wastewater irrigation . *Journal Of Hazardous Materials*. p. 128046.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.128046>

The publisher's version can be accessed at:

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.128046>

The output can be accessed at: <https://repository.rothamsted.ac.uk/item/98751/cropping-system-exerts-stronger-influence-on-antibiotic-resistance-gene-assemblages-in-greenhouse-soils-than-reclaimed-wastewater-irrigation>.

© 10 December 2021, Please contact [library@rothamsted.ac.uk](mailto:library@rothamsted.ac.uk) for copyright queries.

# Cropping system exerts stronger ~~impact~~ influence on antibiotic resistance gene assemblages in greenhouse soils than reclaimed wastewater irrigation

Yuan Liu<sup>a</sup>, Andrew L. Neal<sup>b</sup>, Xiaoxian Zhang<sup>c</sup>, Haiyan Fan<sup>d</sup>, Honglu Liu<sup>d</sup>, Zhongyang Li<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Farmland Irrigation Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Xinxiang 453002, China.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, Rothamsted Research, North Wyke, Devon EX22 2SB, UK.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire, AL5 2JQ, UK.

<sup>d</sup> Beijing Engineering Research Center for Non-conventional Water Resources Utilization and Water Saving, Beijing Water Science and Technology Institute, Beijing 100048, China

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: lizhongyang1980@163.com.

## Abstract

The effects of reclaimed wastewater (RW) irrigation on ~~the~~ spread of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in soil is modulated by a myriad of biotic and abiotic factors and their relative significance remains vague. ~~Herein, w~~We compared ~~the~~ microbial communities, assemblages of genes ~~associated with microbial resistant-resistance~~ to antibiotics, biocides and metals, and insertion sequences (ISs) in soils following 16- years of ~~crop~~ irrigation with groundwater (GW), RW or ~~alternate-alternately with~~ GW and RW in two greenhouses with different cropping systems, using shotgun metagenome sequencing. The results showed that ~~it was the~~ cropping system ~~exerted greater influence rather than the RW-irrigation on that impacted~~ the profile of ISs and resistance genes ~~more significantly, - and the impact~~ This influence was most strongly associated with concentrations of copper, mercury and perfloxacin in the soils. There was no significant difference in ~~the~~ soil ARGs profiles between continuous RW irrigation and ~~alternate-alternating~~ GW and RW irrigation, ~~- and the bacteria of~~ Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes and ~~some a limited number of~~ ISs were closely associated with the detected ARGs. Most ARGs were found to co-occur with metals and biocides resistance genes through the mechanism of efflux pumps. These findings highlight the significance of ~~understanding and~~ improving crop management in mitigating the dissemination of ARGs in soils irrigated with RW.

Key words: Reclaimed wastewater; cropping system; metagenomic analyses; ARGs; irrigation.

## 1. Introduction

Agricultural production consumes approximately 50-80% of freshwater globally (Boretti and Rosa 2019, Palese et al. 2009). Over the past few decades, dwindling water resources ~~combined with increased demand for water due to population growth have~~ made many countries in arid and semi-arid regions ~~look for~~consider treated wastewater as a supplementary water resource for irrigation (Elgallal et al. 2016, Fatta-Kassinos et al. 2020, Pedrero et al. 2010, Pereira et al. 2002). ~~Although available wastewater treatment technologies are able to meet stringent standards (Levine and Asano 2004), they are not currently practical because of the high costs. As a result~~However, most wastewater treatment plants ~~using conventional treatment measures will continue to~~ discharge ~~the~~ effluents ~~with~~ contaminants ~~with including~~ heavy metals, antibiotics, antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) and microbes harboring ARGs, into water bodies (Cacace et al. 2019, Ding et al. 2020, Teijon et al. 2010). Irrigation with ~~such these~~ waters could release ~~these~~ contaminants to soil-plant systems ~~with increasing the~~ potential for them to end up in ~~the~~ food chain (Al-Jassim et al. 2015). The selective pressure of antibiotics on soil microorganisms following reclaimed wastewater (RW) irrigation could disseminate ARGs and compromise the efficacy of antibiotics in animal and human medicine (Pruden et al. 2006), ~~which and this~~ has become a public ~~health~~ concern (Sorinolu et al. 2021). ARGs have several ~~pathways mechanisms~~ ~~by which they to~~ spread in soil, one of which is horizontal transfer through mobile genetic elements (MGEs) (Gatica and Cytryn 2013). Co-selection of ARGs ~~together~~ with metal resistance genes (MRGs) is promoted since the genes often ~~occur on~~share the same MGEs (Baker-Austin et al. 2006).

Reclaimed wastewater contains antibiotics and ARGs, and continuous RW irrigation ~~with RW~~

could cause their accumulation in soils (Kampouris et al. 2021b). RW-borne bacteria and associated ARGs can persist ~~at~~ below detection levels in irrigated soils and have potential to ~~re-grow~~ increase in abundance under copiotroph conditions (Marano et al. 2021). Since RW irrigation ~~could~~ may change bio-physicochemical conditions of soil and root-induced ~~biotic and abiotic~~ processes, ~~which~~ could alter altering antibiotic degradation and microbial community composition, the long-term effects of RW irrigation on dissemination of ARGs in soil is complicated and its ~~dominant~~ principal determinant remains obscure. ~~For example, one study found that~~ RW irrigation for 3-4 years has been shown to increased the abundance of ARGs in urban park soil, ~~and~~ significantly enriched increasing the diversity and abundance of ARGs and ~~altered~~ altering soil bacterial communities ~~carrying these ARGs after irrigating the urban parks using RW for 3-4 years~~ due to ~~the~~ an increase in pH and ~~the~~ decrease in total N (Han et al. 2016). This was corroborated by a similar study in China that irrigating urban parks using RW for 1-10 years led to an ARGs enrichment in soil due to the increase in antibiotics and MGEs in soil (Wang et al. 2014b). ~~In addition to these, it is reported that~~ The ARGs-ARG burden load of RW ~~were~~ was ~~the~~ an important drivers ~~to~~ impacting influencing ARGs in ~~the~~ soil following RW irrigation (Kampouris et al. 2021a). However, these studies overlooked ~~the~~ differences in soil properties before irrigation, microclimates, ~~—~~ and plant covers, among ~~others~~ factors, therefore it is difficult to ~~identify~~ determine ~~that whether~~ the effect of RW irrigation on ARGs was caused by the irrigation itself or other factors (Christou et al. 2017, McLain and Williams 2014). ~~In contrast, there were~~ are also reports that RW irrigation ~~did not~~ has no influence ~~have promoting impact~~ on the dissemination of ARGs (Cui et al. 2018, Marano et al. 2019, McLain and Williams 2014, Negreanu et al. 2012). For example, a comparative study ~~on~~ of ARGs patterns in Enterococcus found in ponds sediments ~~associated~~ Enterococcus ~~isolated from~~

79 ~~water storage basins in central Arizona~~ revealed that the levels of ~~bacterial~~ antibiotic resistance  
80 following long-term RW recharge were equal to that with GW, and that bacterial multiple-  
81 antibiotic-resistance ~~determined by culture-based isolate methods~~ in the sediments from GW-filled  
82 ponds was significantly higher than RW-filled ponds (McLain and Williams 2014). ~~In a separate~~  
83 ~~study, his was consistent with the experimental study of Negreanu et al. (2012) that the~~  
84 ~~levels abundance~~ of ~~selected~~ four ARGs (*sul1*, *sul2*, *ermB*, and *ermF*) in soils irrigated with RW for  
85 6-15 years were either ~~the same unchanged~~ as or ~~even~~ lower than that in soils irrigated with  
86 freshwater (Negreanu et al. 2012). Such conflicting results ~~about the impact regarding the influence~~  
87 of RW irrigation on ARGs dissemination is ~~a of~~ public concern, ~~while our mechanistic~~  
88 understanding ~~of the underlying mechanisms~~ is hampered due to ~~the a~~ lack of experiments ~~which~~  
89 ~~are long enough of sufficient duration to see off the transition in study~~ the change in both ARGs and  
90 other biogeochemical properties of soil following RW irrigation, especially under field conditions  
91 with ~~continual~~ agricultural practices ~~not modified~~.

92 The effects of RW irrigation on ARGs dissemination in soil depends on many ~~abiotic and~~  
93 ~~abiotic~~ factors. ~~Physicochemically, both Physically, the~~ quality of RW and irrigation methods  
94 ~~controls the input of ARGs to the soil~~ (Fahrenfeld et al. 2013); and ~~the bio-physicochemical soil~~  
95 ~~properties of the soil~~ (Ma et al. 2018), ~~including pH, nitrogen cycle~~ (Han et al. 2016), ~~organic~~  
96 ~~matter~~ (Chen et al. 2015), ~~electrical conductivity~~ (Tan et al. 2019), ~~heavy metals and soil~~  
97 ~~aggregation~~; ~~control the introduction of ARGs to soil. Microbially Biologically,~~ changes in soil  
98 biogeochemical properties ~~could may~~ reshape microbial ~~composition assemblages~~ (Cui et al.  
99 2018).

100 Physiologically, ~~the~~ charged roots ~~could may~~ adsorb ~~interact with the charged~~ polar and

ionizable antibiotics via ~~the iron plaques or the chemical functional groups interaction with~~  
~~carboxyl, amido and hydroxyl on~~at the root surface (Choi et al. 2016, Liu et al. 2018, Tai et al.  
 2018). ~~As Since~~ morphological and electrical properties of roots as well as the rhizosphere vary  
 with crop species and varieties (Lu et al. 2018), ~~and each crop has its unique rhizosphere and~~  
~~associated microbial communities~~ (Babin et al. 2019), it is envisaged that crops may also impose  
~~different~~ selective pressures on soil antibiotic-resistant ~~microbiomemicrobes~~. However, little is  
 known about how significant the influence of cropping systems could be. For example, the  
~~experiment studies~~ of Han et al. (2016) and Wang et al. (2014b) did not separate RW irrigation  
 and plants, ~~and it is hence difficult to preventing their ability to~~ distinguish between RW irrigation  
or plant heterogeneity as having the greater influence on that the ARG assemblages in soils  
~~difference in ARGs between treatments was due to RW irrigation or plant heterogeneity. Other~~  
~~studies, for example Negreanu et al. (2012), have studied ARG assemblages in soils used to~~  
~~cultivate cotton and wheat, crops whose deep roots typically do not require as much water as~~  
~~vegetables. In contrast, the experimental study on the managed orchard had limited tillage, and~~  
~~while cotton and wheat with deep roots normally have deep rooting and do not require as much~~  
~~water as water vegetables need (Negreanu et al. 2012). Therefore, it remains is unclear that~~  
~~whether the insignificant differences similar levels in of ARGs between treatments was due to thea~~  
~~result of~~ cropping or other factors ~~which suppressed ARGs proliferation. Cropping alters nutrient~~  
~~cycle and reshapes microbial community composition due to fertilization, nitrogen fixation (Sainju~~  
~~et al. 2003), irrigation, tillage and crop rotations. How these combine to affect ARGs~~  
~~dissemination in soil is largely unknown.~~

Large-scale wastewater treatment plants are usually in-associated with metropolitan ~~regions~~

~~areas and the vegetable bases production~~ in the suburbs can ~~easily-readily access use~~ RW for  
 irrigation. Unlike staple crops, vegetables ~~are water-demanding and need require~~ intensive  
 fertilization and irrigation; their roots are ~~much~~-shallow and ~~the~~-root-induced biotic and abiotic  
 processes are most active in the very top soil. We ~~hence~~-hypothesized that cropping ~~might~~-exerts  
 an important ~~impact-influence~~ on microbial and biogeochemical properties of soil (Bengough  
 2012), and ~~therebyconsequently the~~ proliferation/~~or~~ attenuation of ARGs. Since ~~the~~ changes in  
 physical and biogeochemical properties of soil ~~resulting from different irrigation water sources~~  
~~and management are likely to be manifest over an extended period of time(Wang et al. 2022),~~  
~~which mediate microbial activity and ARGs profile, after management practice change is a slow-~~  
~~process and takes decades to reach new equilibria (Wang et al. 2022), and testing this hypothesis-~~  
~~needs long-term experiment. We we hence~~-selected two greenhouses grown with various  
 vegetables and having received different RW irrigation treatments for 16 years, ~~with-using the-~~  
~~groundwater (GW) irrigation as a comparator (control)-with groundwater (GW) taken as the-~~  
~~control. We used the shotgun metagenomic analyses to simultaneously analyse the massive-~~  
~~amount of genes in each soil sample.~~ We aimed to test: 1) how cropping and long-term RW  
 irrigation affect ARGs profiles in ~~the~~-soil, and 2) ~~the~~-associations between soil ARGs and the  
 potential propagators.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Field experiment and soil sampling

The experiment was conducted in two greenhouses at the Yongledian Experimental Station  
 for Water-Saving Irrigation Research, managed by Beijing Water Science and Technology  
 Institute (39° 20' N, 114° 20' E; 12 m above sea level). The greenhouses intercept rainwater and

use hot water pipes to maintain a minimum temperature approximately at 20 °C between November and February. The mean annual temperature and precipitation were 11.0 -12.0 °C and 565 mm respectively, with > 70% of the precipitation falling between June and August. The topsoil (0-20 cm) is silty loam (<0.002 mm, 7.0%; 0.002-0.05 mm, 54.7%; 0.05-2 mm, 38.3%), and its properties were: bulk density 1.4 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, pH 8.4, electrical conductivity (EC) 36.0 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, organic matter (OM) 24 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, total-N 1.13 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, total-P 1.24 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, total-K 20.7 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, available-N 162.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, available-K 319.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, available-P 134.7 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

The experiment was established in December 2002, and all crops were drip-irrigated. ~~The~~ three irrigation treatments ~~were~~ compared ~~are~~: groundwater irrigation, alternate groundwater - reclaimed water irrigation, and reclaimed water irrigation. Each treatment has three replicates arranged ~~in~~ across two greenhouses (referred to as Greenhouse A and Greenhouse B respectively). Consistent agronomic management (application of chemical fertilizer and chicken manure, weed control, irrigation time and volume per hectare) was adopted for all treatments except irrigation water quality in each greenhouse. The plot arrangement (Fig. S1) and cultivation histories (Table S1) in the two greenhouses are described in the supplementary information. At the time of soil sampling (December 5, 2018), the crop in Greenhouse A was long beans (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) arranged in nine plots, with the area of ~~the 1th-8th~~ plots 1 to 8 and ~~the ninth~~ plot 9 being 30 m<sup>2</sup> and 20.4 m<sup>2</sup> respectively; the crop in Greenhouse B was purple cabbages (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata rubra*) arranged in nine plots, each having an area of 34 m<sup>2</sup>. Crop systems in the two greenhouses have been kept different for 16 years, and the experiments were not designed to compare individual plants but the legacy of cropping history. Adjacent plots in each greenhouse were spaced 30 cm apart to avoid possible lateral water flow, and GW used ~~in the~~ for irrigation



was pumped from a borehole 8.0 m below the ground surface. RW was the secondary effluent water taken from the Gaobeidian Wastewater Treatment Plant, Beijing, and water properties are listed in Tables S2 and S3.

Soils were sampled ~~randomly~~ from the top layer (0 - 20 cm) at three randomly placed locations between the drip pipes in each plot; ~~they-these~~ were ~~then~~-pooled, ~~with-and soil~~ sub-sampled ~~samples from which designated~~ for nucleic acid extraction ~~being-were immediately~~ stored at -80 °C, ~~and-t~~The remaining ~~sample was being~~-air-dried for chemical analysis. Soil pH, EC, OM, total N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, available-P, available-K, total heavy metals were analyzed using the methods detailed in our previous studies (Liu et al. 2019b). Soil available Hg, Cr, Cu, Zn, Pb and Cd were extracted by DTPA-TEA solution (5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> DTPA with 10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 100 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> triethanolamine); soil available As was extracted by 0.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (Guo et al. 2018), and measured by ICP-OES iCAP7400 (ThermoFisher, USA).

## 2.2 Antibiotic compounds analysis

Thirty-three antibiotic compounds including 14 quinolones, 15 sulfonamides and 4 tetracyclines were selected for content determination (Table S4). We selected the test antibiotic classes ~~due-to~~because of their common usage in healthcare and livestock husbandry and their close association with ARGs spread-dissemination (Leng et al. 2020, Wang et al. 2014a, Wang et al. 2014b, Yan et al. 2018). Details of the specific antibiotics ~~determination-of interest~~ are provided in the supplementary information.

## 2.3 DNA extraction and library construction

The NucleoSpin Soil Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) was used to extract total DNA from ~~the~~ soils (0.3 g) following the manufacturer's instructions. We did not extract DNA from the water, and

the explanations ~~were~~are detailed in the Supplementary Information. The concentration of extracted DNA was determined ~~with~~using a Qubit Fluorometer ~~using~~and dsDNA BR Assay kit (Invitrogen, USA), ~~and~~Electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel ~~electrophoresis~~was used to check ~~the~~DNA quality. Genomic DNA (1 µg) was randomly fragmented ~~by~~using Covaris Focused-ultrasonicators (ME220, ~~America~~Covaris, Woburn, MA). The fragmented DNA was selected by Magnetic beads to an average size of 200-400 bp. The selected fragments were through end-repair, 3' adenylated, adapters-ligation, PCR amplifying and the products were purified by the Magnetic beads. The double stranded PCR products were heat-denatured and circularized by the splint oligo sequence. ~~The~~Single strand circle DNA (ssCir DNA) ~~were~~was formatted as the final library and qualified by Quality control (QC). ~~The qualified libraries were sequenced on BGISEQ-500 platform (BGI, China).~~ QC of the raw reads was conducted using the SOAPnuke (v1.5.6) software (Kravchenko and Guber 2017) with the following parameters: -l 20 -q 0.2 -n 0.05 -Q 2 -d -c 0 -5 0 -7 1. Over 300 million reads were generated for each sample after QC (Table S5). ~~The qualified libraries were sequenced on BGISEQ-500 platform (BGI, China).~~

#### 2.4 Assembly, gene catalogue construction and annotation

Assembly of the clean reads was conducted for each sample respectively using ~~the~~megahit (v1.1.3) ~~software~~ (Li et al. 2015) with the following parameters: --min-count 2 --k-min 33 --k-max 83 --k-step 10. A total of 13,911,093 contigs were assembled, ~~with the~~ N50 for ~~each of~~ the samples ranging from 398,525 to 1,021,693.

Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted from contigs for each sample using MetaGeneMark (v2.10) software (Zhu et al. 2010), with ~~the~~a minimum ORF length of 101 bases via the parameter -l 100. To construct the unique gene catalogue for the samples, all predicted genes

from each of the 18 samples were grouped. Redundant genes were identified and removed using CD-Hit version 4.6.6 (Li and Godzik 2006) ~~with using~~ the parameters ~~set as follows:~~ -c 0.95 -aS 0.9 -M 0 -d 0 -g 1. A total of 10,683,999 unique genes were included in the gene catalogue.

The protein sequences of the unique genes in the gene catalogue were annotated against NCBI\_nr (only bacterial, fungal and virus sequences were selected and included in this alignment) [[release 2018-08-14](#)] (Pruitt et al. 2006), BacMet databases (Pal et al. 2013) using DIAMOND (v0.8.23.85) software (Buchfink et al. 2015) with the cutoff value of  $E$ -value of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  to infer the function of predicted genes. Simultaneously, insertion sequences (ISs), one important component of MGEs, were annotated against ISfinder (Siguier et al. 2006) using BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990, Altschul et al. 1997), and ARGs were annotated against CARD (Jia et al. 2016) using the Resistance Gene Identifier (RGI). The numbers of the annotated genes against each database were listed in Table S6.

Taxonomic association of the genes was based on the annotation of the protein sequences against the NCBI\_nr database (as described above) [[release 2018-08-14](#)] with the cutoff values of identity greater than 30%, coverage greater than 50% and  $E < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ .

## 2.5 Statistical Analysis

Abundances of individual genes were determined by aligning high-quality reads to the total clean reads in each sample. Bioinformatic analysis ~~described~~ generated organism and gene (associated with antibiotic, heavy metal and xenobiotic resistance mechanisms and insertion sequence) abundance tables. In each case, we tested our hypothesis that the source of irrigation water influenced organism and gene distribution using a two-factor permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) after having confirmed an absence of significant

233 heterogeneity of multivariate dispersion using the PERMDISP test. Probabilities associated with  
234 permutational test were based upon 99,999 permutations. Where PERMANOVA identified a  
235 significant effect of an experimental factor, we used linear discriminant analysis effect size  
236 (LEfSe) (Segata et al. 2011) to identify biomarkers (organisms or genes) associated with  
237 significant differences in abundance between treatments. We employed LEfSe cut-offs of  $p_{adj} =$   
238 0.05 and  $\log_{10}$  linear discriminant scores ranging between 1.0 and 1.5, depending upon gene  
239 group. We ~~routinely~~ generated organism or gene profiles to identify taxa or genes that remain  
240 unchanged in their composition independent of treatment based on sample prevalence and relative  
241 abundance, as well as bi-hierarchical clustering and heatmap representation of the abundance of  
242 features according to treatment. In this latter case, organism or gene abundance data were centered  
243 log-ratio (CLR) transformed, generating the log of the ratio between each observed abundance and  
244 the geometric mean abundance across all treatments. ~~Eucledian-Minkowski~~ distance and Ward's  
245 agglomerative clustering algorithm were used for clustering. To identify the most diagnostic  
246 ~~features-genes and insertion sequences~~ characterizing communities of each soil, we used  
247 supervised Random Forests (RF), a classification algorithm approach based upon a collection of  
248 unpruned decision trees (Cutler et al. 2007), each built using a bootstrap sample of training data  
249 using a randomly selected subset of ~~OTUs~~genes and insertion sequences. The RF classifier was  
250 built by growing 5,000 classification trees. Only ~~significant~~ biomarker genes and insertion  
251 sequences associated with significantly different abundance between treatments as determined by  
252 LEfSe were used as potential determinants in RF. The prediction performance and confusion  
253 matrices were determined using out-of-bag cross-validation. ~~Pereent~~The mean decrease in  
254 accuracy of the importance matrix was used to select taxa that were most predictive of each

microbiome assemblage. RF was employed as implemented in MicrobiomeAnalyst (Dhariwal et al. 2017).

To model the contribution of edaphic factors to the observed distributions of those resistance genes and insertion sequences for which PERMANOVA and LEfSe identified significant treatment effects, we employed distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA, (Anderson and Legendre 1999) using Hellinger distance metrics. In this approach, multivariate multiple regression of principal coordinate axes on predictor variables is used to identify linear combinations of predictor variables which explain the greatest variation in the multivariate dataset. Edaphic factors, listed in sections 2.1 and 2.2, were employed as potential predictor variables and were selected according to which were best in explaining the variation in treatments. The small sample corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) was used to identify the best combination of variables to describe the observed distribution of treatments. These steps were performed in PRIMER PERMANOVA+ [version 7.0.20](#) and were based upon 99,999 permutations.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Microbial Community Assemblages

The dominant phyla in ~~the~~ all soils were Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, Gemmatimonadetes, Thaumarchaeota, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, *Candidatus* Rokubacteria, Planctomycetes and Unclassified phyla (Fig. S2A). PERMANOVA indicated a significant influence of cropping system upon soil bacterial assemblages ( $pseudo-F = 11.5$ ,  $p = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ ), but no significant influence of the different irrigation water types ( $pseudo-F = 1.1$ ,  $p = 0.333$ ). Heatmap-based hierarchical clustering supported this observation (Fig. 1A). The

prokaryotic populations in all soils were dominated by *Nitrososphaera*, *Sphingomonas*, *Nitrospira*, and closely related to Gemmatimonadetes *Gemmatirosa* and *Gemmatimonas* (Fig. S2B). In total, twenty-two organisms were found to be significantly more associated with Greenhouse A soil within the LEfSe parameters used (Fig. 1B). Eighteen organisms were identified as significantly more associated with Greenhouse B soil.

### 3.2 Environmental variables

Soil properties were shown in Table 1, ~~and~~ the overall pattern presented by PCA (Fig. 2) could not separate the soils based on water quality or cropping system, suggesting that ~~none of them~~ neither factor influenced soil pH, EC, OM,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N, available-P and available-K significantly appreciably (Table 1). RW irrigation did increase soil  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N significantly compared to GW irrigation, regardless of cropping system. Total-N in soil showed the same trend as  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N in Greenhouse B soil, while the opposite was true for soil in Greenhouse A.

There was no significant difference in total heavy metal concentrations between irrigation water source, except for total cadmium in Greenhouse A soil which was significantly reduced following the RW irrigation and the alternate irrigation (Table 2). Soil available heavy metals were reduced following RW irrigation with a few exceptions in Greenhouse A but not in Greenhouse B.

### 3.3 ARGs

Antibiotic concentrations in soil are shown in Fig. 3A and Table S7. Sulfamethoxypyridazine, sulfamethoxydiazine, sulfamonomethoxine, sulfathiazole, sulfacetamide sodium, difloxacin, sarafloxacin, lomefloxacin, flumequine, and the four tetracycline antibiotics were almost all below detectable levels. The concentration of each antibiotic in GW-irrigated soils

was not more than 10 ng g<sup>-1</sup> in this study, ~~which was at a~~ similar level ~~compared to with~~ other studies (Chen et al. 2011, Cui et al. 2018, Liu et al. 2019b, Ma et al. 2018). Neither continuous nor alternate irrigation with RW influenced the total concentration of antibiotics in either greenhouse. The total concentration of quinolones was higher than that of sulfonamides. For sulfonamides, the two RW irrigation treatments did not alter their concentrations significantly compared to GW irrespective of the cropping system. For quinolones, their concentration in GW-irrigated soils was significantly higher than that in soils associated with RW in Greenhouse A, but lower in Greenhouse B.

Thirteen ARGs were detected in all soils (Fig. S3A), of which the *oqxB* gene was particularly widespread (Fig. S3B). A comparison of the combined relative abundance of all ARGs (Box-Cox transformed to stabilize the variance:  $\lambda = -0.795$ , log likelihood = 222.9) indicated that there was no significant influence of irrigation water sources upon the relative abundance of ARGs in the metagenomes (ANOVA,  $F = 0.6$ ,  $p = 0.582$ ); however, there was a significant influence of cropping system (ANOVA,  $F = 17.4$ ,  $p = 0.0013$ ) with greater relative abundance associated with Greenhouse A ( $1.73 \times 10^{-5}$ ) than Greenhouse B ( $1.04 \times 10^{-5}$ ).

As with the distribution of organisms between ~~the~~ soils, there was a significant effect of cropping system on ARG assemblages (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 10.6$ ,  $p = 9 \times 10^{-5}$ ) but no effect of the irrigation water sources (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 1.7$ ,  $p = 0.145$ ). ARG biomarkers for each soil were identified with LEfSe (Fig. 3B). The genes *mtrA* and *murA* were identified as more associated with Greenhouse A soil, while the Greenhouse B soil was more associated with the genes *ermA* and *ermY*.

### 3.4 Metal Resistance Genes

Alignment against the BacMet database showed that a total of 445 types of MRGs were detected in the soils. Several genes were present in all soils (Fig. 4A), the most abundant of which was the *wtpC* gene ~~which was~~ involved in molybdate/tungstate import, as ~~does is~~ a second gene *tupC*. The genes *nikA*, *nikB*, *nikC* and *nikE* are associated with a nickel importing ATP-binding cassette (ABC). The genes *zraR* and *zraS* are associated with a membrane-associated protein kinase that phosphorylates ZraR in response to high concentrations of zinc or lead. The genes *corR* and *corS* code for a copper-responsive two-component system that induces carotenoid production and regulates copper metabolism. The gene *fbpC* ~~is involves-involved~~ in ferric ion import ~~and-The gene~~ *acn* encodes iron-regulated aconitate hydratase; ~~and-znuC is involves-~~ *involved* in zinc import. The gene *arsM* contributes to ~~the methylating-methylation of~~ arsenite to volatile trimethylarsine. A comparison of the combined relative abundance of all MRGs indicated no significant influence of either irrigation water source (ANOVA,  $F = 1.7$ ,  $p = 0.225$ ) or cropping system (ANOVA,  $F = 0.2$ ,  $p = 0.654$ ) on their relative abundance in ~~the~~ soil metagenomes.

Although gene relative abundance was not altered, a significant effect of cropping system was observed on MRG assemblages within the soils (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 8.2$ ,  $p = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ ) (Fig. S4). However, there was no significant effect of irrigation water source (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 1.1$ ,  $p = 0.313$ ). In contrast to the widely distributed genes, predominantly associated with metal acquisition from the environment, genes identified as biomarkers of the different cropping systems were largely associated with metal resistance mechanisms (Fig. 4B). The only gene identified by LEfSe to be significantly more abundant in the Greenhouse A was *trgB*, which together with *trgA* (not identified by LEfSe) forms an operon coding for a membrane-associated complex which confers tellurite resistance. A greater number of MRGs were associated with ~~the~~



Greenhouse B. These included *chrB1*, *chrF* and *chrC*, which code for regulatory proteins and an iron-dependent superoxide dismutase respectively and associate with chromium resistance; *aioA* and *aioB*, which code for an arsenite oxidase ~~and are~~ involved in arsenic detoxification; ~~and~~ *arrA*, which codes for an arsenate respiratory reductase; *cusR* and *cusA*, which encode a response regulator and part of a cation efflux system; *actP* coding a P-type ATPase; *copR* coding a transcriptional activator protein; and *mco* coding a multicopper oxidase all of which are associated with various aspects of copper (and silver) resistance; *silA* coding a component of the sil cation-efflux system (*silABC*) that also confers resistance to silver; and *nrsA* and *nrsR* coding part of a cation or drug efflux system protein and its response regulator respectively associate with nickel resistance.

### 3.5 Biocide resistance genes

Several biocide resistance genes (BRGs) ~~were~~ distributed widely in the soils (Fig. 5A). The most abundant and widely distributed of these was *fabL*, which confers resistance to the antibacterial and antifungal compound triclosan. Also widely distributed were the genes *evgS* and *evgA* of a two-component system conferring multidrug tolerance. In addition, several widespread genes were associated with ~~the~~ resistance to quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs), including *mdeA*, *cpxR*, *smrA*, and *vcaM*. A comparison of the combined relative abundance of all biocide resistance genes indicated no significant influence of either irrigation water source (ANOVA,  $F = 1.4$ ,  $p = 0.283$ ) or cropping system (ANOVA,  $F = 3.0$ ,  $p = 0.106$ ) on gene relative abundance in ~~the~~ soil metagenomes.

A significant influence of cropping system was observed on ~~the distribution of~~ biocide resistance gene assemblages in the soils (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 8.7$ ,  $p = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ ), but as ~~were~~.

with the other gene families studied here, there was no significant influence of water source (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 1.0$ ,  $p = 0.389$ ); and this is evident from hierarchical clustering (Fig. S5). Very few BRGs were identified by LEfSe to characterize the different cropping systems (Fig. 5B): *adeL*, a regulator of the *adeFGH* efflux system which confers resistance to organosulfates, phenanthridines, azins and acridines, was significantly more abundant in Greenhouse A soil, as was *sugE* coding a QACs efflux pump; *vceR* which regulates the *vceCAB* operon associated with bile acid resistance was more abundant in Greenhouse B soil.

### 3.6 Insertion Sequences

Alignment of metagenome-derived sequences against the ISfinder database showed that a total of 2,628 ISs were detected in the soils, which these could be classified into twenty-nine IS families. The distribution of ISs showed a very similar response to cropping system and irrigation as the other genes studied here. There was a significant effect of cropping system on insertion sequence assemblages (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 10.6$ ,  $p = 0.0002$ ), but no significant influence of the irrigation water sources (PERMANOVA,  $pseudo-F = 1.5$ ,  $p = 0.185$ ) (Fig. S6). Several ISs were distributed widely within the soils (Fig. 6A) including IS3, IS5, IS21, IS66, IS110, IS256 and IS630. Nine ISs were determined by LEfSe were to be significantly more abundant in Greenhouse B soil (Fig. 6B).

### 3.7 Characteristic resistance genes and insertion sequences associated with cropping systems

We identified thirty-four genes or ISs which displayed sensitivity to the different cropping systems based on LEfSe criteria. Using these features, distance-based linear modelling identified total cadmium (marginal test:  $pseudo-F = 5.8$ ,  $p_{perm} = 0.0032$ ), total (marginal test:  $pseudo-F = 6.4$ ,  $p_{perm} = 0.0019$ ) and available (marginal test:  $pseudo-F = 5.5$ ,  $p_{perm} = 0.0043$ ) copper, available

mercury (marginal test:  $pseudo-F = 5.5$ ,  $p_{perm} = 0.0044$ ), and the quinolone perfloxacin (marginal test:  $pseudo-F = 3.3$ ,  $p_{perm} = 0.0365$ ) ~~out-offrom~~ all the edaphic factors as exerting significant influence upon the assemblages of ~~sensitive-responsive~~ genes. Distance-based redundancy analysis (Fig. S7A) suggested that total and available copper, available mercury and perfloxacin were statistically largely associated with separation of the two cropping system gene assemblages with metal concentrations being greater in Greenhouse B and perfloxacin concentrations being greater in Greenhouse A. However, this does not mean that other antibiotics did not play a role. Total cadmium showed little influence upon the assemblages characterizing the cropping systems. Hierarchical clustering of the thirty-four genes with the experimental factors is shown in Fig. 7A and there is clear evidence for separation according to ~~the~~ cropping systems in each greenhouse. To generate a general view of the association of groups of resistance genes and ISs we used these thirty-four genes and IS as features in a supervised Random Forest classification (Fig. S7B). Using the mean decrease in accuracy of the model as a guide, ~~we show the RF classification identified ten-fifteen of these~~ features ~~identified by RF classification as-to be~~ the most ~~discriminatory~~ characteristic of ~~one-or-the~~ ~~other~~ each cropping system (~~in~~ Fig. 7B). ~~The majoritySix~~ of these ~~ten-fifteen~~ most discriminatory features were characteristic of the Greenhouse A soil: the BRGs ~~adeL~~, *sugE* and ~~aetPadeL~~; the tellurium resistance gene *trgB*; the ARGs *murA* and *mtrA*; and the IS1595 ~~and ISL3~~ insertion sequences. ~~Only two~~ ~~The majority of these fifteen~~ features were identified as characteristic of the Greenhouse B soil: ~~the~~ ~~these were all associated with metal resistance mechanisms, including aioB, copR, chrC, aioA, chrBI, nrsR and cusR, chromium-resistance-gene chrBI and the ISNCY~~ ~~Two ISs~~ were identified as characteristic of Greenhouse B, ISNCY and IS701. This distribution of characteristic features is consistent with the observation that metal concentrations were greater in

Greenhouse B, discussed above and shown in Fig. S7A.:-

### 3.8 Contributions of microbes, MRGs, BRGs and ISs to ARGs propagation

The microbial phyla and MRGs/BRGs information corresponding to the gene sets containing ARGs ~~was-is~~ listed in Table S8. The most abundant ARG *oqx*B was largely associated with Proteobacteria which also promoted the spread of *sul*1 and *sox*R. The genes *sul*2, *ANT*(6)-*Ia*, *Erm*C, *qac*H are mainly related to Unclassified phylum, and the propagation of *rsp*L, *gyr*A, *mtr*A and *mur*A was mainly ascribed to Actinobacteria. The genes *Erm*Y and *Erm*C were correlated with Firmicutes. The ~~BRGs genes-*oqx*B, *qac*H and *sox*R~~ ~~were-are~~ associated with ~~BRGs-resistant~~~~ce~~ to ~~Phenolic~~ ~~phenolic~~ compounds, ~~Alkane~~~~alkane~~, ~~Aromatic-aromatic~~ hydrocarbons, QACs, ~~Halogens~~~~halogens~~, ~~Biguanides~~~~biguanides~~, ~~Organo~~~~organo~~-sulfates, ~~Aeridine~~~~aeridine~~, ~~Phenanthridine~~~~phenanthridine~~, ~~Azinazin~~, and ~~Paraquat~~~~paraquat~~. Among ~~all~~ these genes, only *mtr*A was relevant to the MRG *czc*R conferring resistance to cadmium, zinc and cobalt. MRGs/BRGs *oqx*B, *qac*F and *czc*R are located at plasmid, and others at chromosome. It is worth mentioning that the MRGs/BRGs-linked ARGs all confer resistance through ~~the~~ efflux pumps, which ~~can-well~~~~may~~ explain their interdependence.

As for the associations between ISs and ARGs, only ~~do-ANT~~(6)-*Ia* and IS (*ISC*co2) belonging to ~~the~~ IS1595 family coexist in a gene set. Therefore, we conducted a correlation analysis of between the relative abundance of ARGs and the biomarker ISs and found that IS1182, IS1595, IS256, IS30, IS66 and ISL3 was related with most ARGs. The genes *oqx*B and *sul*2 were only positively associated with IS21 and IS66 respectively at a significant level, while *qac*H was not linked to any ISs.

## 4. Discussion

This study ~~was-to~~ investigated the effect of irrigating ~~vegetable crops~~ using RW from municipal

treatment plants as an alternative to GW and cropping system upon ARGs dissemination. We were ~~specifically~~ interested specifically in irrigation and cropping effects upon the incidence of various prokaryotic resistance mechanisms to heavy metals, ~~bioicides~~biocides, and antimicrobial compounds in the irrigated soils. Soil samples were collected, and metagenomes generated after sixteen years of continuous irrigation of greenhouses grown with different cropping systems. We found that specific genes from each broad family of interest were widely distributed in the irrigated soils, irrespective of the water sources. The most broadly distributed genes are shown in Figs. 4A, 5A and S3. Collectively, they are associated with resistance to ~~the~~ biocidal compounds (Triclosan and QACs); and antimicrobial compounds (olaquinox, quinolones and chloramphenicol), as well as several metal acquisition mechanisms.

#### 4.1 Irrigation effects

Within this background of endemic genes, we could identify no significant influence of water sources (GW *versus* RW) or irrigation management (continuous *versus* alternating) upon the distribution of prokaryotic organisms, ISs or genes conferring resistance to metals, biocides or antibiotics in the soils in ~~each~~either greenhouse. This suggests that the use of RW for crop irrigation as an alternative to GW ~~does~~did not result in significantly increased resistance gene burdens in irrigated soils, possibly because the abundance of such genes (e.g. *sulI*) in Chinese agricultural soils is already high (Peng et al. 2017, Tan et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2014a, Wang et al. 2018). However, the assemblages of ARGs in soils ~~received~~receiving RW irrigation was markedly different between ~~these~~ two greenhouses, and this effect was observed even when GW was used to irrigate the crops. This suggests that the risk of increased or altered ARGs and other resistance genes profiles in the GW-irrigated soils should be of concern in the future.

#### 4.1.1 The ARGs dissemination in soils irrigated with GW

ARGs are not novel soil pollutants and exist in pristine habitats with no direct anthropogenic exposure (D'Costa et al. 2006). It is possible that poor irrigation management (Yi et al. 2011), particularly the use of poor-quality wastewater irrigation at Yongledian ~~town~~—where the experimental station is located before the development of the comprehensive wastewater collection and treatment systems, has resulted in heavy metal, antibiotic, biocide or other possible selective pressures for ARGs propagation to GW - especially those associated with low degradation and adsorption such as ofloxacin and sulfamethoxazole (Avisar et al. 2009, Lyu et al. 2019, Ma et al. 2018). In addition, air pollution may be another cause of the detected antibiotics and ARGs in GW-irrigated soils (Hsiao et al. 2020, Ling et al. 2013). The application of chicken manure, a well-known reservoir of ARGs, may be another reason for the detection of ARGs in GW-irrigated soils.

#### 4.1.2 ~~The ARGs~~ dissemination in soils irrigated with RW

As for the inconsistent effects of RW irrigation on the dissemination of ARGs in soil, a recent study at Braunschweig, ~~in~~ Germany showed that only ARGs (~~e.g. *sulH*~~) which were initially more abundant in the RW (~~e.g. *sulI*~~) increased in soil following RW irrigation, while ARGs which were (~~e.g. *bla<sub>TEM</sub>*~~) initially sparse in the RW (~~e.g. *bla<sub>TEM</sub>*~~) did not increase and even decreased under certain circumstances (Kampouris et al. 2021a). ~~These, however, do not apply to~~ This phenomenon is not evident in our study in which the *sulI* and *sul2* were more abundant in GW and RW respectively (obtained from Liu (~~2019a~~), (~~2019a~~)). ~~but~~ There was no significant difference in their abundance between ~~all~~ soils in each greenhouse, probably because soil properties, climate and crops in their study differed from ours. For example, the soil pH in our soils was 7.63-8.10, compared to 3.778-5.976.0 in ~~Braunschweig~~ their study. Our results ~~was~~ are consistent with ~~that~~ those of

Shamsizadeh et al. (2021) obtained from an experiment conducted under a semi-arid climate, and showing that irrigation water sources had no significant ~~impact-influence~~ on the abundance of ARGs including *sulI* in soils, and that RW can be used in agriculture in semi-arid regions; however, since the soil samples taken from fields cultivated with different crops were pooled in their study, it is difficult to ~~clean-determine whether that the no-lack of~~ effect of RW irrigation on ARGs was caused by ~~the~~-cropping or other factors. Most previous studies on ARGs under RW irrigation ~~have~~ focused on irrigation ~~only-alone~~ and ~~overlooked-have not considered~~ the possible impact of other factors, ~~while-i~~n our study, all variables but the irrigation water source were kept the same in each greenhouse. ~~In the meantime, w~~e also measured ~~the-detailedseveral~~ soil properties including pH, nutrients, heavy metals, antibiotics as well as the profile of ARGs, MRGs, ISs and microbial community. Comparatively speaking, our study excluded other factors and demonstrated the influence of irrigation water sources.

Some studies suggested that ~~the~~-resistant bacteria ~~from-in~~ RW that entered soils ~~were-are~~ not able to compete or survive in the ~~new-soil~~ environment (~~Negreanu et al. 2012~~), (~~Negreanu et al. 2012~~). This partly ~~explaining-explains~~ the similar levels of ARGs between RW- and GW-irrigated soils in each ~~of-the-two~~ greenhouses in our study. The ~~impact-influence~~ of RW-associated bacteria on the soil microbiome is ~~inappreciable-not quantifiable on-average~~ and in the long-term, they are unlikely to ~~significantly~~-increase antibiotic resistance ~~significantly~~. Another possibility is that the primary ecological role of naturally-produced antibiotics is to inhibit the growth of other ~~soil~~ organisms ~~in-soils~~ (Kelsic et al. 2015), ~~thus~~-alleviating ~~their~~-competition for scarce resources. The microbes in RW-irrigated soils receive more carbon and nitrogen while facing less competition for resource, and they thus reduce the energy-costing expression of ARGs for antibiotic production

(Martínez and Rojo 2011), and offset the increase in ARGs induced by RW which is rich in antibiotics, ARGs and antibiotic resistant microbes.

#### 4.2 Cropping effects

~~On the e~~Contrary ~~to the limited influence of irrigation water sources~~, cropping system as exemplified by the two greenhouses exerted a strong, statistically significant and consistent influence upon assemblages of ~~metal, biocide and antibiotic~~ resistance genes and ISs, and in the case of ARGs, a significant difference in the relative abundance of ~~the~~ genes as well.

##### 4.2.1 The differences in basic properties and microbial composition of soil between the two cropping systems

There were no significant differences in the properties of RW-irrigated soils between the two greenhouses except ~~for~~ total Cd, available ~~and total~~ Cu, ~~total Cu~~, available Hg and total N. Given that the difference in nitrate and ammonium between the two RW-irrigated soils was small, the difference in total N might be due to the difference in organic N (Kelley and Stevenson 1995). Though total N and OM in Greenhouse A soil were lower than that in Greenhouse B (Table 1), the C/N ratio was higher ~~(11.82)~~ in the former ~~(11.82)~~ than ~~in~~ the latter (10.35), ~~which~~ ~~This may~~ ~~might~~ facilitate microbial activity to mineralize N ~~and propagate ARGs~~. The difference in total N and OM between the two greenhouses could ~~be caused by~~ ~~rise as a result of~~ planting, and chemical and chicken manure fertilization. In the long term, all these could shift microbial community and alter ~~their~~ associated genes. For example, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia and *Ca. Tectomicrobia* were lower in Greenhouse B than in Greenhouse A, while Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria, *Ca. Rokubacteria*, Planctomycetes, and *Deinococcus-Thermus* trended in the opposite direction (Fig. S2A). We found that most ARGs-associated microbes

Commented [AN1]: how?



belonged to Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes, consistent with previous studies (Wu et al. 2021).

#### 4.2.2 The associations between soil ARGs and the potential propagators

Cross-resistance of ARGs and MRGs/BRGs (e.g. *oqx*B) in our study mainly functioned through efflux of structurally dissimilar antibiotic compounds and biocides/metals using the same mechanisms. The plasmid-located-borne MRGs-associated ARGs possessed a high horizontal transfer probability. The high correlation between ARGs and ISs (Table S9) also indicated that MGEs ~~was-are~~ crucial to the ARGs spread. The *IsCco2* and other MGEs were also found to be dominant in other environments and play a key role in ARGs transfer (Zhang et al. 2021). It was postulated that the critical system in *Acinetobacter* for increasing their resistance level could be due to the existence of ISs in the genome, such as the *ISAbc1* (*IS1595* family) that can insert ~~itself into~~at the 5'-end of existing resistance genes, equipping them with strong promoters ~~and~~ up-regulating gene expression (Gootz and Marra 2008). All these bio-physicochemical differences ~~worked together~~~~interact~~ to shift the ARGs making them differ between the two cropping systems.

#### 4.3 Implications for future research

Our results suggests that the concentrations of a limited number of metals including copper and mercury and the antibiotic compound perfloracin accounted for the differences in the resistance gene assemblages between the two cropping systems (Fig. 7A). The most characteristic genetic markers of each cropping system ~~grouped-associated~~ the biocide resistance genes *adeL* ~~and~~, *sugE* ~~and~~ *actP*, the metal resistance gene *trgB* and the antibiotic resistance genes *murA* and *mtrA* together with ~~\_insertion sequences- IS1595\_-and- ISL3-~~ in Greenhouse A. In contrast, Greenhouse B were characterized ~~largely by a high abundance of the~~ metal resistance genes ~~chrB1 and~~~~associated with~~

the insertion sequences ISL3ISNCY and IS701. ~~Although we~~ are unable to ~~ascertain-determine~~ whether the characteristic resistance genes ~~characteristics-of-in~~ each soil were physically-structurally associated with the ~~identified-characteristic~~ ISs. ~~However,~~ the data ~~is suggested-suggestive of~~ associations between specific resistance genes and ISs the ~~differences-between-in~~ the two greenhouse soils. ~~This appears to be~~Our unpublished data suggests that this is a ~~-consistent rather-response of~~ the soil resistomethan-exceptional as in a separate experiment investigating the impact of irrigation with livestock wastewater, we found cropping systems have a significant impact-influence on ARGs dissemination in soil. ~~However,~~ ~~—though—~~the underlying mechanisms remain ~~undeterminedobscure (unpublished)~~. This is ~~further~~-corroborated by our experiments showing that legume roots absorbed more antibiotics than the grass roots due to ~~the~~-differences in their root properties

(<http://kd.nsf.gov.cn/advancedQuery/personInfo/b86bca4a5e8002797998c5bc02c04feb>). ~~Our~~ This experiment was not designed to allow us to determine the differences in gene distributions due to the ~~difference—specific differences in the cropping regimes~~ in the 16-year ~~cropping systems~~experiment, or because of the short-term effects of legume *versus* brassica crops. Our results strongly suggested that the influence of cropping systems upon resistance gene distributions warrants further research.

## 5. Conclusions

We found that neither RW irrigation nor cropping system influenced edaphic factors soil (pH, EC, OM, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, available-P and available-K) ~~and-or the~~ total concentration of antibiotics to any significant ~~degreely in the soils with~~employing different RW irrigations for 16 years ~~in two~~ greenhouses grown with different cropping systems, ~~while~~—The concentration of soil available

heavy metals ~~were~~was reduced following RW irrigation with a few exceptions. ~~The a~~Assemblages of ARGs, MRGs, BRGs, ISs and microbial ~~community-taxa~~ in soils irrigated with RW was not altered relative to that irrigated with GW. Although alternate irrigation with groundwater and reclaimed water reduced the total input of ARGs and the associated ARG propagators in the soil, ~~the~~ARGs dispersal in the soils was not ~~influences~~ significantly ~~affected~~. We showed that ~~cropping~~ differences in cropping regimes, which ~~had~~have been ~~overlooked-unaccounted for~~ in previous studies, ~~could~~can exert ~~the a~~ greater influence upon the distribution of resistance genes in soils than ~~the-the source of~~ irrigation water. Our results ~~unveiled-revealed~~ that the influence of factors other than irrigation water, such as planting, on ARG diffusion in soil warrants more research effort.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work was financed by the Scientific and Technological Project of Henan Province (202102110215), the UK-China Centre for the Sustainable Intensification of Agriculture (CSIA 18-11), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41701265), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0801103-2), the Central Public-interest Scientific Institution Basal Research Fund (Farmland Irrigation Research Institute, CAAS) (FIRI2019-04-02).

#### **REFERENCES**

Al-Jassim, N., Ansari, M.I., Harb, M. and Hong, P.-Y. (2015) Removal of bacterial contaminants and antibiotic resistance genes by conventional wastewater treatment processes in Saudi Arabia: Is the treated wastewater safe to reuse for agricultural irrigation? *Water Research* 73, 277-290.

587 Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. and Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic local alignment  
588 search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215(3), 403-410.

589 Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D.J.  
590 (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs.  
591 *Nucleic Acids Research* 25(17), 3389-3402.

592 Anderson, M.J. and Legendre, P. (1999) An empirical comparison of permutation methods for  
593 tests of partial regression coefficients in a linear model. *Journal of Statistical Computation and*  
594 *Simulation* 62(3), 271-303.

595 Avisar, D., Lester, Y. and Ronen, D. (2009) Sulfamethoxazole contamination of a deep phreatic  
596 aquifer. *Science of The Total Environment* 407(14), 4278-4282.

597 Babin, D., Deubel, A., Jacquiod, S., Sorensen, S.J., Geistlinger, J., Grosch, R. and Smalla, K.  
598 (2019) Impact of long-term agricultural management practices on soil prokaryotic communities.  
599 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 129, 17-28.

600 Baker-Austin, C., Wright, M.S., Stepanauskas, R. and McArthur, J.V. (2006) Co-selection of  
601 antibiotic and metal resistance. *Trends in Microbiology* 14(4), 176-182.

602 Bengough, A.G. (2012) Water Dynamics of the Root Zone: Rhizosphere Biophysics and Its  
603 Control on Soil Hydrology. *Vadose Zone Journal* 11(2).

604 Boretti, A. and Rosa, L. (2019) Reassessing the projections of the World Water Development  
605 Report. *npj Clean Water* 2(1).

606 Buchfink, B., Xie, C. and Huson, D.H. (2015) Fast and sensitive protein alignment using  
607 DIAMOND. *Nature Methods* 12(1), 59-60.

608 Cacace, D., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Manaia, C.M., Cytryn, E., Kreuzinger, N., Rizzo, L., Karaolia,  
609 P., Schwartz, T., Alexander, J., Merlin, C., Garelick, H., Schmitt, H., de Vries, D., Schwermer,  
610 C.U., Meric, S., Ozkal, C.B., Pons, M.N., Kneis, D. and Berendonk, T.U. (2019) Antibiotic  
611 resistance genes in treated wastewater and in the receiving water bodies: A pan-European  
612 survey of urban settings. *Water Res* 162, 320-330.

613 Chen, F., Ying, G.G., Kong, L.X., Wang, L., Zhao, J.L., Zhou, L.J. and Zhang, L.J. (2011)  
614 Distribution and accumulation of endocrine-disrupting chemicals and pharmaceuticals in  
615 wastewater irrigated soils in Hebei, China. *Environ Pollut* 159(6), 1490-1498.

616 Chen, Z., Zhang, Y., Gao, Y., Boyd, S.A., Zhu, D. and Li, H. (2015) Influence of dissolved  
617 organic matter on tetracycline bioavailability to an antibiotic-resistant bacterium. *Environmental*  
618 *Science & Technology* 49(18), 10903-10910.

619 Choi, Y.-J., Kim, L.-H. and Zoh, K.-D. (2016) Removal characteristics and mechanism of  
620 antibiotics using constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering* 91, 85-92.

621 Christou, A., Aguera, A., Maria Bayona, J., Cytryn, E., Fotopoulos, V., Lambropoulou, D.,  
622 Manaia, C.M., Michael, C., Revitt, M., Schroeder, P. and Fatta-Kassinos, D. (2017) The  
623 potential implications of reclaimed wastewater reuse for irrigation on the agricultural  
624 environment: The knowns and unknowns of the fate of antibiotics and antibiotic resistant  
625 bacteria and resistance genes - A review. *Water Research* 123, 448-467.

626 Cui, E.-P., Gao, F., Liu, Y., Fan, X.-Y., Li, Z.-Y., Du, Z.-J., Hu, C. and Neal, A.L. (2018)  
627 Amendment soil with biochar to control antibiotic resistance genes under unconventional water  
628 resources irrigation: Proceed with caution. *Environmental Pollution* 240, 475-484.

629 Cutler, D.R., Edwards, T.C., Beard, K.H., Cutler, A. and Hess, K.T. (2007) Random forests for  
630 classification in ecology. *Ecology* 88(11), 2783-2792.

631 D'Costa, V.M., McGrann, K.M., Hughes, D.W. and Wright, G.D. (2006) Sampling the antibiotic  
632 resistome. *Science* 311(5759), 374-377.

633 Dhariwal, A., Chong, J., Habib, S., King, I.L., Agellon, L.B. and Xia, J.G. (2017)  
634 MicrobiomeAnalyst: a web-based tool for comprehensive statistical, visual and meta-analysis  
635 of microbiome data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45(W1), W180-W188.

636 Ding, G., Chen, G., Liu, Y., Li, M. and Liu, X. (2020) Occurrence and risk assessment of  
637 fluoroquinolone antibiotics in reclaimed water and receiving groundwater with different  
638 replenishment pathways. *Sci Total Environ* 738, 139802.

639 Elgallal, M., Fletcher, L. and Evans, B. (2016) Assessment of potential risks associated with  
640 chemicals in wastewater used for irrigation in arid and semiarid zones: A review. *Agricultural*  
641 *Water Management* 177, 419-431.

642 Fahrenfeld, N., Ma, Y., O'Brien, M. and Pruden, A. (2013) Reclaimed water as a reservoir of  
643 antibiotic resistance genes: distribution system and irrigation implications. *Frontiers in*  
644 *Microbiology* 4, 130.

645 Fatta-Kassinos, D., Cytryn, E., Donner, E. and Zhang, T. (2020) Challenges related to  
646 antimicrobial resistance in the framework of urban wastewater reuse. *Water Res* 170, 115308.

647 Gatica, J. and Cytryn, E. (2013) Impact of treated wastewater irrigation on antibiotic resistance  
648 in the soil microbiome. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 20(6), 3529-3538.

649 Gootz, T.D. and Marra, A. (2008) *Acinetobacter baumannii*: an emerging multidrug-resistant  
650 threat. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 6(3), 309-325.

651 Guo, T., Lou, C., Zhai, W., Tang, X., Hashmi, M.Z., Murtaza, R., Li, Y., Liu, X. and Xu, J. (2018)  
652 Increased occurrence of heavy metals, antibiotics and resistance genes in surface soil after  
653 long-term application of manure. *Science of The Total Environment* 635, 995-1003.

654 Han, X.-M., Hu, H.-W., Shi, X.-Z., Wang, J.-T., Han, L.-L., Chen, D. and He, J.-Z. (2016) Impacts  
655 of reclaimed water irrigation on soil antibiotic resistome in urban parks of Victoria, Australia.  
656 *Environmental Pollution* 211, 48-57.

657 Hsiao, T.-C., Lin, A.Y.-C., Lien, W.-C. and Lin, Y.-C. (2020) Size distribution, biological  
658 characteristics and emerging contaminants of aerosols emitted from an urban wastewater  
659 treatment plant. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 388, 121809.

660 Jia, B., Raphenya, A.R., Alcock, B., Waglechner, N., Guo, P., Tsang, K.K., Lago, B.A., Dave,  
661 B.M., Pereira, S., Sharma, A.N., Doshi, S., Courtot, M., Lo, R., Williams, L.E., Frye, J.G.,  
662 Elsayegh, T., Sardar, D., Westman, E.L., Pawlowski, A.C., Johnson, T.A., Brinkman, F.S.L.,  
663 Wright, G.D. and McArthur, A.G. (2016) CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of  
664 the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45(D1), D566-D573.

665 Kampouris, I.D., Agrawal, S., Orschler, L., Cacace, D., Kunze, S., Berendonk, T.U. and  
666 Klumper, U. (2021a) Antibiotic resistance gene load and irrigation intensity determine the  
667 impact of wastewater irrigation on antimicrobial resistance in the soil microbiome. *Water Res*  
668 193, 116818.

669 Kampouris, I.D., Klumper, U., Agrawal, S., Orschler, L., Cacace, D., Kunze, S. and Berendonk,  
670 T.U. (2021b) Treated wastewater irrigation promotes the spread of antibiotic resistance into  
671 subsoil pore-water. *Environ Int* 146, 106190.

672 Kelley, K.R. and Stevenson, F.J. (1995) Forms and nature of organic N in soil. *Fertilizer*  
673 *research* 42(1), 1-11.

674 Kelsic, E.D., Zhao, J., Vetsigian, K. and Kishony, R. (2015) Counteraction of antibiotic

production and degradation stabilizes microbial communities. *Nature* 521(7553), 516-519.

Kravchenko, A.N. and Guber, A.K. (2017) Soil pores and their contributions to soil carbon processes. *Geoderma* 287, 31-39.

Leng, Y., Xiao, H., Li, Z. and Wang, J. (2020) Tetracyclines, sulfonamides and quinolones and their corresponding resistance genes in coastal areas of Beibu Gulf, China. *Sci Total Environ* 714, 136899.

Li, D., Liu, C.-M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K. and Lam, T.-W. (2015) MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. *Bioinformatics* 31(10), 1674-1676.

Li, W. and Godzik, A. (2006) Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 22(13), 1658-1659.

Ling, A.L., Pace, N.R., Hernandez, M.T. and LaPara, T.M. (2013) Tetracycline resistance and class 1 integron genes associated with indoor and outdoor aerosols. *Environmental Science & Technology* 47(9), 4046-4052.

Liu, L., Hu, S., Shen, G., Farooq, U., Zhang, W., Lin, S. and Lin, K. (2018) Adsorption dynamics and mechanism of aqueous sulfachloropyridazine and analogues using the root powder of recyclable long-root *Eichhornia crassipes*. *Chemosphere* 196, 409-417.

Liu, X., Zhang, G., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Qin, P., Guo, X., Bi, B., Wang, L., Xi, B., Wu, F., Wang, W. and Zhang, T. (2019a) Occurrence and fate of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in typical urban water of Beijing, China. *Environmental Pollution* 246, 163-173.

Liu, Y., Cui, E., Neal, A.L., Zhang, X., Li, Z., Xiao, Y., Du, Z., Gao, F., Fan, X. and Hu, C. (2019b) Reducing water use by alternate-furrow irrigation with livestock wastewater reduces antibiotic resistance gene abundance in the rhizosphere but not in the non-rhizosphere. *Science of The Total Environment* 648, 12-24.

Lu, H.-l., Liu, Z.-d., Zhou, Q. and Xu, R.-k. (2018) Zeta potential of roots determined by the streaming potential method in relation to their Mn(II) sorption in 17 crops. *Plant and Soil* 428(1), 241-251.

Lyu, S., Chen, W., Qian, J., Wen, X. and Xu, J. (2019) Prioritizing environmental risks of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in reclaimed water on urban green space in Beijing. *Science of The Total Environment* 697, 133850.

Ma, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Yang, Q., Li, G. and Zhang, D. (2018) Impacts of irrigation water sources and geochemical conditions on vertical distribution of pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) in the vadose zone soils. *Science of The Total Environment* 626, 1148-1156.

Marano, R.B.M., Gupta, C.L., Cozer, T., Jurkevitch, E. and Cytryn, E. (2021) Hidden Resistome: Enrichment Reveals the Presence of Clinically Relevant Antibiotic Resistance Determinants in Treated Wastewater-Irrigated Soils. *Environmental Science & Technology* 55(10), 6814-6827.

Marano, R.B.M., Zolti, A., Jurkevitch, E. and Cytryn, E. (2019) Antibiotic resistance and class 1 integron gene dynamics along effluent, reclaimed wastewater irrigated soil, crop continua: elucidating potential risks and ecological constraints. *Water Research* 164.

Martínez, J.L. and Rojo, F. (2011) Metabolic regulation of antibiotic resistance. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 35(5), 768-789.

McLain, J.E. and Williams, C.F. (2014) Sustainability of water reclamation: Long-term recharge with reclaimed wastewater does not enhance antibiotic resistance in sediment bacteria.

719 Sustainability 6(3), 1313-1327.

720 Negreanu, Y., Pasternak, Z., Jurkevitch, E. and Cytryn, E. (2012) Impact of treated wastewater  
 721 irrigation on antibiotic resistance in agricultural soils. *Environmental Science & Technology*  
 722 46(9), 4800-4808.

723 Pal, C., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Rensing, C., Kristiansson, E. and Larsson, D.G.J. (2013) BacMet:  
 724 antibacterial biocide and metal resistance genes database. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42(D1),  
 725 D737-D743.

726 Palese, A.M., Pasquale, V., Celano, G., Figliuolo, G., Masi, S. and Xiloyannis, C. (2009)  
 727 Irrigation of olive groves in Southern Italy with treated municipal wastewater: Effects on  
 728 microbiological quality of soil and fruits. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 129(1-3), 43-  
 729 51.

730 Pedrero, F., Kalavrouziotis, I., Alarcón, J.J., Koukoulakis, P. and Asano, T. (2010) Use of  
 731 treated municipal wastewater in irrigated agriculture—Review of some practices in Spain and  
 732 Greece. *Agricultural Water Management* 97(9), 1233-1241.

733 Peng, S., Feng, Y., Wang, Y., Guo, X., Chu, H. and Lin, X. (2017) Prevalence of antibiotic  
 734 resistance genes in soils after continually applied with different manure for 30 years. *Journal of*  
 735 *Hazardous Materials* 340, 16-25.

736 Pereira, L.S., Oweis, T. and Zairi, A. (2002) Irrigation management under water scarcity.  
 737 *Agricultural Water Management* 57(3), 175-206.

738 Pruden, A., Pei, R., Storteboom, H. and Carlson, K.H. (2006) Antibiotic resistance genes as  
 739 emerging contaminants: Studies in northern Colorado. *Environmental Science & Technology*  
 740 40(23), 7445-7450.

741 Pruitt, K.D., Tatusova, T. and Maglott, D.R. (2006) NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): a  
 742 curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. *Nucleic Acids*  
 743 *Research* 35(suppl\_1), D61-D65.

744 Sainju, U.M., Whitehead, W.F. and Singh, B.P. (2003) Cover crops and nitrogen fertilization  
 745 effects on soil aggregation and carbon and nitrogen pools. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*  
 746 83(2), 155-165.

747 Segata, N., Izard, J., Waldron, L., Gevers, D., Miropolsky, L., Garrett, W.S. and Huttenhower,  
 748 C. (2011) Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biology* 12(6), R60.

749 Shamsizadeh, Z., Ehrampoush, M.H., Nikaeen, M., Farzaneh, M., Mokhtari, M., Gwenzi, W.  
 750 and Khanahmad, H. (2021) Antibiotic resistance and class 1 integron genes distribution in  
 751 irrigation water-soil-crop continuum as a function of irrigation water sources. *Environ Pollut* 289,  
 752 117930.

753 Siguier, P., Perochon, J., Lestrade, L., Mahillon, J. and Chandler, M. (2006) ISfinder: the  
 754 reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* 34(suppl\_1), D32-  
 755 D36.

756 Sorinolu, A.J., Tyagi, N., Kumar, A. and Munir, M. (2021) Antibiotic resistance development and  
 757 human health risks during wastewater reuse and biosolids application in agriculture.  
 758 *Chemosphere* 265, 129032.

759 Tai, Y., Tam, N.F.-Y., Wang, R., Yang, Y., Lin, J., Wang, J., Yang, Y., Li, L. and Sun, Y. (2018)  
 760 Iron plaque formation on wetland-plant roots accelerates removal of water-borne antibiotics.  
 761 *Plant and Soil* 433(1), 323-338.

762 Tan, L., Wang, F., Liang, M., Wang, X., Das, R., Mao, D. and Luo, Y. (2019) Antibiotic

763 resistance genes attenuated with salt accumulation in saline soil. *Journal of Hazardous*  
764 *Materials* 374, 35-42.

765 Teijon, G., Candela, L., Tamoh, K., Molina-Díaz, A. and Fernández-Alba, A.R. (2010)  
766 Occurrence of emerging contaminants, priority substances (2008/105/CE) and heavy metals in  
767 treated wastewater and groundwater at Depurbaix facility (Barcelona, Spain). *Science of The*  
768 *Total Environment* 408(17), 3584-3595.

769 Wang, F.-H., Qiao, M., Lv, Z.-E., Guo, G.-X., Jia, Y., Su, Y.-H. and Zhu, Y.-G. (2014a) Impact  
770 of reclaimed water irrigation on antibiotic resistance in public parks, Beijing, China.  
771 *Environmental Pollution* 184, 247-253.

772 Wang, F.-H., Qiao, M., Su, J.-Q., Chen, Z., Zhou, X. and Zhu, Y.-G. (2014b) High throughput  
773 profiling of antibiotic resistance genes in urban park soils with reclaimed water irrigation.  
774 *Environmental Science & Technology* 48(16), 9079-9085.

775 Wang, F., Xu, M., Stedtfeld, R.D., Sheng, H., Fan, J., Liu, M., Chai, B., Soares de Carvalho, T.,  
776 Li, H., Li, Z., Hashsham, S.A. and Tiedje, J.M. (2018) Long-Term Effect of Different Fertilization  
777 and Cropping Systems on the Soil Antibiotic Resistome. *Environmental Science & Technology*  
778 52(22), 13037-13046.

779 Wang, F., Zhang, X., Neal, A.L., Crawford, J.W., Mooney, S.J. and Bacq-Labreuil, A. (2022)  
780 Evolution of the transport properties of soil aggregates and their relationship with soil organic  
781 carbon following land use changes. *Soil and Tillage Research* 215, 105226.

782 Wu, Y., Wen, Q., Chen, Z., Fu, Q. and Bao, H. (2021) Response of antibiotic resistance to the  
783 co-exposure of sulfamethoxazole and copper during swine manure composting. *Sci Total*  
784 *Environ* 805, 150086.

785 Yan, M., Xu, C., Huang, Y., Nie, H. and Wang, J. (2018) Tetracyclines, sulfonamides and  
786 quinolones and their corresponding resistance genes in the Three Gorges Reservoir, China.  
787 *Science of The Total Environment* 631-632, 840-848.

788 Yi, L., Jiao, W., Chen, X. and Chen, W.J.J.o.E.S. (2011) An overview of reclaimed water reuse  
789 in China. 23(10), 1585-1593.

790 Zhang, M., Liu, Y.S., Zhao, J.L., Liu, W.R., Chen, J., Zhang, Q.Q., He, L.Y. and Ying, G.G.  
791 (2021) Variations of antibiotic resistome in swine wastewater during full-scale anaerobic  
792 digestion treatment. *Environ Int* 155, 106694.

793 Zhu, W., Lomsadze, A. and Borodovsky, M. (2010) Ab initio gene identification in metagenomic  
794 sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* 38(12), e132.

795

796

797

798